DOI: 10.5644/SJM.09.1.05

CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC AND MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY USING A CERTAIN FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

M. K. AOUF AND B. A. FRASIN

ABSTRACT. Making use of a certain operator of fractional derivative, a new subclass $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$ of analytic and p-valent functions with negative coefficients is introduced and studied here rather systematically. Coefficient estimates, a distortion theorem and radii of p-valently closeto-convexity, starlikeness and convexity are given. Finally several applications involving an integral operator and a certain fractional calculus operator are also considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $T_p(n)$ denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \ (a_k \ge 0; \ p, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$
(1.1)

which are analytic and p-valent in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Various operators of fractional calculus (that is, fractional integral and fractional derivative) have been studied in the literature rather extensively (cf., e.g., [9], [11], [12] and [13]; see also the various references cited therein). For our present investigations, we recall the following definitions.

Definition 1 (Fractional Integral Operator). The frectional integral operator of order λ is defined, for a function f(z) by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\lambda}} d\zeta \quad (\lambda > 0), \qquad (1.2)$$

where f(z) is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the zplane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - \zeta)$ to be real when $z - \zeta > 0$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Multivalent functions, fractional calculus.

Definition 2 (Fractional Derivative Operator). The fractional derivative of order λ is defined, for a function f(z) by

$$D_z^{\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{\lambda}} d\zeta \quad (0 \le \lambda < 1), \qquad (1.3)$$

where f(z) is constrained, and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{-\lambda}$ is removed, as in Definition 2.

Definition 3 (Extended Fractional Derivative Operator). Under the hypothesis of Definition 3, the fractional derivative of order $n + \lambda$ is defined, for a function f(z) by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda}f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^{\lambda}f(z) \quad (0 \le \lambda < 1; \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$
(1.4)

In terms of the fractional derivative operator D_z^{λ} of order λ , defined by (1.3), with

$$D_z^0 f(z) = f(z)$$
 and $D_z^1 f(z) = f'(z)$, (1.5)

Srivastava and Aouf [11] defined and studied the operator:

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)}f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\lambda-p} D_z^{\lambda} f(z) \quad (0 \le \lambda \le 1; \ p \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(1.6)

In this paper we shall study some properties of the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$, defined as follows:

Definition 4. Let $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$ be the subclass of $T_p(n)$ consisting of functions of the form (1.1) which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)}z^{\lambda-p}\left[(1-\mu)D_z^{\lambda}f(z) + \frac{\mu}{p}z(D_z^{\lambda}f(z))'\right]\right\} > \frac{\alpha}{p}$$
(1.7)

where $z \in U$; $0 \le \alpha < p$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$).

By specializing the parameters λ, μ, α and p, we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:

(i) $F_{\lambda}(n, 1, \alpha, \mu) = F_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \mu)$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1$) (Altintas et al. [1]); (ii) $F_{0}(1, p, \alpha, \mu) = F_{p}(\mu, \alpha)$ ($0 \le \alpha < p$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$; $\mu \ge 0$) (Lee et al. [6] and Aouf and Darwish [2]); (iii) $F_{0}(1, 1, \alpha, \mu) = F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$) (Bhoosnurmath and Swamy [4]); (iv) $F_{\lambda}(1, p, p\alpha, 0) = F_{p}(\alpha, \lambda)$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$) $= \left\{ f(z) \in T_{p}(1) = T_{p} : \Re \left[\frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\lambda-p} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z) \right] > \alpha, z \in U \right\};$ (1.8)

(see [10]);
(v)
$$F_0(1, p, p\alpha, 0) = F_p(0, p\alpha) \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1)$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in T_p(1) = T_p : \Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in U \right\}; \quad (1.9)$$

(see [6]);

(vi) $F_0(1, p, \alpha, 1) = F_p(1, \alpha) \quad (0 \le \alpha < p)$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in T_p(1) = T_p : \Re\left(\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right) > \alpha \,, \ z \in U \right\}.$$
(1.10)

(see [6]).

