

$\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS AND WEAKLY $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

C. CARPINTERO, E. ROSAS, M. SALAS-BROWN AND L. VÁSQUEZ

ABSTRACT. Using the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed sets defined in [3], we introduce the notions of $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions and weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions. Characterizations and properties of this new class of functions are obtained and studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2012, Carpintero et al. [3], introduced the concept of $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed sets in terms of operators on topological spaces as a generalization of the concept given by Arhangel'skiĭ [1] and Ekici et al. in [6]. The purpose of this article is to introduce and to study a new class of functions called $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous and weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous in terms of the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed sets. These new functions form a more general class than the class of functions given in [4], [5], [6], [7], [12] and [13]. We give some characterizations and properties of the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions and weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions. Finally, we study some notions of compactness and connectedness on this new class of functions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

An ideal \mathcal{I} on a nonempty set X [11] is a collection of subsets of X that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $A_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ imply that $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$;
- (2) $A_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $A_2 \subset A_1$ imply that $A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$.

An operator associated to a topology τ on X [10] is an application $\gamma : 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ such that $U \subset \gamma(U)$ for all $U \in \tau$. For a subset $A \subset X$, the γ -closure of A and the γ -interior of A are defined as follows:

- (1) $\gamma\text{-Cl}(A) = \{x \in X, \gamma(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset, U \in \tau, x \in U\}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C10, 54D10.

Key words and phrases. $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -sets, γ -open, $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -spaces, $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -connected spaces, $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact spaces.

$$(2) \gamma\text{-int}(A) = \{x \in U, U \in \tau, \gamma(U) \subset A\}.$$

A subset A of X is said to be γ -open if $A = \gamma\text{-int}(A)$, and the complement of a γ -open set is a γ -closed set. Thus when γ is the closure operator, we obtain the concept of θ -closure [17].

The properties of γ -closure and γ -interior have been studied in [10] and [15].

Throughout the present paper $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ denote a topological space (X, τ) together with an ideal \mathcal{I} on X and an operator γ associated to a topology τ .

Definition 2.1. [3]. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ be given. A subset A of X is said to be $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -closed set if

$$\gamma\text{-cl}(B) \subset A \text{ for all } B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{I}.$$

The complement of an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -closed set is called $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open. Each γ -open set is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set [3].

In a similar form as for the closure and the interior of a subset A in a topological space, we can define [3]:

- (1) The $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -closure of A , denoted by $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(A)$, is the intersection of all $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -closed sets containing A .
- (2) The $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -interior of A , denoted by $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-int}(A)$, is the union of all $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open sets contained in A .

The properties of $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -closed sets and $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open sets have been studied in detail in [3].

3. WEAKLY FORMS OF CONTINUITY USING $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -OPEN SETS

Using the $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open sets, we define a new weak form of continuity between topological spaces in order to generalize some forms of continuity studied in [4], [6], [5], [12], [13], [7], and we give some characterizations of those.

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ and (Y, σ) be a topological space. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous at $x \in X$ if for each open set V in Y such that $f(x) \in V$, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set U in X containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$. The function f is said to be $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous if it is $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous at each $x \in X$.

Observe that if we consider specific operators and ideals, we recover some well known notions of continuity in the literature as follows:

- (1) If γ is the identity operator and \mathcal{I} is the ideal of the countable subsets of X , then the $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous functions coincide with the ω -continuous functions defined in [6].

- (2) If γ is the identity operator and the ideal \mathcal{I} is the power sets of X , then the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions coincide with the continuous functions defined in [14].
- (3) If γ is the closure operator and the ideal \mathcal{I} is the power sets of X , then the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions coincide with the θ -continuous functions defined in [7].
- (4) If γ is the interior closure operator and the ideal \mathcal{I} is the power sets of X , the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions coincide with the super continuous functions defined in [13].
- (5) If γ is any operator and the ideal \mathcal{I} is the power sets of X , then the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions coincide with the γ -continuous functions defined in [4].
- (6) If γ is the closure operator and \mathcal{I} is the ideal of the countable subsets of X , then the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions coincide with the ω_* -continuous functions defined in [6].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ and (Y, σ) be a topological space. The function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(V)$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open subset in X for every open subset V of Y .*

Proof. Let V be an open subset of Y and $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Then $f(x) \in V$, by hypothesis, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open subset U of X containing x and $f(U) \subset V$, follows $x \in U \subset f^{-1}(V)$ and then, $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(V))$. Since $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ then $f^{-1}(V) = \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(V))$ in consequence, $f^{-1}(V)$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open subset in X .

Reciprocally. Let $x \in X$ and V be an open set in Y such that $f(x) \in V$, follows $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(V)$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set in X . Therefore, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set U in X such that $x \in U \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Follows that, $f(U) \subset V$. In consequence, f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

Definition 3.3. [4] A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be γ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a γ -open subset in X for each open set V in Y .

The following theorem shows that the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions are a more general class than that of the γ -continuous functions.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ and (Y, σ) be a topological space. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a γ -continuous function then it is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function.*

Proof. Let V be an open subset in Y . Since f is a γ -continuous function then $f^{-1}(V)$ is a γ -open set in X and therefore $f^{-1}(V)$ is a $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set in X ; because all γ -open set is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set. In consequence, f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as we show in the following example:

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$, $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, γ the interior closure operator and the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ defined as:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = c \\ b & \text{if } x \neq c \end{cases}$$

We can see that f is $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous but not γ -continuous.

Theorem 3.6. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function.
- (2) $f(\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(A)) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$ for all subsets A of X .
- (3) $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B))$ for all subsets B of Y .
- (4) $f^{-1}(\text{int}(B)) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(B))$ for all subsets B of Y .
- (5) $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(K)) = f^{-1}(K)$ for all closed subsets K of Y .
- (6) $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(V)) = f^{-1}(V)$ for all open subsets V of Y .

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2). Let $y \in f(\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(A))$, then $y = f(x)$ with $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(A)$. Let V be an open set in Y such that $y \in V$, then $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Since f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function, $f^{-1}(V)$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set in X containing x . But $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(A)$, so that $f^{-1}(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $V \cap f(A) \neq \emptyset$; and consequence $y \in \text{cl}(f(A))$.

(2) \rightarrow (3). Let B be any subset of Y . By hypothesis,

$$f(\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(B))) \subset \text{cl}(f(f^{-1}(B))).$$

Then,

$$\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B)).$$

(3) \rightarrow (4). Let B be any subset of Y , then

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus \omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(B)) &= \omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(Y \setminus B)) \\ &\subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(Y \setminus B)) \\ &= X \setminus f^{-1}(\text{int}(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking complements, we obtain $f^{-1}(\text{int}(B)) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(B))$.

(4) \rightarrow (5). Let K be any closed subset in Y , then

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus f^{-1}(K) &= f^{-1}(\text{int}(Y \setminus K)) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(Y \setminus K)) \\ &= X \setminus \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(K)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking complements, we obtain $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(K)) \subset f^{-1}(K)$, and therefore

$$\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(K)) = f^{-1}(K).$$

(5) \rightarrow (6). Let V be any open subset in Y , then

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus f^{-1}(V) &= f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) \\ &= \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(Y \setminus V)) \\ &= \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(X \setminus f^{-1}(V)) \\ &= X \setminus \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(V)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking complements, we obtain

$$\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(V)) = f^{-1}(V).$$

(6) \rightarrow (1). Given $x \in X$, let V be any open subset in Y such that $f(x) \in V$; then $x \in f^{-1}(V) = \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(V))$, and there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set U in X , such that $x \in U$ and $U \subset f^{-1}(V)$. In consequence, $f(U) \subset V$ and f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

Definition 3.7. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ and (Y, σ) be a topological space. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous at the point $x \in X$ if for every open subset V in Y containing $f(x)$, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set U in X containing x and $f(U) \subset \text{cl}(V)$. The function f is said to be weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous if it is weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous at each point $x \in X$.

