

RESULTS ON THE BETA FUNCTION

BRIAN FISHER

1. INTRODUCTION

The Beta function is usually defined by

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

for $x, y > 0$, see for example Sneddon [4]. It then follows that

$$B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$$

and this equation is used to define $B(x, y)$ for $x, y < 0$ and $x, y \neq -1, -2, \dots$

It was proved in [2] that

$$B(x, y) = N\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

for $x, y \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, where N is the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having the domain $N' = \{\epsilon : 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\}$ with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$\epsilon^\lambda \ln^{r-1} \epsilon, \quad \ln^r \epsilon \quad (\lambda < 0, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and all functions of ϵ which converge to zero in the usual sense as ϵ tends to zero.

Note that if a function $F(\epsilon) = \nu(\epsilon) + f(\epsilon)$, where $\nu(\epsilon)$ is the sum of the divergent negligible functions of $F(\epsilon)$, then p.f. $F(\epsilon)$, Hadamard's finite part of $F(\epsilon)$, is equal to $f(\epsilon)$ and so

$$N\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} F(\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{p.f. } F(\epsilon).$$

Thus, taking the neutrix limit of a function $F_n(\epsilon)$ as ϵ tends to 0 is equivalent to taking the normal limit of the function p.f. $F(\epsilon)$ as ϵ tends to 0.

It was proved that this neutrix limit for $B(x, y)$ exists for all x, y and so was used to define $B(x, y)$ for all x, y . Note that if $x > 0$, we could write

$$B(x, y) = N\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{1-\epsilon} t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt$$

and if $y > 0$, we could write

$$B(x, y) = N\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

For example, it was proved in [2] that

$$B(0, 0) = B(0, 1) = 0 \tag{1}$$

and

$$B(0, r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{i} \frac{(-1)^i}{i+1} \tag{2}$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$

More generally, it was proved in [3] that if

$$B_{p,q}(x, y) = \frac{\partial^{p+q}}{\partial x^p \partial x^q} B(x, y),$$

then

$$B_{p,q}(x, y) = N\text{-}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{x-1} \ln^p t (1-t)^{y-1} \ln^q(1-t) dt$$

for $x, y \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. It was proved that this neutrix limit exists for all x, y and p, q and so was used to define $B(x, y)$ for all x, y and $p, q = 0, -1, -2, \dots$

The following theorem was proved in [3].

Theorem 1.

$$B_{p,q}(\lambda, \mu) = B_{q,p}(\mu, \lambda)$$

for $p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and all λ, μ .

The following results were also proved in [3].

$$B_{p,0}(0, 1) = 0 : \quad p = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3}$$

$$B_{p,0}(0, 0) = B_{p,0}(1, 0) = (-1)^p p! \zeta(p+1) : \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{4}$$

where ζ denotes the zeta function.

$$B_{p,0}(0, r+1) = \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{r}{i} \frac{(-1)^{p+i} p!}{i^{p+i}} : \quad p, r = 1, 2, \dots \tag{5}$$

$$B_{p,0}(-1, 0) = -p! + (-1)^p \zeta(p+1) : \quad p = 1, 2, \dots \tag{6}$$

$$B_{p,0}(-r-1, 1) = -\frac{p!}{(r+1)^{p+1}} : \quad p, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

We now prove the following generalization of equation (6).

Theorem 2.

$$B_{p,0}(-r, 0) = - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{p!}{(r-i)^{p+1}} + (-1)^p p! \zeta(p+1), \quad (8)$$

for $p, r = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. We have

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{-r-1} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-1} dt = \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{-r} \ln^p t [t^{-1} + (1-t)^{-1}] dt$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(-r, 0) &= \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{-r-1} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-1} dt \\ &= B_{p,0}(-r, 1) + B_{p,0}(-r+1, 0) \\ &= -\frac{p!}{r^{p+1}} + B_{p,0}(-r+1, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

on using equation (7) for $r, p = 1, 2, \dots$ □

Now assume that equation (8) holds for some r and $p = 1, 2, \dots$. This is true when $r = 1$ and $p = 1, 2, \dots$ by equation (4). Then using equation (9) and our assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(-r-1, 0) &= -\frac{p!}{(r+1)^{p+1}} - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{p!}{(r-i)^{p+1}} + (-1)^p p! \zeta(p+1) \\ &= -\sum_{i=0}^r \frac{p!}{(r-i)^{p+1}} + (-1)^p p! \zeta(p+1) \end{aligned}$$

and so equation (8) holds for $r+1$. Equation (8) now follows by induction.