Also we note that: $F_\lambda(n,p,\alpha,1)=F_\lambda(n,p,\alpha)$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in T_p(n) : \Re\left[\frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} \cdot \frac{\left(D_z^{\lambda} f(z)\right)'}{z^{p-\lambda-1}}\right] > \alpha \right\},$$
(1.11)

where $0 \leq \alpha < p, \ p \in \mathbb{N}, \ z \in U.$

In our present paper, we shall make use of the familiar operator $J_{c,p}$ defined by (cf. [3], [7] and [8]; see also [12])

$$(J_{c,p}f)(z) = \frac{c+p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \ (c > -p).$$
(1.12)

2. Coefficient estimates

Theorem 1. Let the function $f(z) \in T_p(n)$ be given by (1.1). Then $f(z) \in F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{[p+\mu(k-p-\lambda)]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k \le p-\mu\lambda-\alpha \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha + \lambda < p$.

Proof. Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds true. Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\lambda-p} \left[(1-\mu) D_z^{\lambda} f(z) + \frac{\mu}{p} z (D_z^{\lambda} f(z))' \right] - (1-\frac{\mu}{p} \lambda) \right| \\ &= \left| -\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{p+\mu(k-p-\lambda)}{p} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} \right] a_k z^{k-p} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{[p+\mu(k-p-\lambda)]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k \leq p-\mu\lambda - \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in U$; $0 \le \alpha < p$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$.

This shows that the values of the function

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\lambda-p} \left[(1-\mu)D_z^{\lambda}f(z) + \frac{\mu}{p} z (D_z^{\lambda}f(z))' \right]$$
(2.2)

lie in a circle which is centered at $w = 1 - \frac{\mu\lambda}{p}$ and whose radius is $1 - \frac{\mu\lambda}{p} - \alpha$. Hence f(z) satisfies the condition (1.7).

Conversely, assume that the function f(z) defined by (1.1) is in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \mu, \alpha)$. Then we have

$$\Re\left\{1-\frac{\mu}{p}\lambda-\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty}\left[\frac{p+\mu(k-p-\lambda)}{p}\right]\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)}a_{k}z^{k-p}\right\}>\frac{\alpha}{p}$$
(2.3)

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < p)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\alpha + \lambda < p$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in U$. Choose values of z on the real axis so that $\Phi(z)$ given by (2.2) is real.

Letting $z \to 1^-$ through real values, we can see that

$$p - \mu\lambda - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{[p + \mu(k - p - \lambda)]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k \ge \alpha \qquad (2.4)$$

for $0 \leq \alpha < p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, which is equivalent to the assertion (2.1) of Theorem 1.

Putting p = 1 in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1. Let the function f(z) be defined by (1.1) (with p = 1). Then $f(z) \in F_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[1+\mu(k-1-\lambda)\right]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k \le 1-\mu\lambda-\alpha \tag{2.5}$$

for $\alpha + \lambda < 1$.

Remark 1. We note that the result obtained by Altintas et al. [1, Theorem 1] is not correct. The correct result is given by Corollary 1.

Corollary 2. Let the function f(z) be defined by (1.1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$. Then

$$a_k \le \frac{(p - \mu\lambda - \alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)}{[p + \mu(k-p-\lambda)]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}$$
(2.6)

for $k \ge n + p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The result is sharp for the function f(z) given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(p - \mu\lambda - \alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)}{[p + \mu(k-p-\lambda)]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}z^k,$$

where $k \ge n + p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Distortion theorem

Theorem 2. If a function f(z) defined by (1.1) is in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} \\ -\frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)(n+p)!}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)(n+p-j)!} |z|^n \end{cases} |z|^{p-j}$$

$$\leq \left| f^{(j)}(z) \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} + \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)(n+p)!}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)(n+p-j)!} \left| z \right|^n \right\} |z|^{p-j}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $z \in U$; $0 \le \alpha < p$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$; $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$; p > j.

The result is sharp for the function f(z) given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(p - \mu\lambda - \alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p + \mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} z^{n+p} \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(3.2)

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)(n+p)!}\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty}k!a_k$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty}\frac{[p+\mu(k-p-\lambda)]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)}a_k \leq 1$$

which readily yields

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k! a_k \le \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)(n+p)!}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}.$$
 (3.3)

Now, by differentiating both sides of (1.1) j times, we obtain

$$f^{(j)}(z) = \frac{p!}{(p-j)!} z^{p-j} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-j)!} a_k z^{k-j}, \qquad (3.4)$$

where $k \ge n + p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$; p > j.

Theorem 2 follows readily from (3.3) and (3.4).

Finally, it is easy to see that the bounds in (3.1) are attained for the function f(z) given by (3.2).

Putting (i) p = 1 and $\lambda = j = 0$ (ii) p = j = 1 and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following results.

Corollary 3. If a function f(z) defined by (1.1) (with p = 1) is in the class $F_0(n, 1, \alpha, \mu) = F_0(n, \alpha, \mu)$, then

$$|z| - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1+\mu n)} |z|^{n+1} \le |f(z)| \le |z| + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1+\mu n)} |z|^{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; \ z \in U).$$
(3.5)

The result is sharp.

Corollary 4. If a function f(z) is defined by (1.1) (with p = 1) is in the class $F_0(n, 1, \alpha, \mu) = F_0(n, \alpha, \mu)$, then

$$1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)(n+1)}{(1+\mu n)} |z|^n \le \left| f'(z) \right| \le 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)(n+1)}{(1+\mu n)} |z|^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U).$$
(3.6)

The result is sharp.

Remark 2. We note that the results obtained by Altintas et al. [1, Corollary 8 and Corollary 9] are not correct. The correct results are given by (3.5) and (3.6), respectively;

Putting (i) n = 1 and $\lambda = j = 0$ (ii) n = j = 1 and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Lee at al. [6, Theorem 3].

4. RADII OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

Theorem 3. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$, then

(i) f(z) is p-valently close-to-convex of order $\varphi (0 \le \varphi < p)$ in $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_{1} = \inf_{k} \left\{ \frac{\left[p + \mu(k - p - \lambda)\right] \Gamma(k) \Gamma(p + 1 - \lambda)(p - \varphi)}{(p - \mu\lambda - \alpha) \Gamma(p + 1) \Gamma(k + 1 - \lambda)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - p}}$$
(4.1)

for $k \ge n + p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) f(z) is p-valently starlike of order $\varphi(0 \le \varphi < p)$ in $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_2 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{\left[p + \mu(k - p - \lambda)\right] \Gamma(k + 1) \Gamma(p + 1 - \lambda)}{(p - \mu\lambda - \alpha) \Gamma(p + 1) \Gamma(k + 1 - \lambda)} \left(\frac{p - \varphi}{k - \varphi}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - p}}$$
(4.2)

for $k \ge n + p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(iii) f(z) is p-valently convex of order $\varphi(0 \le \varphi < p)$ in $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_{3} = \inf_{k} \left\{ \frac{\left[p + \mu(k - p - \lambda)\right] \Gamma(k) \Gamma(p + 1 - \lambda)(p - \varphi)}{(p - \mu\lambda - \alpha) \Gamma(p + 1) \Gamma(k + 1 - \lambda)} \left(\frac{p - \varphi}{k - \varphi}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - p}}$$
(4.3)

for $k \ge n + p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Each of these results is sharp for the function f(z) given by (2.7).

Proof. (i) It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| \le p - \varphi \quad (|z| < r_1; 0 \le \varphi < p; \ p \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| \le p - \varphi \quad (|z| < r_2; 0 \le \varphi < p; \ p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(4.5)$$

and that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| \le p - \varphi \quad (|z| < r_3; \ 0 \le \varphi < p; \ p \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$(4.6)$$

for a function $f(z) \in F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$, where r_1, r_2 and r_3 are defined by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), respectively.

Remark 3. (i) We note that the results obtained by Altintas et al. [1, Theorems 6 and 7 and Corollary 1] are not correct. The correct results are given by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) (with p = 1), respectively;

(ii) Putting n = 1 and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf and Darwish [2, Theorems 6 and 7, Corollary 2, respectively].

5. Applications of fractional calculus

In this section, we shall investigate the growth and distortion properties of the operators $J_{c,p}$ and D_z^{λ} . In order to derive our results, we need the following lemma given by Chen et al. [5].

Lemma 1. (see [5], Chen et al.) Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1). Then

$$D_z^{\lambda}\left\{(J_{c,p}f)(z)\right\} = \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} z^{p-\lambda} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)}{(c+k)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k z^{k-\lambda}$$

$$(5.1)$$

where $\lambda \in R$; c > -p; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$J_{c,p}(D_z^{\lambda} \{f(z)\}) = \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(p+1)}{(c+p-\lambda)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} z^{p-\lambda} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)}{(c+k-\lambda)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k z^{k-\lambda}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\lambda \in R$; c > -p; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, provided that no zeros appear in the denominators in (5.1) and (5.2).

Theorem 4. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$. Then

$$\left| D_{z}^{-\delta} \left\{ (J_{c,p}f)(z) \right\} \right| \geq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)} - \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} \left| z \right|^{n} \right\} \left| z \right|^{p+\delta}$$

$$(5.3)$$

for $z \in U$; $0 \le \alpha < p$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$; c > -p and

$$\left| D_{z}^{-\delta} \left\{ (J_{c,p}f)(z) \right\} \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)} + \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} \left| z \right|^{n} \right\} |z|^{p+\delta}$$

$$(5.4)$$

for $z \in U$; $0 \le \alpha < p$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$; c > -p. Each of the assertions (5.3) and (5.4) is sharp.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty}a_k$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty}\frac{[p+\mu(k-p-\lambda)]\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)}a_k \leq 1, \quad (5.5)$$

which readily yields

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \le \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} .$$
(5.6)

Consider the function F(z) defined in U by

$$\begin{split} F(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{-\delta} D_z^{-\delta} \left\{ (J_{c,p}f)(z) \right\} \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{(c+k)\Gamma(k+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1)} a_k z^k \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \Phi(k) a_k z^k \quad (z \in U) \,, \end{split}$$

where

$$\Phi(k) = \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{(c+k)\Gamma(k+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1)}$$
(5.7)

for $k \ge n+p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $\delta > 0$. Since $\Phi(k)$ is a decreasing function of k when $\delta > 0$, we get

$$0 < \Phi(k) \le \Phi(n+p) = \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{(c+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1)}$$
(5.8)

for c > -p; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $\delta > 0$.

Thus, by using (5.6) and (5.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} |F(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \Phi(n+p) \, |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \geq |z|^p \\ &- \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} \, |z|^{n+p} \quad (z \in U) \,, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \Phi(n+p) \, |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k \leq |z|^p \\ &+ \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} \, |z|^{n+p} \quad (z \in U) \,, \end{aligned}$$

which yield the inequalities (5.3) and (5.4) of Theorem 4. The equalities in (5.3) and (5.4) are attained for the function f(z) given by

$$D_z^{-\delta}\left\{ (J_{c,p}f)(z) \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)} - \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} z^n \right\} z^{p+\delta}$$
(5.9)

or, equivalently, by

$$(J_{c,p}f)(z) = z^p - \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} z^{n+p}.$$
(5.10)
Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 4.

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 4.

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 4, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$. Then

$$\left| D_{z}^{\delta} \left\{ (J_{c,p}f)(z) \right\} \right| \geq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\delta)} - \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1-\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} |z|^{n} \right\} |z|^{p-\delta},$$
(5.11)

where $z \in U$; $0 \le \alpha < p$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$; c > -p and

$$\left| D_{z}^{\delta} \left\{ (J_{c,p}f)(z) \right\} \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\delta)} + \frac{(c+p)(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{(c+n+p)[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1-\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} |z|^{n} \right\} |z|^{p-\delta},$$
(5.12)

where $z \in U$; $0 \le \alpha < p$; $0 \le \lambda \le 1$; $\alpha + \lambda < p$; $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $0 \le \mu \le 1$; c > -p. Each of the assertion (5.11) and (5.12) is sharp.

Remark 4. (i) Putting $\lambda = 0$ and n = 1 in Theorems 4 and 5, we obtain the corresponding results for the class $F_0(p, \alpha, \mu)$;

(ii) Putting p = 1 in Theorems 4 and 5, we obtain the corresponding results for the class $F_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \mu)$.

Theorem 6. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| D_z^{-\delta} f(z) \right| \\ \geq &\left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)} - \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\delta} \end{aligned}$$
(5.13)

and

$$\left| D_z^{-\delta} f(z) \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)} + \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\delta} \tag{5.14}$$

for $\delta > 0$ and $z \in U$. The result is sharp.

Proof. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{-\delta} D_z^{-\delta} f(z)$$

= $z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{\Gamma(k+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1)} a_k z^k$
= $z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} D(k) a_k z^k \quad (z \in U) ,$

where

$$D(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{\Gamma(k+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1)} \quad (k \ge n+p; \ p,n \in \mathbb{N}; \ \delta > 0) \,.$$

Since D(k) is a decreasing function of k when $\delta > 0$, we get

$$0 < D(k) \le D(n+p) = \frac{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)}{\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1)} .$$
 (5.15)

Thus, by using (5.6) and (5.15), we deduce that

$$|F(z)| \ge |z|^p - D(n+p) |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\ge |z|^p - \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)]} |z|^{n+p} \quad (z \in U)$$

and

$$|F(z)| \le |z|^p + D(n+p) |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\le |z|^p + \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)]} |z|^{n+p} \quad (z \in U)$$

which yield the inequalities (5.13) and (5.14) of Theorem 6. The equalities in (5.13) and (5.14) are attained for the function f(z) given by

$$D_z^{-\delta} f(z) = \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\delta)} - \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1+\delta)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1+\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} z^n \right\} z^{p+\delta} \quad (5.16)$$

or, equivalently, by the function f(z) given by (3.2).

Putting p = 1 in Theorem 6, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) (with p = 1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \mu)$. Then we have

$$\left| D_{z}^{-\delta} f(z) \right| \\ \geq \frac{\left| z \right|^{1+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(2+\delta)\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{[1+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+2+\delta)\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left| z \right|^{n} \right\}$$
(5.17)

and

$$\left| D_z^{-\delta} f(z) \right| \leq \frac{\left| z \right|^{1+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(2+\delta)\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{[1+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+2+\delta)\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left| z \right|^n \right\}$$
(5.18)

for $\delta > 0$ and $z \in U$. The result is sharp.

Remark 5. (i) We note that the result obtained by Altintas et al. [1, Theorem 3] is not correct. The correct result is given by Corollary 5;

(ii) Putting $\lambda = 0$ and n = 1 in Theorem 6, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf and Darwish [2, Theorem 9].

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 6, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, p, \alpha, \mu)$. Then we have

$$\left| D_{z}^{\delta} f(z) \right| \\
\geq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\delta)} - \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1-\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} \left| z \right|^{n} \right\} z^{p-\delta} \tag{5.19}$$

and

$$\left| D_{z}^{\delta} f(z) \right| \\
\leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\delta)} + \frac{(p-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(n+p+1-\lambda)}{[p+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+p+1-\delta)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} |z|^{n} \right\} z^{p-\delta} \tag{5.20}$$

for $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $z \in U$. The result is sharp.

Putting p = 1 in Theorem 7, we obtain the following result.

69

Corollary 6. Let the function f(z) defined by (1.1) (with p = 1) be in the class $F_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \mu)$. Then we have

$$\left| D_{z}^{\delta}f(z) \right| \geq \frac{\left|z\right|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(2+\delta)\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{[1+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+2-\delta)\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left|z\right|^{n} \right\}$$

and

$$\left| D_{z}^{\delta} f(z) \right| \leq \frac{\left| z \right|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1-\mu\lambda-\alpha)\Gamma(2+\delta)\Gamma(n+2-\lambda)}{[1+\mu(n-\lambda)]\Gamma(n+2-\delta)\Gamma(2-\lambda)} \left| z \right|^{n} \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $z \in U$. The result is sharp.

Remark 6. (i) We note that the result obtained by Altintas et al. [1, Theorem 4] is not correct. The correct result is given by Corollary 6;

(ii) Putting $\lambda = 0$ and n = 1 in Theorem 7, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf and Darwish [2, Theorem 10].

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to the referee for his comments and suggestions.

References

- O. Altintas, H. Irmark and H. M. Srivastava, A subclass of analytic functions defined by using certain operators of fractional calculus, Comput. Math. Appl., 30 (1) (1995), 1–9.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and H. E. Darwish, Basic properties and characterizations of a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, II, Utilitas Math., 46 (1994), 167–177.
- [3] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135(1969), 429–446.
- [4] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, Certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Indian J. Math., 27 (1985), 89–89.
- [5] M. -P. Chen, H. Irmak and H. M. Srivastava, Some families of multivalently analytic functions with negative coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 214 (1997), 674–490.
- [6] S. K. Lee, S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Basic properties and characterizations of a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Utilitas Math. 36 (1989), 121–128.
- [7] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1969), 755–758.
- [8] A. E. Livingston, On the radius of univalence of cerain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), 352–357.
- [9] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems, I, Kyungpook Math. J., 18 (1978), 55-59.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava and M. K. Aouf, A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients, I and II, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 171 (1992), 1–13; ibid. 192 (1995), 973–688.
- [11] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors), Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applivations, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.

[12] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.

(Received: September 3, 2011) (Revised: January 17, 2012) M. K. Aouf Faculty of Science Mansoura University Mansoura 35516, Egypt E-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

B. A. FrasinDepartment of MathematicsAl- Al-Bayt UniversityP. O. Box: 130095 MafraqJordanE-mail: bafrasin@yahoo.com