Observe that if we consider specific operators and ideals, we recover some well known notions of weak continuity in the literature as we show:

- (1) If γ is the identity operator and \mathcal{I} is the ideal of the countable subset of X , then the weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function coincides with the weakly ω -continuous function defined in [6].
- (2) If γ is the identity operator and the ideal \mathcal{I} is the power set of X , then the weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function coincides with the weakly continuous function defined in [12].
- (3) If γ is the closure operator and \mathcal{I} is the ideal of the countable subset of X , then the weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function coincides with the weakly ω_* -continuous functions defined in [6].
- (4) If γ is any operator and the ideal \mathcal{I} is the power set of X , then the weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function coincides with the weakly γ -continuous function defined in [5].

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. If $f^{-1}(cl(V))$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set in X for all open subsets V in Y then f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and V be an open subset in Y containing $f(x)$. Then $f(x) \in cl(V)$ and therefore $x \in f^{-1}(cl(V))$. Take $U = f^{-1}(cl(V))$ and obtain by hypothesis that U is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set in X containing x . Since, $f(U) = f(f^{-1}(cl(V))) \subset cl(V)$, it follows that f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as we show in the following example:

Example 3.9. Let γ be the identity operator and \mathcal{I} be the ideal of the countable real sets. The identity function $i : (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$ where τ_u is the usual topology, is weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous. In effect, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, take V an open set in \mathbb{R} such that $i(x) \in V$, then V is $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set in \mathbb{R} such that $i(V) \subset cl(V)$. It follows that, $i : (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$ is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function. But it is not an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function. Because, if we take $V = (0, 1)$ open set in \mathbb{R} , then $i^{-1}(cl((0, 1))) = [0, 1]$ is not an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set.

The following theorem shows that the class of the weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous functions contain the class of the $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous functions.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. If f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function then it is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and V be an open subset in Y containing $f(x)$. Since f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -open set U in X such that $f(U) \subset V \subset cl(V)$. Therefore, f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as we show in the following example:

Example 3.11. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$, γ the interior closure operator and \mathcal{I} the ideal of the power set of X . The function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = a \\ b & \text{if } x \neq a. \end{cases}$$

We can see that f is weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous but not $\omega_{\mathcal{I}, \gamma}$ -continuous.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function.
- (2) $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(K)))) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(K))$ for all $K \subset Y$.
- (3) $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(U))) \subset f^{-1}(U)$ for all regular closed sets $U \subset Y$.
- (4) $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(U)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))$ for all open sets $U \subset Y$.
- (5) $f^{-1}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U)))$ for all open sets $U \subset Y$.
- (6) $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(U)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))$ for all preopen sets $U \subset Y$.
- (7) $f^{-1}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U)))$ for all preopen sets $U \subset Y$.

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2). Let $K \subset Y$ and $x \in X \setminus f^{-1}(\text{cl}(K))$. Then $f(x) \notin \text{cl}(K)$. It follows that there exists an open set U in Y such that $f(x) \in U$ and $U \cap K = \emptyset$. We obtain $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(K)) = \emptyset$. Since f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function, then there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set V such that $x \in V$ and $f(V) \subset \text{cl}(U)$. It follows that $V \cap f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(K))) = \emptyset$. In consequence, $x \in X \setminus \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(K))))$.

(2) \rightarrow (3). Let U be any regular closed set in Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(U))) &= \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(U)))))) \\ &\subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(U))) = f^{-1}(U). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \rightarrow (4). Let U be any open set in Y . Since $\text{cl}(U)$ is a regular closed set in Y ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(U)) &\subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)))) \\ &\subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U)). \end{aligned}$$

(4) \rightarrow (5). Let U be any open set in Y . Since $Y \setminus \text{cl}(U)$ is an open set in Y , then

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))) &= \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(Y \setminus \text{cl}(U))) \\ &\subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}(Y \setminus \text{cl}(U))) \\ &\subset X \setminus f^{-1}(U). \end{aligned}$$

In consequence, $f^{-1}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U)))$.

(5) \rightarrow (1). Let $x \in X$ and U be any open subset in Y containing $f(x)$. It follows that,

$$x \in f^{-1}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))).$$

Take $V = \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U)))$ and obtain that $f(V) \subset \text{cl}(U)$ and f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function.

(1) \rightarrow (6). Let U be any preopen subset in Y and $x \in X \setminus f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))$. It follows that $x \notin f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))$, $f(x) \notin \text{cl}(U)$. Then there exists an open set

S such that $f(x) \in S$ and $S \cap U = \emptyset$. We obtain that $cl(U \cap S) = \emptyset$ and therefore

$$U \cap cl(S) \subset cl(U \cap S) = \emptyset.$$

Since f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function and $f(x) \in S$, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set V in X , with $x \in V$ and $f(V) \subset cl(S)$. It follows that $f(V) \cap U = \emptyset$, $V \cap f^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $x \in X \setminus \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(U))$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(U)) \subset f^{-1}(cl(U))$.

(6) \rightarrow (7). Let U be any preopen subset in Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(U))) &= \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(Y \setminus cl(U))) \\ &\subset f^{-1}(cl(Y \setminus cl(U))) \\ &\subset X \setminus f^{-1}(U). \end{aligned}$$

In consequence, $f^{-1}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(U)))$.

(7) \rightarrow (1). Let $x \in X$ and U be any open set in Y such that $f(x) \in U$. It follows that

$$x \in f^{-1}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(U))).$$

Take $V = \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(U)))$, obtain that $f(U) \subset cl(V)$ and f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function.
- (2) $f(\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(G)) \subset \theta\text{-cl}(f(G))$ for any $G \subset X$.
- (3) $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(A)) \subset f^{-1}(\theta\text{-cl}(A))$ for any $A \subset Y$.
- (4) $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\theta\text{-cl}(A)))) \subset f^{-1}(\theta\text{-cl}(A))$ for any $A \subset Y$.

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2). Let $G \subset X$ and $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(G)$. Let V be any open set in Y such that $f(x) \in V$. Since f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function, then there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set U in X containing x such that $f(U) \subset cl(V)$. Now $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(G)$ and U is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set with $x \in U$, so that $U \cap G \neq \emptyset$. It follows that

$$\emptyset \neq f(U) \cap f(G) \subset cl(V) \cap f(G).$$

In consequence, $f(x) \in \theta\text{-cl}(f(G))$ and $f(\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(G)) \subset \theta\text{-cl}(f(G))$.

(2) \rightarrow (3). Let A be any subset of Y , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(A))) &\subset \theta\text{-cl}(f(f^{-1}(A))) \\ &\subset \theta\text{-cl}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(A)) \subset f^{-1}(f(\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(A)))) \subset f^{-1}(\theta\text{-cl}(A)).$$

(3) \rightarrow (4). Let $A \subset Y$. Since $\theta\text{-cl}(A)$ is a closed set in Y , then

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\theta\text{-cl}(A)))) &\subset f^{-1}(\theta\text{-cl}(\text{int}(\theta\text{-cl}(A)))) \\ &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\theta\text{-cl}(A)))) \\ &\subset f^{-1}(\theta\text{-cl}(A)).\end{aligned}$$

(4) \rightarrow (1). Let U be any open set in Y , then $\text{cl}(U) = \theta\text{-cl}(U)$. It follows that $U \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) = \text{int}(\theta\text{-cl}(U))$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(U)) &\subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\theta\text{-cl}(U)))) \\ &\subset f^{-1}(\theta\text{-cl}(U)) \\ &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U)).\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.12-(4), f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

Definition 3.14. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be coweakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(\text{Fr}(U))$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed set in X for each open set U in Y .

Theorem 3.15. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. If f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function then it is a coweakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function.

Proof. Let U be any open set in Y ; then,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Fr}(U) &= \text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(Y \setminus U) \\ f^{-1}(\text{Fr}(U)) &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(Y \setminus U)) \\ &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U)) \cap f^{-1}(\text{cl}(Y \setminus U)).\end{aligned}$$

Since f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function and $\text{cl}(U)$, $\text{cl}(Y \setminus U)$ are closed subsets in Y , then $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))$ and $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(Y \setminus U))$ are $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed sets in X . Using that the intersection of $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed sets is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed set [3], then $f^{-1}(\text{Fr}(U))$ is a $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed set in X . This shows that f is a coweakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

Example 3.16. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$, γ the interior closure operator. \mathcal{I} the ideal of the power sets of X . Define $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = c \\ b & \text{if } x \neq c. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that f is a function coweakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous but is not $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous.

Remark 3.17. Observe that the class of the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions is contained in the intersection of the classes of the weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions and of the coveakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions. Equality is obtained when the operator γ is a regular operator.

The following example shows that if the operator γ is not a regular operator, there exists a function f that is both weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous and coveakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous but not $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous.

Example 3.18. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$, \mathcal{I} the ideal of the power sets of X and γ the operator defined as:

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} cl(A) & \text{if } b \notin A \\ A & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that the operator γ is not a regular operator and the identity function $i : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is coveakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous and weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous but not $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous, because $\{a\}$ is an open set and $i^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ is not an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set.

Theorem 3.19. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function at $x \in X$.
- (2) $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(V)))$ for any open set V in Y with $f(x) \in V$.

Proof.

(1) \rightarrow (2). Let $x \in X$ and V be an open subset in Y containing $f(x)$. Since f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function, then there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set U in X with $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset cl(V)$. Since $U \subset f^{-1}(cl(V))$ and U is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set, then $x \in U \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(V)))$. (2) \rightarrow (1). Let $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(V)))$ for any open subset V in Y containing $f(x)$. Put $U = \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(cl(V)))$. It follows that U is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set and $f(U) \subset cl(V)$. Therefore, f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function at $x \in X$. \square

Definition 3.20. [6] A subset A of X is said to be an N -closed set relative to X if for any covering $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ of A by open sets in X , there exists a finite subcollection $I_0 \subset I$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i \in I_0} cl(A_i)$.

Theorem 3.21. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous and Y is Hausdorff, the following statements hold:

- (1) For each $(x, y) \notin G(f)$, there exist an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set $G \subset X$ and an open set $U \subset Y$ such that $x \in G$, $y \in U$ and $f(G) \cap int(cl(U)) = \emptyset$.

- (2) *The inverse image of each N -closed set in Y is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed set in X if γ is a regular operator.*

Proof.

(1) Suppose that $(x, y) \notin G(f)$, so that $y \neq f(x)$. Since Y is Hausdorff, there exist open sets U and V such that $y \in U$, $f(x) \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. It follows that $\text{int}(cl(U)) \cap cl(V) = \emptyset$. From the fact that f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set G such that $x \in G$ with $f(G) \subset cl(V)$. Therefore, $f(G) \cap \text{int}(cl(U)) = \emptyset$.

(2) Suppose that there exists a N -closed set $W \subset Y$ such that $f^{-1}(W)$ is not an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed set in X ; then there exists a point $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(W)) \setminus f^{-1}(W)$. Since $x \notin f^{-1}(W)$, then $(x, y) \notin G(f)$ for all $y \in Y$. By (1), there exist an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set $G_y(x) \subset X$ and $B(y)$, an open subset of Y , such that $x \in G_y(x)$, $y \in B(y)$ and $f(G_y(x)) \cap \text{int}(cl(B(y))) = \emptyset$. The family $\{B(y) : y \in W\}$ is a covering of W by open sets in Y . Since W is a N -closed set, then there exist a finite number of points y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n in W such that $W \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{int}(cl(B(y_j)))$. Put $G = \bigcap_{j=1}^n G_{y_j}(x)$; then, $f(G) \cap W = \emptyset$. Observe that G is $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open because γ is a regular operator [3]. Since $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(W))$, then $f(G) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.22. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. If the graph function of f , say $g : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ defined by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$, is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function.*

Proof. Suppose that g is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function, $x \in X$ and A is an open set in X such that $f(x) \in A$. Then, $X \times A$ is an open set such that $g(x) \in X \times A$. Since g is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function, there exists an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set B containing x such that $g(B) \subset cl(X \times A) = X \times cl(A)$. It follows that, $f(B) \subset cl(A)$ and f is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function. \square

4. $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -CONNECTED SPACES AND $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -COMPACT SPACES

In this section we introduce the notions of connectedness and compactness associated with the $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open sets. Also, we study the behavior of these notions under the action of weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous functions.

Definition 4.1. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ be given. An $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -separation of X is a pair U, V of nonempty disjoint $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open sets of X whose union is X . The space X is said to be an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -connected if there exists no $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -separation of X .

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weakly $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous, surjective function and X is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -connected space, then Y is a connected space.*

Proof. Suppose that Y is not a connected space, then there exist nonempty open sets U and V such that $Y = U \cup V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. This implies that U and V are clopen subsets in Y . By Theorem 3.12, $f^{-1}(U) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(U))) = \omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}\text{-int}(f^{-1}(U))$. It follows that, $f^{-1}(U)$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set in X . Similarly, $f^{-1}(V)$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open set in X . Therefore, $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$, $X = f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$ and $f^{-1}(U)$, $f^{-1}(V)$ are nonempty. It follows that X is not an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -connected space. \square

Definition 4.3. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ be given. A subset A of X is said to be an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact space relative to X if for any covering $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of A by $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open sets in X , there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $A \subset \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I_0\}$. The space X is said to be $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact if it is $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact as a subspace.

Theorem 4.4. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ be an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact space. Then, every $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -closed set B is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact space.

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a covering of B by $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open subsets in X . This implies $B \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ and $(X \setminus B) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha) = X$. By hypothesis, X is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact space, so there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $B \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} U_\alpha$. It follows that B is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact space. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let $(X, \tau, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$, (Y, σ) be a topological space. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function and X is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact space then $f(X)$ is a compact set.

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a covering of $f(X)$ by open subsets in Y . Since f is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -continuous function, $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$ is a covering of X by $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -open subsets in X . By hypothesis, X is an $\omega_{\mathcal{I},\gamma}$ -compact space, it follows that there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) &= f\left(\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\right) \\ &= f\left(f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n U_\alpha\right)\right) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n U_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This says that $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ is a finite open subcover of $f(X)$. Therefore, $f(X)$ is a compact set. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Bicompacta that satisfy the Suslin condition hereditarily. Tightness and free sequences*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR, 199 (1971), 1227–1230.
- [2] A. Ciszr, γ -compact spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 87 (1–2) (2000), 99–107.
- [3] C. Carpintero, E. Rosas, M. Salas, J. Sanabria and L. Vsquez, *Generalization of ω -closed sets via operators and ideals*, Sarajevo J. Math., 9 (2) 2013, xx–xx.
- [4] A. A. El-Atik, *A study of some types of mappings on topological spaces*, Master's Thesis, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt 1997.
- [5] E. Ekici, S. Jafari, M. Caldas and T. Noiri, *Weakly γ -continuous functions*, Novi Sad J. Math., 38 (2) (2008), 47–56.
- [6] E. Ekici and S. Jafari S, *On ω^* -closed sets and their topology*, Acta Univ. Apulensis, 22 (2010), 175–184.
- [7] S. V. Fomin, *Extension of topological spaces*, Ann. Math., 44 (1943), 471–480.
- [8] S. Hussain and B. Ahmad B, γ -connected spaces, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 39 (1) (2007), 33–40.
- [9] S. Jafari, *Some properties of quasi θ -continuous functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 6 (5) (1998), 689–696.
- [10] S. Kasahara, *Operation-compact spaces*, Math. Japon., 24 (1979), 97–105.
- [11] K. Kuratowski, *Topologies I*, Warszawa, (1933).
- [12] N. Levine N, *Decomposition of continuity in topological spaces*, Am. Math. Mon., 68 (1961), 44–46.
- [13] B. M. Munshi and D. S. Bassan, *Super continuous functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., (1982), 229–236.
- [14] J. R. Munkres, 2002, *Topologa*, Prentice Hall, Madrid.
- [15] E. Rosas and J. Vielma, *Operator-compact and operator connected spaces*, Sci. Math., 1 (2) (1998), 1–6.
- [16] A. Srivastava and S. Gupta, *On various properties of δ -compact spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 97 (3) (2005), 217–222.
- [17] N. V. Veličko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Am. Math. Soc. Transl., 78 (1968), 103–118.
- [18] X. Wang, δ -connected spaces, (Chinese) Pure Appl. Math., 20 (3) (2004), 243–247.

(Received: December 4, 2012)

(Revised: February 27, 2013)

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Oriente
Núcleo De Sucre Cumaná
Venezuela
carpintero.carlos@gmail.com
ennisrafael@gmail.com
salasbrown@gmail.com
eligiovm85@gmail.com