Theorem 3.

$$B_{p+1,0}(2, -1) = (-1)^{p+1} (p+1)! [\zeta(p+2) - \zeta(p+1)] \quad (10)$$

for $p = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$B_{1,0}(2, -1) = \zeta(2) - 1. \quad (11)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-1} dt &= \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t(1-t)^{-1} d \ln^{p+1} t \\ &= \frac{1}{p+1} [(1-\epsilon)\epsilon^{-1} \ln^{p+1}(1-\epsilon) - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{-1} \ln^{p+1} \epsilon] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} [(1-t)^{-1} \ln^{p+1} t + t(1-t)^{-2} \ln^{p+1} t] dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(1,0) &= \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{p+1} \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} [(1-\epsilon)\epsilon^{-1} \ln^{p+1}(1-\epsilon) - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{-1} \ln^{p+1} \epsilon] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} [(1-t)^{-1} \ln^{p+1} t + t(1-t)^{-2} \ln^{p+1} t] dt \\ &= 0 - \frac{1}{p+1} [B_{p+1,0}(1,0) + B_{p+1,0}(2,-1)], \end{aligned}$$

for $p = 1, 2, \dots$

Using equation (4), we now have

$$(-1)^p p! \zeta(p+1) = (-1)^p p! \zeta(p+2) - \frac{1}{p+1} B_{p+1,0}(2,-1)$$

and equation (10) follows for $p = 1, 2, \dots$

In the particular case when $p = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} (1-t)^{-1} dt &= \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t(1-t)^{-1} d \ln t \\ &= [(1-\epsilon)\epsilon^{-1} \ln(1-\epsilon) - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{-1} \ln \epsilon] \\ &\quad - \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} [(1-t)^{-1} \ln t + t(1-t)^{-2} \ln t] dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B(1,0) &= \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \ln t (1-t)^{-1} dt \\ &= \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} [(1-\epsilon)\epsilon^{-1} \ln(1-\epsilon) - \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{-1} \ln \epsilon] \\ &\quad - \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} [(1-t)^{-1} \ln t + t(1-t)^{-2} \ln t] dt \\ &= -1 - [B_{1,0}(1,0) + B_{1,0}(2,-1)] \\ &= -1 + \zeta(2) - B_{1,0}(2,-1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

on using equations (1) and (4), proving equation (11). □

Theorem 4.

$$B_{p,0}(r, 0) = (r - 1)B_{p,1}(r - 1, 1) + pB_{p-1,1}(r - 1, 1), \quad (12)$$

for $p = 1, 2, \dots$ and $r = 2, 3, \dots$.

Proof. Note that $B_{p,1}(r - 1, 1)$ and $B_{p-1,1}(r - 1, 1)$ are standard forms of the Beta function.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{r-1} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-1} dt &= - \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{r-1} \ln^p t d \ln(1-t) \\ &= -(1-\epsilon)^{r-1} \ln^p(1-\epsilon) \ln \epsilon + \epsilon^{r-1} \ln^p \epsilon \ln(1-\epsilon) \\ &\quad + \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} [(r-1)t^{r-2} \ln^p t + pt^{r-2} \ln^{p-1} t] \ln(1-t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(r, 0) &= \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{r-1} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-1} dt \\ &= (r - 1)B_{p,1}(r - 1, 1) + pB_{p-1,1}(r - 1, 1), \end{aligned}$$

proving equation (12). □

In the next theorem, the constants $c_{p,r}(i)$ are defined by the expansion

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{r-1} \ln^p(1 - \epsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{p,r}(i) \epsilon^i$$

for $p, r = 1, 2, \dots$. In particular

$$c_{p,r}(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s < p, \\ (-1)^s, & s = p, \\ r - s, & s = p + 1. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Theorem 5.

$$B_{p,0}(r, -s) = (r-1)B_{p,0}(r-1, -s+1) + pB_{p-1,0}(r-1, -s+1) + \frac{c_{p,r}(s)}{s}, \quad (14)$$

for $p, s = 1, 2, \dots$ and $r = 2, 3, \dots$.

