

DERIVATIVE-FREE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF Q_K SPACES II

SONGXIAO LI AND HASI WULAN

ABSTRACT. The Q_K spaces on the open unit disk are characterized by some oscillations in the Bergman metric without the use of derivatives. Our results are new even in the case of Q_p spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disk of complex plane \mathbb{C} . A particular class of Möbius invariant function spaces, the so-called Q_p spaces, has attracted a lot of attention in recent years. Denote by $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, $g(z, a) = \log \frac{1}{|\varphi_a(z)|}$ is the Green function in \mathbb{D} , where $\varphi_a(z) = (a - z)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ is the Möbius map of \mathbb{D} . For $0 \leq p < \infty$, the space Q_p consists of all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{Q_p}^2 = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 g^p(z, a) dA(z) < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where dA is an area measure on \mathbb{D} normalized so that $A(\mathbb{D}) = 1$. We denote $d\tau(z) = \frac{dA}{(1-|z|^2)^2}$ the Möbius invariant measure. We know that the Green function $g(a, z)$ in (1) can be replaced by the expression $1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2$ (cf. [1]). It is well known that $Q_1 = BMOA$ and Q_0 is the classical Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z) < \infty.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, $Q_p = \mathcal{B}$. Here \mathcal{B} is the Bloch space defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{B} = \{f : f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|(1 - |z|^2) < \infty\}.$$

For more about Q_p spaces see [1], [2] and [7].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H05, 46E15.

This research is supported in part by the NSF of China (No. 10371069) and the NSF of Guangdong Province of China (No. 04011000).

For any nonnegative, nondecreasing and Lebesgue measurable function K on $(0, 1]$, we say that f belongs to the space Q_K if

$$\|f\|_{Q_K}^2 = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dA(z) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

The space $Q_{K,0}$ consists of analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} for which

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dA(z) = 0.$$

Modulo constants, Q_K is a Banach space under the norm $|f(0)| + \|f\|_{Q_K}$ and Q_K is Möbius invariant; that is, $\|f \circ \varphi_a\|_{Q_K} = \|f\|_{Q_K}$ whenever $f \in Q_K$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$. It is easy to see that $Q_{K,0}$ is a closed subspace in Q_K . For $0 < p < \infty$, $K(t) = t^p$ gives the space Q_p . $K(t) = 1$ gives the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} . More results on Q_K spaces can be found in [3], [4] and [5].

Recently, the second author and Zhu [6] investigated some free - derivative characterizations of the spaces Q_k and $Q_{K,0}$. In this paper, we continue to give some free - derivative characterizations of the spaces Q_k and $Q_{K,0}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

If the function K is only defined on $(0, 1]$, then we extend it to $(0, \infty)$ by setting $K(t) = K(1)$ for $t > 1$. We define an auxiliary function (see [4] and [6]) as follows:

$$\varphi_K(s) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \frac{K(st)}{K(t)}, 0 < s < \infty. \quad (3)$$

We assume that K is continuous and nondecreasing on $(0, 1]$. This ensures that the above function is continuous and nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

The following Lemma is very useful in the proof of the main theorem in [6].

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be any nonnegative and Lebesgue measurable function on $(0, \infty)$ and $f(z) = K(1 - |z|^2)$. If*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi_K(x)}{(1+x)^3} dx < \infty, \quad (4)$$

then there exists a positive constant C such that $Bf(z) \leq Cf(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where Bf is a Berezin transform of f .

Here and elsewhere constants are denoted by C which are positive and may be different from one occurrence to the next.

Let $\beta(z, w)$ denote the Bergman metric between two points z and w in \mathbb{D} . It is well known that

$$\beta(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\varphi_z(w)|}{1 - |\varphi_z(w)|}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $R > 0$ we use

$$\mathbb{D}(z, R) = \{w \in \mathbb{D} : \beta(z, w) < R\}$$

to denote the Bergman metric ball at z with radius R . If R is fixed, then it can be checked that the area of $\mathbb{D}(z, R)$, denoted by $|\mathbb{D}(z, R)|$, is comparable to $(1 - |z|^2)^2$ as z approaches the unit circle (see [8]).

Fix a positive r and denote by

$$\widehat{f}_r(z) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z, r)} f(w) dA(w)$$

the average of f over the Bergman metric ball $\mathbb{D}(z, r)$. We define the mean oscillation of f at z in the Bergman metric to be (see [8])

$$MO_r(f)(z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(w) - \widehat{f}_r(z)|^2 dA(w) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

It is easy to verify that for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [MO_r(f)(z)]^2 &= \widehat{|f|^2}_r(z) - |\widehat{f}_r(z)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z, r)} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(u) - f(v)|^2 dA(u) dA(v). \end{aligned}$$

Given a function $f \in L^2_a(\mathbb{D}, dA)$, define

$$MO(f)(z) = \left[\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f \circ \varphi_z(w) - f(z)|^2 dA(w) \right]^{1/2}.$$

We call $MO(f)(z)$ as the invariant mean oscillation of f in the Bergman metric at the point z , since we have

$$MO(f \circ \varphi)(z) = MO(f)(\varphi(z)),$$

where $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, the group of Möbius maps of the unit disk \mathbb{D} .

The main results in [6] can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *Let K satisfy condition (4) and $r > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $f \in Q_K$;
- (2) $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [MO(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) < \infty$;
- (3) $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [MO_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) < \infty$;
- (4) $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|^2}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^4} K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dA(z) dA(w) < \infty$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let K satisfy condition (4) and $r > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $f \in Q_{K,0}$;
- (2) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [MO(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) = 0$;

- (3) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [MO_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) = 0;$
(4) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|^2}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^4} K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dA(z) dA(w) = 0.$

For a sub-arc I of $\partial\mathbb{D}$, $|I|$ is the length of I and

$$S(I) = \{r\zeta : \zeta \in I, 1 - |I| < r < 1\}$$

is the corresponding Carleson square.

A positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} is called K -Carleson measure if

$$\sup_I \int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1 - |z|}{|I|}\right) d\mu(z) < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all sub-arcs $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$.

A positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} is called a vanishing K -Carleson measure if

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1 - |z|}{|I|}\right) d\mu(z) = 0.$$

See [4] for more results on the K -Carleson measure.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose K satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (a) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $K(2t) \leq CK(t)$ for all $t > 0$.*
(b) *The auxiliary function φ_K has the property that*

$$\int_0^1 \varphi_K(s) \frac{ds}{s} < \infty.$$

By [4] we know that a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} is a K -Carleson measure if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\mu(z) < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 2.4, the second author and Zhu gave some characterizations of Q_K and $Q_{K,0}$ spaces in terms of K -Carleson and vanishing K -Carleson measures, respectively (see [6]).

3. THE SPACES Q_K

For a function f on \mathbb{D} , the function $w_r(f)(z)$ on \mathbb{D} defined by

$$w_r(f)(z) = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z,r)} |f(z) - f(w)|$$

is called the oscillation of f at z in the Bergman metric (see [8]). Similarly, define another oscillation of f at z in the Bergman metric as follows:

$$\hat{w}_r(f)(z) = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z,r)} |\hat{f}_r(z) - f(w)|.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$ write

$$O_1(f)(z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(w) - f(z)|^p dA(w) \right\}^{1/p}$$

and

$$O_2(f)(z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(w) - \widehat{f}_r(z)|^p dA(w) \right\}^{1/p}.$$

The main result in this note is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K satisfy condition (4) and $r > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent for all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.*

- (1) $f \in Q_K$;
- (2) $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [w_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) < \infty$;
- (3) $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [\widehat{w}_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) < \infty$;
- (4) $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [O_1(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) < \infty$;
- (5) $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [O_2(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) < \infty$.

Proof. The proof will follow by the routes (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (4) and (4) \Rightarrow (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Since $(1 - |z|^2) \sim (1 - |w|^2)$ for $w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} |\widehat{f}_r(z) - f(w)| &\leq C \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(w) - f(u)| dA(u) \\ &\leq C \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(w) - f(u)| \\ &\leq C \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} (|f(w) - f(z)| + |f(z) - f(u)|) \\ &\leq C \left(\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(w) - f(z)| + \sup_{u \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(z) - f(u)| \right) \\ &= C \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)} |f(w) - f(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a constant C such that

$$\widehat{w}_r(f)(z) \leq C w_r(f)(z).$$

It follows that for each $a \in \mathbb{D}$ the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} [\widehat{w}_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z)$$

is less than or equal to C times the integral

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [w_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z).$$

This shows that the condition (2) implies (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). A simple calculation shows that

$$|g'(0)|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}(0,r)} |g(u)|^2 dA(u)$$

holds for all $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, where C is a positive constant depending on r only. Replacing $g = f \circ \varphi_z - \widehat{f}_r(z)$ and using the fact that $(1 - |z|^2)$ is comparable to $|1 - \bar{z}w|$ and $|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|^{1/2}$ when $w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |f'(z)|^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}(0,r)} |f \circ \varphi_z(u) - \widehat{f}_r(z)|^2 dA(u) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}(z,r)} |f(u) - \widehat{f}_r(z)|^2 \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}u|^4} dA(u) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z,r)} |f(u) - \widehat{f}_r(z)|^2 dA(u) \\ &\leq C \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z,r)} |f(w) - \widehat{f}_r(z)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) dA(z) \\ \leq C \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [\widehat{w}_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes that (3) implies (1).

(1) \Rightarrow (4). For $w \in \mathbb{D}(z, r)$, we have (see [8])

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \sim \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^4} \sim \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

By making a change of variables, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(z, r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z,r)} |f(w) - f(z)|^p dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}(z,r)} |f(w) - f(z)|^p \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^4} dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= C \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}(0,r)} |f \circ \varphi_z(w) - f \circ \varphi_z(0)|^p dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}(0,r)} (1 - |w|^2)^p |(f \circ \varphi_z)'(w)|^p dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}(z,r)} (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^p |f' \circ \varphi_z(w)|^p dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= C \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}(z,r)} (1 - |w|^2)^p |f'(w)|^p \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^4} dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z,r)} (1 - |w|^2) |f'(w)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}(z,r)} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^4} dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C(1 - |w|^2) |f'(w)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (1) implies (4).

(4) \Rightarrow (2). Since $|g(v)|^p$ is subharmonic for all functions $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for $0 < p < +\infty$,

$$|g(w)|^p \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbb{D}(w,r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(w,r)} |g(v)|^p dA(v).$$

Replacing g by $f - f(z)$, we get

$$|f(w) - f(z)|^p \leq \frac{C}{|\mathbb{D}(w,r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(w,r)} |f(u) - f(z)|^p dA(u).$$

For $w \in \mathbb{D}(z,r)$, we have $\mathbb{D}(w,r) \subset \mathbb{D}(z,2r)$ and there is a constant $N > 0$ such that

$$\frac{C}{|\mathbb{D}(w,r)|} \leq \frac{N}{|\mathbb{D}(z,2r)|}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}(z,r)} |f(w) - f(z)| \leq \left(\frac{C}{|\mathbb{D}(z,2r)|} \int_{\mathbb{D}(z,2r)} |f(w) - f(z)|^p dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq CO_1(f).$$

This gives (2) by (4). Carefully checking the above proof, we can see that (5) is equivalent to the other 4 conditions. \square

Similarly to Theorems 10 and 12 in [6], we have the following K -Carleson measure characterizations of Q_K spaces.

Theorem 3.2. *Let K satisfy condition (4) and the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 2.4 and $r > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent for all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.*

- (1) $f \in Q_K$;
- (2) $d\mu(z) = [w_r(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a K -Carleson measure;
- (3) $d\mu(z) = [\widehat{w}_r(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a K -Carleson measure;
- (4) $d\mu(z) = [O_1(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a K -Carleson measure;
- (5) $d\mu(z) = [O_2(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a K -Carleson measure.

4. THE SPACES $Q_{K,0}$

Since our earlier estimates are pointwise estimates with respect to $a \in \mathbb{D}$, we have the corresponding “little o” version characterizations of $Q_{K,0}$ spaces. We omit the details of the proofs.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K satisfy the condition (4) and $r > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent for all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.*

- (1) $f \in Q_{K,0}$;
- (2) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [w_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) = 0$;
- (3) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [\widehat{w}_r(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) = 0$;
- (4) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [O_1(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) = 0$;
- (5) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} [O_2(f)(z)]^2 K(1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2) d\tau(z) = 0$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let K satisfy the condition (4) and the conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 2.4 and $r > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent for all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.*

- (1) $f \in Q_{K,0}$;
- (2) $d\mu(z) = [w_r(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a vanishing K -Carleson measure;
- (3) $d\mu(z) = [\widehat{w}_r(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a vanishing K -Carleson measure;
- (4) $d\mu(z) = [O_1(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a vanishing K -Carleson measure;
- (5) $d\mu(z) = [O_2(f)(z)]^2 d\tau(z)$ is a vanishing K -Carleson measure.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aulaskari, D. A. Stegenga and J. Xiao, *Some subclasses of BMOA and their characterization in terms of Carleson measure*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 26 (1996), 485–506.
- [2] R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao and R. Zhao, *Some subspaces and subsets of BMOA and UBC*, Analysis, 15 (1995), 101–121.
- [3] M. Essén and H. Wulan, *On analytic and meromorphic functions and spaces of Q_K -type*, Illinois J. Math., 46 (2002), 1233–1258.
- [4] M. Essén, H. Wulan and J. Xiao, *Several function-theoretic characterizations of Möbius invariant Q_K spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 230 (2006), 78–115.
- [5] H. Wulan and P. Wu, *Characterizations of Q_T spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 254 (2001), 484–497.
- [6] H. Wulan and K. Zhu, *Derivative-free characterizations of Q_K spaces*, preprint, 2005.
- [7] J. Xiao, *Holomorphic Q Classes*, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [8] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Space*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.

(Received: October 26, 2005)

(Revised: January 12, 2006)

Songxiao Li
 Department of Mathematics
 Shantou University
 Shantou, China and

Department of Mathematics
JiaYing University
MeiZhou, China
E-mail: lxx@mail.zjxu.edu.cn

Hasi Wulan
Department of Mathematics
Shantou University
Shantou, China
E-mail: wulan@stu.edu.cn