

***f*-BIHARMONIC AND BI-*f*-HARMONIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF PRODUCT SPACES**

FATMA KARACA AND CIHAN ÖZGÜR

ABSTRACT. We consider *f*-biharmonic and bi-*f*-harmonic submanifolds of the product of two real space forms. We find the necessary and sufficient conditions for a submanifold to be *f*-biharmonic and bi-*f*-harmonic in a product of two real space forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be two Riemannian manifolds. $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is called a *harmonic map* if it is a critical point of the *energy functional*

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|d\varphi\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . The Euler-Lagrange equation of $E(\varphi)$ is

$$\tau(\varphi) = \operatorname{tr}(\nabla d\varphi) = 0,$$

where $\tau(\varphi)$ is the *tension field* of φ [3]. The map φ is said to be *biharmonic* if it is a critical point of the *bienergy functional*

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|\tau(\varphi)\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . In [4], Jiang obtained the Euler-Lagrange equation of $E_2(\varphi)$. This gives us

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = \operatorname{tr}(\nabla^\varphi \nabla^\varphi - \nabla_{\frac{\varphi}{\nabla}}^\varphi) \tau(\varphi) - \operatorname{tr}(R^N(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tau_2(\varphi)$ is the *bitension field* of φ and R^N is the curvature tensor of N . The map φ is said to be *f-biharmonic* if it is a critical point of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. *f*-biharmonic map, *f*-biharmonic submanifold, bi-*f*-harmonic map, bi-*f*-harmonic submanifold, product space.

Copyright © 2017 by ANUBIH.

f -bienergy functional

$$E_{2,f}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f \|\tau(\varphi)\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M [5]. The Euler-Lagrange equation for the f -bienergy functional is defined by

$$\tau_{2,f}(\varphi) = f\tau_2(\varphi) + (\Delta f)\tau(\varphi) + 2\nabla_{gradf}^{\varphi}\tau(\varphi) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\tau_{2,f}(\varphi)$ is the f -bitension field of φ [5]. From the definition, it is trivial that any harmonic map is f -biharmonic. If the f -biharmonic map is neither harmonic nor biharmonic then we call it by *proper f -biharmonic* and if f is a constant, an f -biharmonic map turns into a biharmonic map [5].

An f -harmonic map with a positive function $f : M \xrightarrow{C^{\infty}} \mathbb{R}$ is a critical point of the f -energy

$$E_f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f \|d\varphi\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . The Euler-Lagrange equation for the f -energy functional gives us the f -tension field $\tau_f(\varphi)$ (see [1], [8]) by

$$\tau_f(\varphi) = f\tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(gradf) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

The map φ is said to be *bi- f -harmonic* if it is a critical point of the bi- f -energy functional

$$E_f^2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|\tau_f(\varphi)\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . The Euler-Lagrange equation gives the bi- f -harmonic map equation

$$\tau_f^2(\varphi) = fJ^{\varphi}(\tau_f(\varphi)) - \nabla_{gradf}^{\varphi}\tau_f(\varphi) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\tau_f^2(\varphi)$ is the bi- f -tension field of φ and J^{φ} is the Jacobi operator of the map defined by $J^{\varphi}(X) = -[Tr_g \nabla^{\varphi} \nabla^{\varphi} X - \nabla_{\nabla_M}^{\varphi} X - R^N(d\varphi, X)d\varphi]$ [8]. It is trivial that any f -harmonic map is bi- f -harmonic [8].

Eells and Sampson studied harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds [3]. Jiang defined biharmonic maps by using the first and second variational formulas of bienergy functional [4]. In [5], Lu defined the notion of f -biharmonic maps. He obtained the f -biharmonic map equation and studied f -biharmonicity of some special maps. In [7], Ou studied on some properties of f -biharmonic maps and f -biharmonic submanifolds. Course defined f -harmonic maps in [1]. Later, Ouakkas, Nasri and Djaa obtained some properties for f -harmonic maps between two Riemannian manifolds

and defined bi-*f*-harmonic maps [8]. In [11], Zegga, Cherif and Djaa considered bi-*f*-harmonic maps and submanifolds. In [9], Roth studied biharmonic submanifolds of the product of two space forms. Motivated by the above studies, in this paper, we consider *f*-biharmonic and bi-*f*-harmonic submanifolds of the product of two space forms and obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for a submanifold to be *f*-biharmonic and bi-*f*-harmonic in a product of two real space forms.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $M^{n_1}(c_1)$ and $M^{n_2}(c_2)$ be two real space forms of constant curvatures c_1, c_2 with dimensions n_1 and n_2 , respectively. Let us consider the product space $(M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$. Assume that (M^m, g) be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed into the product space $(M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$. Denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ and F the Levi-Civita connection of M^m and the product structure of the product space $(M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$, respectively. The product structure $F : TM^{n_1}(c_1) \times TM^{n_2}(c_2) \longrightarrow TM^{n_1}(c_1) \times TM^{n_2}(c_2)$ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field defined by

$$F(X_1 + X_2) = X_1 - X_2$$

for any vector field $X = X_1 + X_2$, X_1, X_2 denote the parts of X tangent to the first and second factors, respectively. It is easy to see that F satisfies

$$F^2 = I \text{ (and } F \neq I), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\tilde{g}(FX, Y) = \tilde{g}(X, FY), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}F = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

(see [10]). By an easy calculation, we obtain the curvature tensor of $(M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= a[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(FY, Z)FX - g(FX, Z)FY] \\ &\quad + b[g(Y, Z)FX - g(X, Z)FY + g(Y, FZ)X - g(X, FZ)Y] \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

with $a = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{4}$ and $b = \frac{c_1 - c_2}{4}$ [2].

Now let $X \in TM^m$ and $\xi \in T^\perp M^m$. The decompositions of FX and $F\xi$ into tangent and normal components can be written as

$$FX = kX + hX \text{ and } F\xi = s\xi + t\xi, \tag{2.5}$$

where $k : TM^m \longrightarrow TM^m$, $h : TM^m \longrightarrow T^\perp M^m$, $s : T^\perp M^m \longrightarrow TM^m$, and $t : T^\perp M^m \longrightarrow T^\perp M^m$ are $(1, 1)$ -tensor fields. From equations (2.1) and (2.2), it is easy to see that k and t are symmetric and satisfy the following properties:

$$k^2X = X - shX, \tag{2.6}$$

$$t^2\xi = \xi - hs\xi, \quad (2.7)$$

$$ks\xi + st\xi = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$hkX + thX = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\tilde{g}(hX, \xi) = \tilde{g}(X, s\xi), \quad (2.10)$$

(for more details see [10]).

3. f -BIHARMONIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF PRODUCT SPACES

Let $\varphi : M^m \rightarrow (M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$ be an isometric immersion from an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^m, g) into the product of two space forms $M^{n_1}(c_1)$ and $M^{n_2}(c_2)$ of constant curvatures c_1, c_2 with dimensions n_1 and n_2 . We shall denote by B, A, H, Δ and Δ^\perp the second fundamental form, the shape operator, the mean curvature vector field, the Laplacian and the Laplacian on the normal bundle of M^m in $N = (M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$, respectively.

Firstly we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let M^m be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed into the product space $N = (M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$. Then M^m is f -biharmonic if and only if the following two equations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^\perp H + trB(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - \frac{\Delta f}{f}H - 2\nabla_{grad \ln f}^\perp H \\ = a(mH - hsH + tr(k)tH) + b(mtH + tr(k)H) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{2}grad \|H\|^2 + 2tr(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + 2A_H grad \ln f \\ = a(-ksH + tr(k)sH) + b(m-1)sH. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let us denote by ∇^φ, ∇ the Levi-Civita connections on N and M^m , respectively. Let $\{e_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ be a local geodesic orthonormal frame at $p \in M^m$. Then

$$\tau(\varphi) = tr(\nabla d\varphi) = mH. \quad (3.3)$$

From (1.1) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\varphi) &= tr(\nabla^\varphi \nabla^\varphi - \nabla_{\nabla^\varphi}^\varphi) \tau(\varphi) - tr(R^N(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi e_i}^\varphi) mH - \sum_{i=1}^m R^N(d\varphi(e_i), mH)d\varphi(e_i) \\ &= -m \left\{ \Delta H + \sum_{i=1}^m R^N(d\varphi(e_i), H)d\varphi(e_i) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By (2.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(d\varphi(e_i), H)d\varphi(e_i)) \\ &= a [-mH + F(FH)^T - tr(k)FH] + b [-mFH + (FH)^T - tr(k)H]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) in the above equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(d\varphi(e_i), H)d\varphi(e_i)) &= a [-mH + ksH + hsH - tr(k)sH - tr(k)tH] \\ &+ b [-msH - mtH + sH - tr(k)H]. \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

By the use of the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H &= - \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi H) = - \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi (-A_H e_i + \nabla_{e_i}^\perp H)) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ -\nabla_{e_i} A_H e_i - B(e_i, A_H e_i) - A_{\nabla_{e_i}^\perp H} e_i + \nabla_{e_i}^\perp \nabla_{e_i}^\perp H \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i} A_H e_i + \sum_{i=1}^m B(e_i, A_H e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m A_{\nabla_{e_i}^\perp H} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i}^\perp \nabla_{e_i}^\perp H \\ &= tr(\nabla \cdot A_H \cdot) + tr B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + tr(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + \Delta^\perp H. \quad (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall compute $tr(\nabla \cdot A_H \cdot)$. In view of Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i} A_H e_i &= \sum_{i,j} g(\nabla_{e_i} A_H e_i, e_j) e_j = \sum_{i,j} e_i g(A_H e_i, e_j) e_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} e_i g(B(e_i, e_j), H) e_j = \sum_{i,j} e_i g(\nabla_{e_j}^\varphi e_i, H) e_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left\{ g(\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi e_i, H) e_j + g(\nabla_{e_j}^\varphi e_i, \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi H) e_j \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left\{ g(\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi e_i, H) e_j + g(B(e_i, e_j), \nabla_{e_i}^\perp H) e_j \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} g(\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi e_i, H) e_j + \sum_i A_{\nabla_{e_i}^\perp H}(e_i). \quad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the definition of the curvature tensor of N we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} g(\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi e_i, H) e_j &= \sum_{i,j} g(R^N(e_i, e_j) e_i + \nabla_{e_j}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi e_i + \nabla_{[e_i, e_j]}^\varphi e_i, H) \\ &= mg(\nabla_{e_j} H, H) \\ &= \frac{m}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.7) and then using (3.7) into (3.6), we get

$$\Delta H = \frac{m}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2 + \text{tr} B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + 2 \text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + \Delta^\perp H. \quad (3.9)$$

In view of equations (3.9) and (3.5) into (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\varphi) &= -m \left\{ \frac{m}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2 + \text{tr} B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + 2 \text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + \Delta^\perp H \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a[-mH + ksH + hsH - \text{tr}(k)sH - \text{tr}(k)tH] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b[-msH - mtH + sH - \text{tr}(k)H] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Using Weingarten formula and equation (3.3), we have

$$\nabla_{\text{grad} f}^\varphi \tau(\varphi) = \nabla_{\text{grad} f}^\varphi mH = m \left(-A_H \text{grad} f + \nabla_{\text{grad} f}^\perp H \right). \quad (3.11)$$

Finally substituting equations (3.3), (3.10) and (3.11) into equation (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -fm \left\{ \frac{m}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2 + \text{tr} B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + 2 \text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + \Delta^\perp H \right. \\ \left. + a[-mH + ksH + hsH - \text{tr}(k)sH - \text{tr}(k)tH] \right. \\ \left. + b[-msH - mtH + sH - \text{tr}(k)H] \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\Delta f}{f} H + 2A_H \text{grad} \ln f - 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence comparing the tangential and the normal parts, we obtain the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let M^m be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed into the product space $N = (M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$.*

1) *If FH is tangent to M^m , then M^m is f -biharmonic if and only if*

$$\Delta^\perp H + \text{tr} B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - \frac{\Delta f}{f} H - 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H - [a(m-1) + b \text{tr}(k)] H = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\frac{m}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2 + 2 \text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + 2A_H \text{grad} \ln f - [a \text{tr}(k) + b(m-1)] FH = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

2) If FH is normal to M^m , then M^m is f -biharmonic if and only if

$$\Delta^\perp H + \text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - \frac{\Delta f}{f}H - 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H - [am + b\text{tr}(k)]H - [\text{atr}(k) + bm]FH = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\frac{m}{2}\text{grad} \|H\|^2 + 2\text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H}) + 2A_H \text{grad} \ln f = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. 1) If FH is tangent to M^m , then by the use of (2.5) we have $FH = sH$ and $tH = 0$. So from (2.7), we have $hsH = H$ and by Theorem 3.1 we find (3.12) and (3.13).

2) If FH is normal to M^m , then $sH = 0$ and $tH = FH$. Hence from Theorem 3.1 we get (3.14) and (3.15). \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let M^m be a submanifold of $S^p(r) \times S^{n-p}(r)$ of dimension $m \geq 2$ with non-zero constant mean curvature such that FH is tangent to M^m .*

1) If M^m is proper f -biharmonic, then

$$0 < \|H\|^2 \leq \inf \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) + \frac{\Delta f}{f}}{m} \right\}. \quad (3.16)$$

2) Assume that f is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ corresponding to real eigenvalue λ . Hence the equality in (3.16) occurs and M^m is proper f -biharmonic if and only if M^m is pseudo-umbilical,

$$\nabla^\perp H = 0,$$

$$2A_H \text{grad} \ln f - \frac{1}{2r^2} \text{tr}(k)FH = 0$$

and

$$\text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) = \left[\frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) + \lambda \right] H.$$

Proof. We assume that FH is tangent to M^m , then in view of (2.5) we have $FH = sH$ and $tH = 0$. Hence by (2.7), we have $hsH = H$. By the use of (3.12) we have

$$\Delta^\perp H + \text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - \frac{\Delta f}{f}H - 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H - \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1)H = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Then taking the scalar product of (3.17) with H , we find

$$g(\Delta^\perp H, H) + g(\text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)), H) - \frac{\Delta f}{f}g(H, H) - 2g\left(\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H, H\right) - \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1)g(H, H) = 0.$$

Since $\|H\|$ is a constant, we have

$$g(\Delta^\perp H, H) = \frac{\Delta f}{f} \|H\|^2 - \|A_H\|^2 + \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) \|H\|^2.$$

Using the Bochner formula, we get

$$\left\| \nabla^\perp H \right\|^2 + \|A_H\|^2 = \left[\frac{\Delta f}{f} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) \right] \|H\|^2. \quad (3.18)$$

By the use of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have $\|A_H\|^2 \geq m \|H\|^4$ (see [9]). Hence we find

$$\left[\frac{\Delta f}{f} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) \right] \|H\|^2 \geq m \|H\|^4 + \left\| \nabla^\perp H \right\|^2 \geq m \|H\|^4. \quad (3.19)$$

Since $\|H\|$ is a non-zero constant, we can write

$$0 < \|H\|^2 \leq \inf \left\{ \frac{\left[\frac{\Delta f}{f} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) \right]}{m} \right\}. \quad (3.20)$$

Now, if f is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ corresponding to the real eigenvalue λ , then $\frac{\Delta f}{f} = \lambda$. We can write

$$\|H\|^2 = \frac{\left[\lambda + \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) \right]}{m}. \quad (3.21)$$

Assume that M^m is proper f -biharmonic. From (3.19), first we have $\nabla^\perp H = 0$. Moreover substituting the equation (3.21) into (3.19) we find

$$\|A_H\|^2 = \frac{\left[\lambda + \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) \right]^2}{m}.$$