In particular

$$B_{p,0}(r, -s) = (r - 1)B_{p,0}(r - 1, -s + 1) + pB_{p-1,0}(r - 1, -s + 1), \quad (15)$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$ and $p, r = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$B_{s,0}(r, -s) = (r-1)B_{s,0}(r-1, -s+1) + sB_{s-1,0}(r-1, -s+1) + \frac{(-1)^s}{s}, \quad (16)$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots$ and $r = 2, 3, \dots$ and

$$B_{s-1,0}(r, -s) = (r-1)B_{s-1,0}(r-1, -s+1) + (s-1)B_{s-2,0}(r-1, -s+1) - \frac{(-1)^s(r-s)}{s}, \quad (17)$$

for $s = 2, 3, \dots$ and $r = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{r-1} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-s-1} dt &= \frac{1}{s} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{r-1} \ln^p t d(1-t)^{-s} \\ &= \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{r-1} \ln^p(1-\epsilon)}{s\epsilon^s} + \frac{\epsilon^{r-1} \ln^p \epsilon}{s(1-\epsilon)^s} \\ &\quad + \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} [(r-1)t^{r-2} \ln^p t + pt^{r-2} \ln^{p-1} t](1-t)^{-s} dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(r, -s) &= \text{N-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{r-1} \ln^p t (1-t)^{-s-1} dt \\ &= \frac{c_{p,r}(s)}{s} + 0 + (r-1)B_{p,0}(r-1, -s+1) + pB_{p-1,0}(r-1, -s+1), \end{aligned}$$

proving equation (14).

Equations follow (15) to (17) on using equation (13). \square

Corollary 5.1. $B_{p,0}(r, -s)$ is a linear sum of the standard forms of the Beta function $B_{i,0}(j, 1)$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots$ and $p, r = s+2, s+3, \dots$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(r, -1) &= (r-1)(r-2)B_{p,0}(r-2, 1) \\ &\quad + p(2r-3)B_{p-1,0}(r-2, 1) + p(p-1)B_{p-2,0}(r-2, 1) \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Equation (12) shows that the Corollary is true when $s = 0$.

Now assume the Corollary is true for some positive integer s . We then have from equation (14) on noting that $c_{p,r}(s) = 0$, since $p > s$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(r, -s-1) &= (r-1)B_{p,0}(r-1, -s) + pB_{p-1,0}(r-1, -s) \\ &= (r-1)[B_{p,0}(r-1, -s+1) + pB_{p-1,0}(r-1, -s+1)] \\ &\quad + p[(r-1)B_{p-1,0}(r-1, -s+1) + (p-1)pB_{p-2,0}(r-1, -s+1)] \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that the Corollary holds for $s+1$. The result now follows by induction.

When $s = 1$, we have from equation (15)

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,0}(r, -1) &= (r-1)B_{p,0}(r-1, 0) + pB_{p-1,0}(r-1, 0) \\ &= (r-1)[(r-2)B_{p,0}(r-2, 1) + pB_{p-1,0}(r-2, 1)] \\ &\quad + p[(r-2)B_{p-1,0}(r-2, 1) + (p-1)B_{p-2,0}(r-2, 1)] \end{aligned}$$

and equation (18) follows. \square

Theorem 6.

$$B_{p,1}(0, 1) = (-1)^{p+1} p! \zeta(p+2), \quad (19)$$

for $p = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{-1} \ln^p t \ln(1-t) dt &= \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \ln(1-t) d \ln^{p+1} t \\ &= \frac{1}{p+1} \ln^{p+1}(1-\epsilon) \ln \epsilon - \ln^{p+1} \epsilon \ln(1-\epsilon) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} (1-t)^{-1} \ln^{p+1} t dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{p,1}(0, 1) &= \text{N-}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} t^{-1} \ln^p t \ln(1-t) dt \\ &= 0 + \frac{1}{p+1} B_{p+1,0}(1, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and equation (19) follows on using equation (4). \square

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his help in the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.G. van der Corput, *Introduction to the neutrix calculus*, J. Analyse Math., 7 (1959–60), 291–398.
- [2] B. Fisher and Y. Kuribayashi, *Neutrices and the Beta function*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq., 32 (1987), 56–66.
- [3] E. Özçağ and B. Fisher, *On partial derivatives of the Beta function*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq., 45 (1991), 43–56.
- [4] I.N. Sneddon, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics and Chemistry*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London, 1956.

(Received: July 25, 2011)
(Revised: November 24, 2012)

Department of Mathematics
University of Leicester
Leicester, LE1 7RH, U.K.
E-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk