# THE IDEAL-BASED ZERO-DIVISOR GRAPH OF COMMUTATIVE CHAINED RINGS

## DAVID F. ANDERSON, S. EBRAHIMI ATANI, M. SHAJARI KOHAN, AND Z. EBRAHIMI SARVANDI

ABSTRACT. Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring R with  $1 \neq 0$ . The ideal-based zero-divisor graph of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_I(R)$ , is the (simple) graph with vertices  $\{x \in R \setminus I \mid xy \in I \text{ for some }$  $y \in R \setminus I$ , and distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if  $xy \in I$ . In this paper, we study  $\Gamma_I(R)$  for commutative rings R such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the literature, there are many papers on assigning a graph to a ring (see, for example,  $[1]$  -  $[7]$ ,  $[9]$ , and  $[11]$ ). Among the most interesting graphs are zero-divisor graphs, because they involve both ring theory and graph theory. By studying these graphs, we can gain a broader insight into the concepts and properties that involve both graphs and rings. The concept of zero-divisor graph for a commutative ring  $R$  was introduced by I. Beck [7], where he was mainly interested in colorings. In his work, all elements of R were vertices of the graph, and distinct vertices x and  $y$  were adjacent if and only if  $xy = 0$ . This investigation of colorings of a commutative ring was then continued by D. D. Anderson and M. Naseer in [1]. Let  $Z(R)$  be the set of zero-divisors of  $R$ . In [5], D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston associated a (simple) graph  $\Gamma(R)$  to R, with vertices  $Z(R)^* = Z(R)\setminus\{0\}$ , the set of nonzero zero-divisors of  $R$ , and distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $xy = 0$ . The zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(R)$  of R has been studied extensively; see the the survey articles [2] and [9].

Let R be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ , I a proper ideal of R, and  $Z_I(R) = \{x \in R \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } y \in R \setminus I\}.$  In [11], S. P. Redmond introduced the *ideal-based zero-divisor graph* of R with respect to I, denoted by  $\Gamma_I(R)$ , with vertices  $Z_I(R)^* = Z_I(R) \setminus I = \{ x \in R \setminus I \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } I \in \mathbb{R} \setminus I \mid x \in I \}$ 

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C25, 05C38, 13A15.

Key words and phrases. Zero-divisor graph, deal-based zero-divisor graph, chained ring.

 $y \in R \setminus I$ , and distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if  $xy \in I$ . Thus  $\Gamma_{\{0\}}(R) = \Gamma(R)$  and  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is the empty graph if and only if I is a prime ideal of R. In [11], he explored the relationship between  $\Gamma_I(R)$ and  $\Gamma(R/I)$  and showed, among other things, that  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is connected with diam( $\Gamma_I(R)$ )  $\in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(R)) \in \{3, 4, \infty\}.$ 

In [3], D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi studied  $\Gamma(R)$  for several classes of rings which generalize valuation domains to the context of rings with zero-divisors. These rings include chained rings and rings  $R$  whose prime ideals contained in  $Z(R)$  are linearly ordered. Recall that a ring R is a *chained ring* if the (principal) ideals of  $R$  are linearly ordered (by inclusion), equivalently, if either  $x|y$  or  $y|x$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Examples of chained rings include valuation domains and factor rings of chained rings.

In this paper, we study  $\Gamma_I(R)$  for commutative rings R such that  $R/I$ is a chained ring. Clearly,  $R/I$  is a chained ring when R is a chained ring; however,  $R/I$  may be a chained ring when R is not a chained ring. For example, let  $J$  be a proper ideal of a chained ring  $S$  (e.g., a valuation domain),  $R = S[X]$  or  $S[[X]]$ , and  $I = (J, X)$ . Then R is not a chained ring, but  $R/I \cong S/J$  is a chained ring. As another example, let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be chained rings and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  with ideals  $I_1 = R_1 \times \{0\}$  and  $I_2 = \{0\} \times R_2$ . Then R is not a chained ring, but  $R/I_1 \cong R_2$  and  $R/I_2 \cong R_1$  are both chained rings.

In Section 2, we study the relationship between several natural subgraphs of  $\Gamma_I(R)$ . Then, in Section 3, we specialize to the case when  $R/I$  is a chained ring. We completely characterize the diameter and girth of the graph  $\Gamma_I(R)$ for such rings in Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.9, respectively. Moreover, we extend several results in [3] to the more general ideal-based zero-divisor graph case. In fact, results in [3] for  $\Gamma(R)$  when R is a chained ring are actually special cases of the results in this paper for  $\Gamma_I(R)$  when  $R/I$  is a chained ring since if  $I = \{0\}$ , then R is a chained ring and  $\Gamma(R) = \Gamma_I(R)$ . We invite the interested reader to compare the results in [3] for  $\Gamma(R)$  to the results in this paper for  $\Gamma_I(R)$ .

In order to make this paper easier to follow, we next recall various notions which will be used in the sequel. For a graph  $\Gamma$ , let  $E(\Gamma)$  and  $V(\Gamma)$  denote the sets of edges and vertices of Γ, respectively. By abuse of notation, we will often refer to a subgraph of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  by its set of vertices; all such subgraphs will be induced subgraphs. We recall that a graph is *connected* if there exists a path connecting any two distinct vertices. At the other extreme, we say that a graph  $\Gamma$  is *totally disconnected* if no two vertices of  $\Gamma$  are adjacent. The distance between two distinct vertices a and b in  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $d(a, b)$ , is the length of a shortest path connecting them  $(d(a, a) = 0$  and  $d(a, b) = \infty$  if there is no such path). The *diameter* of a graph Γ, denoted by

 $\text{diam}(\Gamma)$ , is sup $\{d(a, b) \mid a, b \in V(\Gamma)\}\$ . A graph is *complete* if it is connected with diameter less than or equal to one. The *girth* of a graph  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $gr(\Gamma)$ , is the length of a shortest cycle in Γ, provided Γ contains a cycle; otherwise,  $gr(\Gamma) = \infty$ . Recall that a graph  $\Gamma$  is a *star graph* if it has a vertex that is adjacent to every other vertex and this is the only adjacency relation. Throughout this paper, all rings are assumed to be commutative with  $1 \neq 0$ . As usual,  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_n$ , and  $\mathbb Q$  denote the rings of integers, integers modulo n, and rational numbers, respectively; for an ideal I of R,  $\sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid x^n \in I\}$ for some integer  $n \geq 1$ ; and nil $(R) = \sqrt{\{0\}}$ . To avoid any trivalities when  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is the empty graph, we will implicitly assume when necessary that I is not a prime ideal of  $R$ . For a ring theory reference, see [10]; for a graph theory reference, see [8].

## 2. SUBGRAPHS OF  $\Gamma_I(R)$

Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$ . In this section, we investigate the relationship between several subgraphs of  $\Gamma_I(R)$ . It will be convenient to let  $Z_I(R)^* = Z_I(R) \setminus I = \{ x \in R \setminus I \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } I \in I \}$  $y \in R \backslash I$ . Note that  $Z_{\{0\}}(R) = Z(R)$ ,  $Z(R/I) = Z_I(R)/I$ , and  $V(\Gamma_I(R)) =$  $Z_I(R)^*$ . Moreover,  $Z_I(R)^* = \emptyset$  (i.e.,  $Z_I(R) = I$ ) if and only if I is a prime ideal of R. Also, let  $N_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid x^2 \in I \}$  and  $N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I$ . Clearly,  $I \subseteq N_I(R) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , and  $N_I(R)^* = \emptyset$  (i.e.,  $N_I(R) = I$ ) if and only if I Clearly,  $I \subseteq N_I(R) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , and  $N_I(R)$ <br>is a radical ideal of R (i.e.,  $\sqrt{I} = I$ ).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$ , √ **Proposition 2.1.** Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring R,  $\sqrt{I}^* =$  $\overline{I} \setminus I$ ,  $Z_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } y \in R \setminus I \}, Z_I(R)^* = Z_I(R) \setminus I$ , and  $N_I(R) = \{x \in R \mid x^2 \in I\}$ . Then the following hold.

- $(1)$   $\sqrt{I}^* \subseteq Z_I(R)^*.$
- (2)  $I \subseteq N_I(R) \subseteq \sqrt{I} \subseteq Z_I(R)$ .
- (3) If  $Z_I(R)$  is an ideal of R, then it is a prime ideal of R.
- (3) If  $Z_I(\mathbf{R})$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{R}$ , then it<br>
(4)  $N_I(R) = I$  if and only if  $\sqrt{I} = I$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in$ √  $\overline{I}^* =$ √ I \ I. Let  $n \ (n \geq 2)$  be the least positive integer such that  $x^n \in I$ . As  $x \notin I$ ,  $x^{n-1} \notin I$ , and  $xx^{n-1} = x^n \in I$ , we have  $x \in Z_I(R) \setminus I = Z_I(R)^*$ .

(2) This follows from part (1) and the above comments.

(3) Suppose that  $Z_I(R)$  is an ideal of R, and let  $x, y \in R$  such that  $xy \in Z_I(R)$ . Then there is a  $z \in R \setminus I$  such that  $(xy)z \in I$ . If  $yz \in I$ , then  $y \in Z_I(R)$ . If  $yz \notin I$ , then  $x \in Z_I(R)$ . Thus  $Z_I(R)$  is a prime ideal of R. (This also follows since  $Z_I(R)$  is a union of prime ideals of R).

(4) This is clear.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$ , √  $\overline{I}^* =$ √  $\left\langle I\right\rangle$  $I, Z_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } y \in R \setminus I \}, \text{ and } Z_I(R)^* = Z_I(R) \setminus I.$ Then the following hold. √

- $(1)$  If  $x \in$  $\overline{I}^*$  and  $y \in Z_I(R)^*$ , then  $d(x, y) \leq 2$  in  $\Gamma_I(R)$ .
- (2) The subgraph  $Z_I(R)\setminus\sqrt{I}$  of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is totally disconnected if and only *ine subgraph*  $\angle I(\mathbf{R}) \setminus \sqrt{I}$  *by if*  $\sqrt{I}$  *is a prime ideal of R*.

*Proof.* (1) We may assume that  $x \neq y$  and  $xy \notin I$ . Since  $y \in Z_I(R) \setminus I$  and  $xy \notin I$ , there is a  $z \in Z_I(R) \setminus (I \cup \{x\})$  such that  $zy \in I$ . There is a least positive integer n such that  $x^n z \in I$  since  $x \in \sqrt{I}^*$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $x - z - y$ is a path of length 2 from x to y. If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $x - x^{n-1}z - y$  is a path of length 2 from x to y. Thus  $d(x, y) \le 2$  in  $\Gamma_I(R)$ .

(2) Assume that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of R, and let x and y be distinct elements of  $Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}$ . If x and y are adjacent, then  $xy \in I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Thus either x or y belongs to  $\sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Hence the subgraph  $Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}$  either x or y belongs to  $\sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Hence the subgraph  $Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}$ is totally disconnected.

Conversely, assume that  $\sqrt{I}$  is not a prime ideal of R. Then there are  $x, y \in R \setminus \sqrt{I}$  with  $xy \in \sqrt{I}$ . Thus  $x^n y^n = (xy)^n \in I$  for some positive integer *n*. If  $x^n = y^n$ , then  $x^{2n} = x^n y^n \in I$ ; so  $x \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $x^n, y^n \in Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}, x^n \neq y^n$ , and  $x^n$  and  $y^n$  are adjacent. Thus the subgraph  $Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}$  is not totally disconnected.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  and **Proposition 2.3.** Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring R and  $N_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid x^2 \in I \}$ . Then every vertex of the subgraph  $\sqrt{I} \setminus N_I(R)$ of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is adjacent to a vertex of the subgraph  $N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I$  of  $\Gamma_I(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in$ √  $I \setminus N_I(R)$ ,  $n (n \geq 3)$  be the least positive integer such that  $x^n \in I$ , and  $y = x^{n-1}$ . Then  $y = x^{n-1} \notin I$ ,  $xy = xx^{n-1} = x^n \in I$ , and  $y^2 = (x^{n-1})^2 = x^{2n-2} \in I$  since  $2n - 2 \ge n$  as  $n \ge 3$ . Thus  $y \in N_I(R) \setminus I =$  $N_I(R)^*, x \neq y$ , and x is adjacent to y in  $\Gamma_I(R)$  since  $xy \in I$ .

Thus  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is the union of three, possibly empty, disjoint subgraphs,  $N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I$ ,  $\sqrt{I} \setminus N_I(R)$ , and  $Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}$ . Suppose that the ideal  $N_I(R) = N_I(R) \setminus I$ ,  $\nabla I \setminus N_I(R)$ , and  $Z_I(R) \setminus \nabla I$ . Suppose that the ideal  $I$  is not a prime ideal of  $R$ , but  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $N_I(R)^*$  is nonempty by Proposition 2.1 (4) and  $Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}$  is totally disconnected by Theorem 2.2 (2).

## 3. Chained rings

In this section, we investigate the ideal-based zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma_I(R)$ with respect to a proper ideal I of a commutative ring R such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring. In particular, these results all hold when  $R$  is a chained ring.

Note that  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of R when  $R/I$  is a chained ring since radical ideals in chained rings are prime ideals.

We first show, among other things, that every vertex of the subgraph  $Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$  is adjacent to a vertex of the subgraph  $N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I$ and every two distinct vertices of  $N_I(R)^*$  are adjacent (i.e.,  $N_I(R)^*$  is a complete subgraph of  $\Gamma_I(R)$ ).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring,  $Z_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } y \in R \setminus I \},$  $Z_I(R)^* = Z_I(R) \setminus I$ ,  $N_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid x^2 \in I \}$ ,  $N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I$ , and  $x, y \in R$ .

- (1) If  $xy \in I$ , then either  $x \in N_I(R)$  or  $y \in N_I(R)$ .
- (2) If  $x, y \in N_I(R)$ , then  $xy \in I$ .
- (3) If  $x, y \in Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$ , then  $xy \notin I$ .
- (4) If  $x \in Z_I(R)^*$ , then  $xy \in I$  for some  $y \in N_I(R)^*$ .
- (5) If  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in Z_I(R)^*$ , then there is a  $y \in N_I(R)^*$  such that  $x_i y \in I$ for every integer i,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (6)  $N_I(R)$  is an ideal of R. Moreover,  $N_I(R) = I$  if and only if I is a prime ideal of R.
- prime ideal of R if and only if  $N_I(R) = \sqrt{I}$ .<br>(7)  $N_I(R)$  is a prime ideal of R if and only if  $N_I(R) = \sqrt{I}$ .
- (8)  $Z_I(R)$  is a prime ideal of R.

*Proof.* (1) Since  $R/I$  is a chained ring, we may assume that  $(x + I)|(y + I)$ in  $R/I$ . Thus  $y = ax + i$  for some  $a \in R$  and  $i \in I$ . Hence  $y^2 = (ax + i)y =$  $axy + iy \in I$  since  $xy \in I$ ; so  $y \in N_I(R)$ .

(2) Since  $R/I$  is a chained ring, we may assume that  $(x + I)|(y + I)$  in R/I. Thus  $y = ax + i$  for some  $a \in R$  and  $i \in I$ . Hence  $xy = x(ax + i)$  $ax^2 + xi \in I$  since  $x^2 \in I$ .

(3) This follows from part (1) above.

(4) If  $x \in N_I(R)^*$ , then let  $y = x$ . If  $x \in Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$ , then there is a  $y \in R \setminus I$  such that  $xy \in I$ . By part (3) above, we have  $y \in N_I(R)^*$ .

(5) Since  $R/I$  is a chained ring, there is an integer  $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , such that  $(x_i+I)|(x_i+I)$  for every integer i,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus  $x_i = a_i x_i + b_i$  for some  $a_i \in R$  and  $b_i \in I$  for every integer i,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . By part (4) above, there is a  $y \in N_I(R)^*$  such that  $x_j y \in I$ . Hence  $x_i y = (a_i x_j + b_i) y = a_i x_j y + b_i y \in I$ for every integer i,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(6) Let  $x, y \in N_I(R)$  and  $r \in R$ . Then  $(rx)^2 = r^2x^2 \in I$  since  $x^2 \in I$ ; so  $rx \in N_I(R)$ . Thus we need only show that  $x + y \in N_I(R)$ . By assumption,  $x^2, y^2 \in I$ , and  $xy \in I$  by part (2) above; so  $(x + y)^2 = x^2 + 2xy + y^2 \in I$ I. Hence  $N_I(R)$  is an ideal of R. The "moreover" statement follows from Proposition 2.1 (4) since  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $I$  is a radical ideal of R as  $R/I$  is a chained ring.

(7) Suppose that  $N_I(R)$  is a prime ideal of R. Then  $N_I(R) = \sqrt{I}$  since (*i*) suppose that  $N_I(R)$  is a prime ideal of R. Then  $N_I(R) = \sqrt{I}$  since  $I \subseteq N_I(R) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Conversely, assume that  $N_I(R) = \sqrt{I}$ . Then  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal of R since  $R/I$  is a chained ring.

(8) Since  $Z_I(R)$  is closed under multiplication and by Proposition 2.1 (3), we need only show that  $Z_I(R)$  is closed under addition. Let  $x, y \in Z_I(R)$ . Since  $R/I$  is a chained ring, we may assume that  $(x+I)|(y+I)$  in  $R/I$ , and thus  $y = ax + i$  for some  $a \in R$  and  $i \in I$ . Let  $z \in R \setminus I$  such that  $xz \in I$ . Then  $(x + y)z = (x + ax + i)z = (1 + a)xz + iz \in I$ ; so  $x + y \in Z_I(R)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.2.** If  $R/I$  is not a chained ring, then  $N_I(R)$  need not be an ideal of R. For example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  and  $I = (X^2, Y^2)$ . Then  $X, Y \in N_I(R)$ , but  $X + Y \notin N_I(R)$ . However,  $N_I(R)$  is an ideal of R when char(R) = 2.

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2[X, Y]$  and  $I = (X^4, Y^4)$ . Then  $R/I$  is not a chained ring,  $N_I(R)$  is an ideal of R since  $char(R) = 2$ , and  $I \subsetneq (X^2, Y^2) = N_I(R) \subsetneq$  $N_I(R)$  is an ideal of  $I$ <br> $(X,Y) = \sqrt{I} = Z_I(R).$ 

The next result improves Theorem 2.2 (2) when  $R/I$  is a chained ring.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring,  $Z_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } y \in R \setminus I \},$  $N_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid x^2 \in I \}, \text{ and } N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I. \text{ Then } N_I(R)^* \text{ is }$ a complete subgraph of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  and the subgraph  $Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$  of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is totally disconnected. Moreover,  $N_I(R)^*$  is nonempty if and only if  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is nonempty.

Proof. The first statement follows from parts (2) and (3) of Proposition 3.1, respectively. The "moreover" statement follows since  $N_I(R)^* = \emptyset$  (i.e., respectively. The moreover statement follows since  $N_I(R) = \psi$  (i.e.,  $N_I(R) = I$ ) if and only if  $\sqrt{I} = I$  by Proposition 2.1 (4), if and only if I is a prime ideal of R (since  $R/I$  is a chained ring), if and only if  $Z_I(R)^* = \emptyset$ (i.e.,  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is the empty graph).

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring. Then  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is a complete graph if and only if  $Z_I(R) = N_I(R)$ . Moreover, if  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is a complete graph, then  $Z(R/I) =$  $nil(R/I).$ 

*Proof.* We first show that  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is complete if and only if  $Z_I(R) = N_I(R)$ . If  $Z_I(R) = N_I(R)$ , then  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is complete by Theorem 3.3. Conversely, suppose that  $N_I(R) \subseteq Z_I(R)$ . Let  $x \in Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$ . Then  $xy \in I$  for some  $y \in N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I$  by Proposition 3.1 (4), and thus  $x + y \in Z_I(R)$  by Proposition 3.1 (8). Moreover,  $x + y \notin N_I(R)$  since  $y \in N_I(R)$ ,  $x \notin N_I(R)$ , and  $N_I(R)$  is an ideal of R by Proposition 3.1 (6). Hence x and  $x + y$  are distinct, nonadjacent vertices since  $Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$  is totally disconnected by Theorem 3.3. Hence  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is not complete.

For the "moreover" statement, suppose that  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is a complete graph. For the moreover statement, suppose that  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is a complete graph.<br>Then  $Z_I(R) = N_I(R)$  by above, and thus  $Z_I(R) = \sqrt{I}$  by Proposition 2.1 Then  $Z_I(R) = N_I(R)$  by above, and thus  $Z_I(R) = \sqrt{I}$  by Proposition 2.1<br>(2). Hence nil  $(R/I) = \sqrt{I}/I = Z_I(R)/I = Z(R/I)$ .

**Remark 3.5.** (1) Corollary 3.4 also follows from [4, Theorem 4.6] and [6, Theorem 4.7]. Note that the converse of the "moreover" statement in Corollary 3.4 need not hold. For example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$  and  $I = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{0\}.$ Then  $R/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$  is a finite local ring; so nil $(R/I) = Z(R/I)$ . However,  $\Gamma_I(R)$ is not complete (cf. Example 3.10). Also, Corollary 3.4 may fail if  $R/I$  is not a chained ring. For example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $I = \{(0,0)\}\.$  Then  $\Gamma_I(R) = \Gamma(R)$  is the complete graph on two vertices, but  $N_I(R) = I \subsetneq$  $R \setminus \{ (1, 1) \} = Z_I (R).$ 

(2) Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring R such that  $R/I$  is a (2) Let *I* be a proper ideal of a commutative ring *R* such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring. Note that if  $\sqrt{I} \subsetneq Z_I(R)$ , then  $R/I$  is infinite. This follows chained ring. Note that if  $\sqrt{I} \subsetneq Z_I(\hat{\pi})$ , then  $\hat{\pi}/I$  is finimite. This follows since if  $R/I$  is finite, then  $\sqrt{I}/I$  is a prime, hence maximal, ideal of  $R/I$ since if  $R/I$  is finite, then  $\sqrt{I/I}$  is a prime, nence maximal, ideal of  $R/I$ <br>contained in the prime ideal  $Z_I(R)/I$ ; so  $\sqrt{I} = Z_I(R)$ . Moreover, if  $\Gamma_I(R)$ is an infinite graph (i.e.,  $I$  is not a prime ideal of  $R$  and either  $I$  is infinite or  $R/I$  is infinite), then the subgraph  $Z_I(R)\backslash N_I(R)$  is infinite if it is nonempty. This is clear if  $N_I(R)$  is finite. If  $N_I(R)$  is infinite, it follows since  $x +$  $N_I(R) \subseteq Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$  for  $x \in Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$ .

(3) Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring R such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring. Then there are eight possibilities for equals or strict inclusion in the chain of ideals  $I \subseteq N_I(R) \subseteq \sqrt{I} \subseteq Z_I(R)$  (i.e., for the subgraphs  $N_I(R) \setminus I$ ,  $\sqrt{I} \setminus N_I(R)$ , and  $Z_I(R) \setminus \sqrt{I}$  of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  being empty or nonempty). If  $N_I(R) = I$ , then I is a prime ideal of R by Proposition 3.1 (6); so in this case, all four ideals are equal and  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is the empty graph. Easy examples show that the other four cases are all possible. For example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} + X \mathbb{Q}[[X]]$  and  $I = (X^2)$ . Then R is a valuation domain; so  $R/I$  is a  $c_1L = \mathbb{Z}(2) + \Lambda \mathbb{Q}[[X]]$  and  $I = (X)$ . Then  $R$  is a valuation domain, so  $R/I$  is a chained ring. Note that  $I \subsetneq (X) = N_I(R) \subsetneq X\mathbb{Q}[[X]] = \sqrt{I} \subsetneq (2) = Z_I(R)$ .

When  $R/I$  is a chained ring, the graph  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is easy to describe. It is the union of two disjoint subgraphs,  $N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I$  (nonempty when  $\Gamma_I(R)$ ) is nonempty) and  $Z_I(R)\backslash N_I(R)$  (possibly empty), where  $N_I(R)^*$  is complete and  $Z_I (R) \setminus N_I (R)$  is totally disconnected by Theorem 3.3, and every vertex of  $Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)$  is adjacent to some vertex of  $N_I(R)$  by Proposition 3.1(4).

Recall that  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_I(R)) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(R)) \in \{3, 4, \infty\}$  for every proper ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$ . Stronger results hold for the diameter and girth of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  when  $R/I$  is a chained ring.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring. Then  $diam(\Gamma_I(R)) \in \{0,1,2\}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $Z_I(R)^* = Z_I(R) \setminus I = V(\Gamma_I(R))$  and  $N_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid x^2 \in I \}.$ If  $|Z_I(R)^*| \leq 1$ , then  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_I(R)) = 0$ . So we may assume that  $|Z_I(R)^*| \geq 2$ . Let  $x, y \in Z_I(R)^*$  with  $x \neq y$ . If  $x, y \in N_I(R)$ , then  $xy \in I$  by Proposition 3.1 (2), and thus  $d(x, y) = 1$ . If  $x \in N_I(R)$  and  $y \notin N_I(R)$ , then  $yz \in I$  for some  $z \in N_I(R)^* \subseteq Z_I(R)^*$  by Proposition 3.1 (4) and  $xz \in I$ by Proposition 3.1 (2). If  $x = z$ , then  $d(x, y) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $x - z - y$ is a path of length 2 from x to y, and hence  $d(x, y) \leq 2$ . Finally, let  $x, y \notin N_I(R)$ . Then  $xz, yz \in I$  for some  $z \in N_I(R)^* \subseteq Z_I(R)^*$  by Proposition 3.1 (5). Thus  $x - z - y$  is a path of length 2 from x to y, and hence  $d(x, y) \leq 2$  (actually,  $d(x, y) = 2$  since  $xy \notin I$  by Proposition 3.1(3)). Thus  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_I(R)) \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$ 

**Remark 3.7.** diam( $\Gamma_I(R)$ ) = 0 (i.e.,  $|Z_I(R)^*| \leq 1$ ) if and only if either  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is the empty graph (i.e., I is a prime ideal of R) or  $I = \{0\}$  (i.e.,  $\Gamma_I(R) = \Gamma(R)$  and  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$ , both of which are chained rings.

Next, we explicitly determine when the diameter of  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is either 0, 1, or 2.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let I be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring,  $Z_I(R) = \{x \in R \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } y \in R \setminus I\},\$  $Z_I(R)^* = Z_I(R) \setminus I$ , and  $N_I(R) = \{x \in R \mid x^2 \in I\}$ . Then exactly one of the following three cases must occur.

- (1)  $|Z_I(R)^*| \leq 1$ . In this case, diam( $\Gamma_I(R)$ ) = 0.
- (2)  $|Z_I(R)^*| \geq 2$  and  $N_I(R) = Z_I(R)$ . In this case, diam( $\Gamma_I(R) = 1$ .
- (3)  $|Z_I(R)^*| \geq 2$  and  $N_I(R) \subsetneq Z_I(R)$ . In this case, diam( $\Gamma_I(R)$ ) = 2.

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 3.1 and the proof of Theorem 3.6.

We next show that  $gr(\Gamma_I(R)) \in \{3,\infty\}$  when  $R/I$  is a chained ring.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a chained ring,  $Z_I(R) = \{x \in R \mid xy \in I \text{ for some } y \in R \setminus I\},\$  $N_I(R) = \{ x \in R \mid x^2 \in I \}, \text{ and } N_I(R)^* = N_I(R) \setminus I. \text{ Then exactly one of }$ the following four cases must occur.

- (1)  $|N_I(R)^*| \leq 1$ . In this case,  $gr(\Gamma_I(R)) = \infty$ .
- (2)  $|N_I(R)^*|=2$  and  $N_I(R)=Z_I(R)$ . In this case,  $gr(\Gamma_I(R))=\infty$ .
- (3)  $|N_I(R)^*|=2$  and  $N_I(R)\subsetneq Z_I(R)$ . In this case,  $gr(\Gamma_I(R))=3$ .
- (4)  $|N_I(R)^*| \geq 3$ . In this case,  $gr(\Gamma_I(R)) = 3$ .

*Proof.* (1) We may assume that  $N_I(R)^* \neq \emptyset$  by the "moreover" statement in Theorem 3.3. Let  $N_I(R)^* = \{x\}$ . If  $N_I(R)^* = Z_I(R)^*$ , then  $gr(\Gamma_I(R)) = \infty$ . If  $N_I(R)^* \subsetneq Z_I(R)^*$ , then  $\Gamma_I(R)$  is a star graph with center x by parts (3) and (4) of Proposition 3.1. Thus  $gr(\Gamma_I(R)) = \infty$ .

(2) By hypothesis,  $|Z_I(R)^*|=2$ ; hence  $gr(\Gamma_I(R))=\infty$ .

(3) Let  $N_I(R)^* = \{x, y\}$ . Then  $xy \in I$  by Proposition 3.1 (2) and  $x + y \in I$  $N_I(R)$  by Proposition 3.1 (6). If  $x + y \in N_I(R) \setminus I = N_I(R)^*$ , then either  $x + y = x$  or  $x + y = y$ . Thus either  $y = 0$  or  $x = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $x + y \in I$ . Let  $z \in Z_I(R) \setminus N_I(R)^*$ . Then either  $xz \in I$  or  $yz \in I$ by Proposition 3.1 (4). However, in either case,  $xz, yz \in I$  since  $x + y \in I$ . Thus  $x - y - z - x$  is a triangle in  $\Gamma_I(R)$ ; so  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(R)) = 3$ .

(4) If  $|N_I(R)^*| \geq 3$ , then  $gr(\Gamma_I(R)) = 3$  by Proposition 3.1 (2).

The final example illustrates the above results. In particular, it shows that all possible values may be realized for diam( $\Gamma_I(R)$ ) and  $\text{gr}(\Gamma_I(R))$  when  $R/I$ is a chained ring and I is a nonzero ideal of R. For the  $diam(\Gamma_I(R)) = 0$ case, see Remark 3.7.

**Example 3.10.** Note that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a chained ring if and only if n is a prime power. Let  $p$  be a prime number, and for every positive integer  $n$ , let  $R_n = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  and  $I_n = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{0\}$ . Then  $R_n/I_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  is a chained ring. It is easily verified (cf. Theorem 3.9) that  $\Gamma_{I_1}(R_1)$  is the empty graph,  $gr(\Gamma_{I_2}(R_2)) = \infty$  if  $p = 2$ ,  $gr(\Gamma_{I_2}(R_2)) = 3$  if  $p \neq 2$ , and  $gr(\Gamma_{I_n}(R_n)) = 3$ for  $n \geq 3$  since  $(0, p) - (1, p^{n-1}) - (0, p^{n-1}) - (0, p)$  is a triangle. It is also easily verified that  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_{I_2}(R_2)) = 1$  and  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_{I_n}(R_n)) = 2$  for  $n \geq 3$  (cf. Theorem 3.8).

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] D. D. Anderson and M. Naseer, Beck's coloring of commutative rings, J. Algebra, 159 (1993), 500–514.
- [2] D. F. Anderson, M. C. Axtell and J. A. Stickles, Jr., Zero-divisor graphs in commutative rings, in Commutative Algebra, Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives (M. Fontana, S.-E. Kabbaj, B. Olberding, I. Swanson, Eds.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011, 23-45.
- [3] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, Commun. Algebra, 36 (2008), 3073–3092
- [4] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *The total graph of a commutative ring*, J. Algebra, 320 (2008), 2706–2719.
- [5] D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, J. Algebra, 217 (1999), 434–447.
- [6] D. F. Anderson and S. Shirinkam, Some remarks on the graph  $\Gamma_I(R)$ , Commun. Algebra, to appear.
- [7] I. Beck, *Coloring of commutative rings*, J. Algebra, 116 (1988), 208-226.
- [8] B. Bolloboás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [9] J. Coykendall, S. Sather-Wagstaff, L. Sheppardson, and S. Spiroff, On zero divisor graphs, in Progress in Commutative Algebra 2: Closure, Finiteness and Factorization (C. Francisco et al. Eds.), Walter Gruyter, Berlin, 2012, 241–299.
- [10] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Rev. Ed., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [11] S. P. Redmond, An ideal-based zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, Commun. Algebra, 31 (2003), 4425–4443.

(Received: July 4, 2013) David F. Anderson

(Revised: April 2, 2014) Department of Mathematics The University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN 37996 U.S.A. anderson@math.utk.edu

> S. Ebrahimi Atani, M. Shajari Kohan, Z. Ebrahimi Sarvandi Department of Mathematics University of Guilan P.O. Box 1914, Rasht Iran ebrahimiatani@gmail.com