That is, M^m is pseudo-umbilical. Then from (3.13) we have

$$2A_H \text{grad} \ln f - \frac{1}{2r^2} \text{tr}(k) FH = 0.$$

In this case (3.12) turns into

$$\text{tr} B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) = \left[\lambda + \frac{1}{2r^2}(m-1) \right] H.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we consider f -biharmonic hypersurface M^m of $S^p(r) \times S^{n-p}(r)$ such that FH is tangent to M^m . Firstly we have:

Proposition 3.4. *Let M^{n-1} be a hypersurface of $S^p(r) \times S^{n-p}(r)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature such that FH is tangent to M^{n-1} . Then M^{n-1} is f -biharmonic if and only if*

$$A_H \text{grad} \ln f = \frac{1}{4r^2} \text{tr}(k) FH$$

and

$$\|B\|^2 = \frac{n-2}{2r^2} + \frac{\Delta f}{f}.$$

Proof. Assume that M^{n-1} is a hypersurface of $S^p(r) \times S^{n-p}(r)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature such that FH is tangent to M^{n-1} . Then from (3.12) we get

$$\text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) = \left[\frac{\Delta f}{f} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(n-2) \right] H.$$

Then, by taking the scalar product with H , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(B(e_i, A_H e_i), H) &= \left[\frac{\Delta f}{f} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(n-2) \right] \|H\|^2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(A_H e_i, A_H e_i) &= \left[\frac{\Delta f}{f} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(n-2) \right] \|H\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|A_H\|^2 = \left[\frac{\Delta f}{f} + \frac{1}{2r^2}(n-2) \right] \|H\|^2.$$

From ([10], page 71), we know that $\|A_H\|^2 = \|B\|^2$. Hence we find

$$\|B\|^2 = \frac{n-2}{2r^2} + \frac{\Delta f}{f}. \tag{3.22}$$

So the equation (3.13) is reduced to

$$A_H \text{grad} \ln f = \frac{1}{4r^2} \text{tr}(k) FH.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let M^{n-1} be a proper f -biharmonic hypersurface of $S^p(r) \times S^{n-1}(r)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature such that FH is tangent to M^{n-1} . Then the scalar curvature of M^{n-1} is given by*

$$\text{Scal}_{M^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2r^2} \{ (n-1)(n-3) - (n-2) + \text{tr}(k)^2 \} + (n-1)^2 \|H\|^2 - \frac{\Delta f}{f}.$$

Proof. By the use of the Gauss equation, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Scal_{M^{n-1}} = & \sum_{i=1}^m g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m g(B(e_i, e_i), B(e_j, e_j)) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^m g(B(e_j, e_i), B(e_j, e_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Then we compute

$$Scal_{M^{n-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^m g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) + (n-1)^2 \|H\|^2 - \|B\|^2. \quad (3.23)$$

Using (2.4) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) = & \frac{1}{2r^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m g(e_j, e_j)g(e_i, e_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m g(e_i, e_j)g(e_i, e_j) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m g(Fe_j, e_j)g(Fe_i, e_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m g(Fe_i, e_j)g(Fe_i, e_j) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^m g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) = \frac{1}{2r^2} \{ (n-1)(n-3) + tr(k)^2 \}. \quad (3.24)$$

Finally, in view of equations (3.24) and (3.22) into (3.23), we get

$$Scal_{M^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2r^2} \{ (n-1)(n-3) - (n-2) + tr(k)^2 \} + (n-1)^2 \|H\|^2 - \frac{\Delta f}{f}.$$

This proves the proposition. \square

4. BI- f -HARMONIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF PRODUCT SPACES

In this section, we consider bi- f -harmonic submanifolds of product of two real space forms. We firstly state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M^m be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed into the product space $N = (M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$. Then M^m is bi- f -harmonic if and only if the following two equations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (mf^2) (\Delta^\perp H) + (mf^2) trB(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - fm(\Delta f)H - (3mf) \nabla_{gradf}^\perp H \\ & - ftrB(\cdot, \nabla \cdot gradf) - ftr \nabla^\perp B(\cdot, gradf) - m \|gradf\|^2 H - B(gradf, gradf) \\ & = (mf^2) \{ a[mH - hsH + tr(k)tH + tr(k)hgradf + hkgradf] \\ & \quad + b[mtH + tr(k)H + (m-1)hgradf] \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(mf)^2}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2 + 2(mf^2) \text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H}) + 3(mf) A_H \text{grad} f \\ & + f \text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad} f) + f \text{grad}(\Delta f) + f \text{tr} A_{B(\cdot, \text{grad} f)}(\cdot) - \frac{1}{2} \text{grad} (\|\text{grad} f\|^2) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a [-ksH + \text{tr}(k)sH + (m-1)\text{grad} f + \text{tr}(k)k\text{grad} f - k^2\text{grad} f] \\ & \quad + b [(m-1)sH + m(k\text{grad} f) + \text{tr}(k)\text{grad} f]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us denote by ∇^φ, ∇ the Levi-Civita connections on N and M^m , respectively. Let $\{e_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ be a local geodesic orthonormal frame at $p \in M^m$.

From the equations (1.3) and (3.3), we find

$$\tau_f(\varphi) = fmH + d\varphi(\text{grad} f) = fmH + \text{grad} f. \quad (4.1)$$

Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(\tau_f(\varphi), d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i)) &= mf \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(H, d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i)) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(\text{grad} f, d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using the equation (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(\text{grad} f, d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i)) \\ & = a \left[(m-1)(\text{grad} f) + \text{tr}(k)(F\text{grad} f) - F(F\text{grad} f)^T \right] \\ & \quad + b \left[(m-1)(F\text{grad} f) + \text{tr}(k)(\text{grad} f) - (F\text{grad} f)^T \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) in the above equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(\text{grad} f, d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i)) &= a [(m-1)(\text{grad} f) + \text{tr}(k)(k\text{grad} f) \\ & \quad + \text{tr}(k)(h\text{grad} f) - k^2\text{grad} f + hk\text{grad} f] \\ & \quad + b [m(k\text{grad} f) + (m-1)(h\text{grad} f) + \text{tr}(k)\text{grad} f]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

In view of equations (3.5) and (4.3) into equation (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (R^N(\tau_f(\varphi), d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i)) &= a [mH - ksH - hsH + \text{tr}(k)sH + \text{tr}(k)tH \\ & \quad + (m-1)(\text{grad} f) + \text{tr}(k)(k\text{grad} f) + \text{tr}(k)(h\text{grad} f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -k^2 \text{grad}f + h k \text{grad}f] + b [msH + mtH - sH + \text{tr}(k)Hm(k \text{grad}f) \\
& + (m-1)(h \text{grad}f) + \text{tr}(k) \text{grad}f]. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

By the use of the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \tau_f(\varphi) - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i}^\varphi \tau_f(\varphi)) = \sum_{i=1}^m [\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi (fmH + \text{grad}f)] \\
& = m \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi (e_i(f)H + f \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi H) + \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi (\nabla_{e_i} \text{grad}f + B(e_i, \text{grad}f)) \\
& = m \sum_{i=1}^m \{e_i(e_i(f))H + 2e_i(f) \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi H + f \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi H\} + \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \text{grad}f \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ B(e_i, \nabla_{e_i} \text{grad}f) - A_{B(e_i, \text{grad}f)}(e_i) + \nabla_{e_i}^\perp B(e_i, \text{grad}f) \right\}. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the equation (3.9), we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi H) = -\frac{m}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2 - \text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) - 2\text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) - \Delta^\perp H. \tag{4.6}$$

In view of equation (4.6) into (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \tau_f(\varphi) - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i}^\varphi \tau_f(\varphi)) = m(\Delta f)H + 2m \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{\text{grad}f}^\varphi H \\
& - \frac{m^2 f}{2} \text{grad} \|H\|^2 - (mf) \text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) - 2(mf) \text{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) \\
& - (mf) \Delta^\perp H + \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \text{grad}f + \sum_{i=1}^m B(e_i, \nabla_{e_i} \text{grad}f) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^m A_{B(e_i, \text{grad}f)}(e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{e_i}^\perp B(e_i, \text{grad}f). \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\text{grad}f}^\varphi \tau_f(\varphi) = \nabla_{\text{grad}f}^\varphi (fmH + \text{grad}f) \\
& = m \|\text{grad}f\|^2 H - (mf) A_H \text{grad}f + (mf) \nabla_{\text{grad}f}^\perp H \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \text{grad} \left(\|\text{grad}f\|^2 \right) + B(\text{grad}f, \text{grad}f). \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally substituting (4.4), (4.7) and (4.8) into equation (1.4) and comparing the tangential and the normal parts, we obtain the desired result. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let M^m be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed into the product space $N = (M^{n_1}(c_1) \times M^{n_2}(c_2), \tilde{g})$.*

1) If FH and $Fgradf$ are tangent to M^m , then M^m is bi-*f*-harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (mf^2) \left(\Delta^\perp H \right) + (mf^2) \operatorname{tr} B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - fm(\Delta f)H - (3mf) \nabla_{gradf}^\perp H \\ & - f \operatorname{tr} B(\cdot, \nabla \cdot gradf) - f \operatorname{tr} \nabla^\perp B(\cdot, gradf) - m \|gradf\|^2 H - B(gradf, gradf) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a [(m-1)H] + b [\operatorname{tr}(k)H]\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(mf)^2}{2} grad \|H\|^2 + 2(mf^2) \operatorname{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + 3(mf) A_H gradf \\ & + f \operatorname{Ricci}^M(gradf) + f grad(\Delta f) + f \operatorname{tr} A_{B(\cdot, gradf)}(\cdot) - \frac{1}{2} grad \left(\|gradf\|^2 \right) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a [\operatorname{tr}(k)(Fgradf) + (m-2)gradf + \operatorname{tr}(k)FH] \\ & \quad + b [(m-1)FH + m(Fgradf) + \operatorname{tr}(k)gradf]\}. \end{aligned}$$

2) If FH is tangent to M^m and $Fgradf$ is normal to M^m , then M^m is bi-*f*-harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (mf^2) \left(\Delta^\perp H \right) + (mf^2) \operatorname{tr} B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - fm(\Delta f)H - (3mf) \nabla_{gradf}^\perp H \\ & - f \operatorname{tr} B(\cdot, \nabla \cdot gradf) - f \operatorname{tr} \nabla^\perp B(\cdot, gradf) - m \|gradf\|^2 H - B(gradf, gradf) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a [(m-1)H + \operatorname{tr}(k)(Fgradf)] \\ & \quad + b [\operatorname{tr}(k)H + (m-1)(Fgradf)]\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(mf)^2}{2} grad \|H\|^2 + 2(mf^2) \operatorname{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + 3(mf) A_H gradf \\ & + f \operatorname{Ricci}^M(gradf) + f grad(\Delta f) + f \operatorname{tr} A_{B(\cdot, gradf)}(\cdot) - \frac{1}{2} grad \left(\|gradf\|^2 \right) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a [\operatorname{tr}(k)FH + (m-1)gradf] + b [(m-1)FH + \operatorname{tr}(k)gradf]\}. \end{aligned}$$

3) If FH is normal to M^m and $Fgradf$ is tangent to M^m , then M^m is bi-*f*-harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (mf^2) \left(\Delta^\perp H \right) + (mf^2) \operatorname{tr} B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - fm(\Delta f)H - (3mf) \nabla_{gradf}^\perp H \\ & - f \operatorname{tr} B(\cdot, \nabla \cdot gradf) - f \operatorname{tr} \nabla^\perp B(\cdot, gradf) - m \|gradf\|^2 H - B(gradf, gradf) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a [mH + \operatorname{tr}(k)FH] + b [mFH + \operatorname{tr}(k)H]\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(mf)^2}{2} grad \|H\|^2 + 2(mf^2) \operatorname{tr}(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + 3(mf) A_H gradf \\ & + f \operatorname{Ricci}^M(gradf) + f grad(\Delta f) + f \operatorname{tr} A_{B(\cdot, gradf)}(\cdot) - \frac{1}{2} grad \left(\|gradf\|^2 \right) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a [(m-2)gradf + \operatorname{tr}(k)(Fgradf)] \} \end{aligned}$$

$$+b[m(Fgradf) + tr(k)gradf]\}.$$

4) If FH and $Fgradf$ are normal to M^m , then M^m is bi- f -harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (mf^2) (\Delta^\perp H) + (mf^2) trB(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) - fm(\Delta f)H - (3mf) \nabla_{gradf}^\perp H \\ & - ftrB(\cdot, \nabla \cdot gradf) - ftr \nabla^\perp B(\cdot, gradf) - m \|gradf\|^2 H - B(gradf, gradf) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a[mH + tr(k)FH + tr(k)(Fgradf)] \\ & \quad + b[mFH + tr(k)H + (m-1)Fgradf]\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(mf)^2}{2} grad \|H\|^2 + 2(mf^2) tr(A_{\nabla^\perp H} \cdot) + 3(mf) A_H gradf \\ & + fRicci^M(gradf) + fgrad(\Delta f) + ftr A_{B(\cdot, gradf)}(\cdot) - \frac{1}{2} grad(\|gradf\|^2) \\ & = (mf^2) \{a[(m-1)gradf] + b[tr(k)gradf]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1) If FH and $Fgradf$ are tangent to M^m , then by the use of (2.5) we have $FH = sH$, $tH = 0$, $Fgradf = kgradf$ and $hgradf = 0$. So from equations (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9), we have $hsH = H$, $k^2gradf = gradf$ and $hkgradf = 0$. By Theorem 4.1 we find the result.

2) If FH is tangent and $Fgradf$ is normal to M^m , then $tH = 0$, $sH = FH$, $H = hsH$, $ksH = 0$, $kgradf = 0$ and $Fgradf = hgradf$. Hence from Theorem 4.1, we get the result.

3) If FH is normal and $Fgradf$ is tangent to M^m , then $sH = 0$, $FH = tH$, $Fgradf = kgradf$, $hgradf = 0$, $k^2gradf = gradf$ and $hkgradf = 0$. Using Theorem 4.1, we obtain the result.

4) If FH and $Fgradf$ are normal to M^m , then $sH = 0$, $FH = tH$, $kgradf = 0$ and $Fgradf = hgradf$. By Theorem 4.1 we find the result. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the reviewer for his/her helpful and constructive comments that greatly contributed to improving the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Course, *f-harmonic maps*, Thesis, University of Warwick, 2004.
- [2] F. Dillen and D. Kowalczyk, *Constant angle surfaces in product spaces*, J. Geom. Phys., 62 (6) (2012), 1414–1432.
- [3] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [4] G. Y. Jiang, *2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 7 (4) (1986), 389–402.
- [5] W-J. Lu, *On f-bi-harmonic maps and bi-f-harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds*, Sci. China Math., 58 (2015), 1483–1498.

- [6] Y-L. Ou, *On f -biharmonic maps and f -biharmonic submanifolds*, Pacific J. Math., 271 (2) (2014), 461–477.
- [7] Y-L. Ou, *f -harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B, 35 (2) (2014), 225–236.
- [8] S. Ouakkas, R. Nasri and M. Djaa, *On the f -harmonic and f -biharmonic maps*, JP J. Geom. Topol., 10 (1) (2010), 11–27.
- [9] J. Roth, *A note on biharmonic submanifolds of product spaces*, J. Geom., 104 (2) (2013), 375–381.
- [10] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Structures on Manifolds*, Series in Pure Mathematics, 3. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.
- [11] K. Zegga, A. M. Cherif and M. Djaa, *On the f -biharmonic maps and submanifolds*, Kyungpook Math. J., 55 (1) (2015), 157–168.

(Received: January 11, 2016)

(Revised: April 19, 2016)

Department of Mathematics
Balıkesir University
Campus of Çağış
Balıkesir
Turkey
fatmagurlerr@gmail.com
cozgur@balikesir.edu.tr