

SHIFT DIFFERENTIAL-DIFFERENCE POLYNOMIALS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AND THEIR UNIQUENESS CONCERNING WEIGHTED SHARING

JAYANTA ROY AND DILIP CHANDRA PRAMANIK

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the paper is to study the uniqueness problems of certain types of shift differential-difference polynomials of meromorphic functions sharing a small function under the notion of relaxed weighted sharing.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the complex plane and let f be a non-constant meromorphic function defined on $\mathbb{C}$. We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard definitions and notations used in the Nevanlinna value distribution theory, such as $T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f)$ (see [6, 14, 15]). By $S(r, f)$, we denote any quantity satisfying the condition $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ possibly outside an exceptional set of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function a is called a small function with respect to f if $a \equiv \infty$ or $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$. We denote by $S(f)$ the collection of all small functions with respect to f . Clearly $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \subset S(f)$ and $S(f)$ is a field over the set of complex numbers.

We write $E(a, f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : f(z) - a = 0\}$, where each zero with multiplicity m is counted m times. If we ignore the multiplicity, the set is denoted by $\bar{E}(a, f)$. For any two non-constant meromorphic functions f and g , and $a \in S(f) \cap S(g)$ we say that f and g share a IM (CM) provided that $\bar{E}(a, f) = \bar{E}(a, g)$ ($E(a, f) = E(a, g)$).

Recently, interest has been increasing in studying difference equations in the complex plane. With this development, many authors have investigated the value distribution of certain types of difference differential expression, and a handful of elegant results were published in [4, 9–11, 13, 16].

Focusing on the k -th derivative of a more extended differential-difference expression Yi [16] proved the following results.

Theorem 1.1. [16] *Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order, $a(z) \not\equiv 0$ be a small function with respect to $f(z)$, c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be distinct*

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30D30, 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic function, Differential polynomial, Weighted sharing.

finite complex numbers and n, m, s and μ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be non-negative integers.

Suppose one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $n \geq k + 2$, when $m \leq k + 1$,

(ii) $n \geq 2k - m + 3$, when $m > k + 1$.

Then, the differential-difference polynomial

$$[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}]^{(k)} - a(z)$$

has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem 1.2. [16] Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order, $a(z) \not\equiv 0$ be a small function with respect to $f(z)$ and c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be distinct finite complex numbers. Let n, m, s and μ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be non-negative integers and $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^s \mu_j$. If $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$ and the differential-difference polynomials

$$[f^n(z)(f^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$$

and

$$[g^n(z)(g^m(z) - 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (g(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$$

share $a(z)$ CM, then $f(z) = tg(z)$, where $t^m = t^{n+\sigma} = 1$.

Relaxing the nature of sharing Lahiri [7, 8] introduced a new notion of sharing in the following definition.

Definition 1.1. [7, 8] Let $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $a \in S(f)$. We denote by $E_l(a, f)$ the set of all zeros of $f - a$, where a zero of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq l$ and $l + 1$ times if $m > l$. If $E_l(a, f) = E_l(a, g)$, we say that f, g share the function a with weight l . Moreover, we note that f and g share the function a IM or CM if and only if f and g share $(a, 0)$ or (a, ∞) respectively.

In 2018, Waghmare [13] studied the uniqueness problems of certain types of differential polynomials sharing a small function with finite weight as follows.

Theorem 1.3. [13] Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order and $a(z) (\not\equiv 0)$ be a small function with respect to both f and g . Suppose that c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) are non zero complex constants, μ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) are non-negative integers, $n, m \geq 1$ and $k (\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 2k + m + \sigma + 5$ when $m \leq k + 1$ and $n \geq 4k - m + \sigma + 9$ when $m > k + 1$. If $[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^s f(z + c_j)^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^s g(z + c_j)^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$ share $(a, 2)$, then either $f = g$ or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$, where $R(f, g)$ is given by

$$R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n (w_1 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^s (w_1(z + c_j))^{\mu_j} - w_2^n (w_2 - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^s (w_2(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}.$$

Theorem 1.4. [13] Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions of finite order and $a(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function to both f and g . Suppose that c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) are non zero complex constants, μ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) are non-negative integers, $n, m \geq 1$ and $k (\geq 0)$ are integers satisfying $n \geq 5k + 4m + 4\sigma + 8$ when $m \leq k + 1$ and $n \geq 10k - m + 4\sigma + 15$ when $m > k + 1$. If $[f^n(z)(f(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)(g(z) - 1)^m \prod_{j=1}^s (g(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$ share $a(z)$ IM, then the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper, we prove a result for transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order which improved and extended all the Theorems (1.1–1.4) to a more general case.

Theorem 1.5. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $a = a(z) (\neq 0, \infty) \in S(f) \cap S(g)$ with finitely many zeros and poles, and c_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ($s \in \mathbb{N}$) be non-zero complex constants such that f and g are not periodic function of period c_j . Suppose that the poles of $f(z)$ are not zeros of $f(z + c_j)$ and the poles of $g(z)$ are not zeros of $g(z + c_j)$. Let $P(w) = a_m w^m + a_{m-1} w^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$, where $a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_0 (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial and $m^* (\geq 0)$, $n (\geq 1)$, $\mu_j (\geq 0)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$), $k (\geq 1)$ be integers, $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^s \mu_j$. If $[f^n(z)P(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(z)P(g(z)) \prod_{j=1}^s (g(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}$ share (a, l) with one of the following conditions:

(i) $l \geq 2$ and

$$n \geq 2k + m^* + \sigma + 7 \text{ when } m \leq k + 1, n \geq 4k - m^* + \sigma + 11 \text{ when } m > k + 1 \quad (1.1)$$

(ii) $l = 1$ and

$$n \geq 2k + \frac{3m^*}{2} + \frac{3\sigma}{2} + 9 \text{ when } m \leq k + 1, n \geq 4k + \frac{m^*}{2} + \frac{3\sigma}{2} + 13 \text{ when } m > k + 1 \quad (1.2)$$

(iii) $l = 0$ and

$$n \geq 2k + 4m^* + 4\sigma + 14 \text{ when } m \leq k + 1, n \geq 4k + 2m^* + 4\sigma + 18 \text{ when } m > k + 1, \quad (1.3)$$

then one of the following cases holds:

(A) when $P(w)$ is not a monomial, then $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$ where d is the GCD of the elements of J , $J = \{k \in I : a_k \neq 0\}$ and $I = \{n + \sigma, n + \sigma + 1, \dots, n + \sigma + m\}$, or f and g satisfy the algebraic difference equation $R(f, g) = 0$, where $R(f, g)$ is given by

$$R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n P(w_1) \prod_{j=1}^s (w_1(z + c_j))^{\mu_j} - w_2^n P(w_2) \prod_{j=1}^s (w_2(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}.$$

(B) When $P(w) = a_i w^i$ is a non-zero monomial, then

(BI)

$$a_i^2 f^{n+i}(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z+c_j))^{\mu_j} \cdot g^{n+i}(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (g(z+c_j))^{\mu_j} = a^2.$$

(BII) $f = tg$, where t satisfies $t^{n+\sigma+i} = 1$, or else f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(w_1, w_2) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^{n+i} \prod_{j=1}^s (w_1(z+c_j))^{\mu_j} - w_2^{n+i} \prod_{j=1}^s (w_2(z+c_j))^{\mu_j}.$$

(C) When $P(w) = a_0 (\neq 0)$, then

(CI)

$$a_0^2 f^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z+c_j))^{\mu_j} \cdot g^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (g(z+c_j))^{\mu_j} = a^2.$$

(CII) $f = tg$, where t satisfies $t^{n+\sigma} = 1$, where and in the sequel

$$m^* = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } P(w) \neq a_0; \\ 0 & \text{if } P(w) = a_0. \end{cases}$$

We now recall some well known definitions and notation from value distribution theory.

Definition 1.2. [1] Let p be a positive integer. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function and $a(z) \in S(f)$.

(i) $\bar{N}_p(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ denotes the counting function of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are not greater than p , where each a -point is counted only once.

(ii) $\bar{N}_{(p)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ denotes the counting function of those a -points of f whose multiplicities are not less than p , where each a -point is counted only once.

(iii) $N_p(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ denotes the counting function of those a -points of f , where an a -point of f with multiplicity m counted m times if $m \leq p$ and p times if $m > p$.

Definition 1.3. [1] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, which share 1 IM. Let z_0 be a 1-point of f with multiplicity p , a 1-point of g with multiplicity q . We denote by $\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$ the reduced counting function of those 1-point of f and g in $\{z : |z| < r\}$ where $p > q$, denote by $N_E^{(1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$ the reduced counting function of those 1-point of f and g in $\{z : |z| < r\}$ where $p = q = 1$ in $\{z : |z| < 1\}$, and denote by $\bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$ the counting function counting function of those 1-point of f and g in $\{z : |z| < r\}$ where $p = q \geq 2$ in $\{z : |z| < r\}$. Similarly we define $\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{g-1})$, $N_E^{(1)}(r, \frac{1}{g-1})$ and $\bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{g-1})$.

Definition 1.4. [1] Let k be a positive integer. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that f and g share the value 1 IM. Let z_0 be a 1-point of f with multiplicity p , and a 1-point of g with multiplicity q . Denote by

$\bar{N}_{f>k}(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$ the reduced counting function of those 1-points of f and g such that $p > q = k$. $\bar{N}_{g>k}(r, \frac{1}{g-1})$ is defined analogously.

2. LEMMAS

This section presents some lemmas needed to prove the main theorem. Let F and G be non-constant meromorphic functions defined in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by H the following function:

$$H = \left(\frac{F^{(2)}}{F^{(1)}} - 2 \frac{F^{(1)}}{F-1} \right) - \left(\frac{G^{(2)}}{G^{(1)}} - 2 \frac{G^{(1)}}{G-1} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order ρ and let $c (\neq 0)$ be a fixed complex constant. Then

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + S(r, f),$$

outside a possible exceptional set of finite logarithmic measures.

Lemma 2.2. [5] Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order, and let c be a fixed non-zero complex constant. Then

$$T(r, f(z+c)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.3. [18] Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order, and let c be a fixed non-zero complex constant. Then

$$m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4. [15] Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions. If $Af + Bg = C$, where A, B, C are non-zero constants, then

$$T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.5. [17] Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and s, k be two positive integers. Then

$$N_s\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - T(r, f) + N_{s+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f),$$

and

$$N_s\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq k\bar{N}(r, f) + N_{s+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.6. [2] If f and g share $(1, l)$ and $H \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, H) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7. [1] If f and g are non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(1, 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) - \bar{N}_{f>2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) \\ \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.8. [1] If f and g are non-constant meromorphic functions sharing $(1, 1)$, then

$$\bar{N}_{f>2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, f) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - \frac{1}{2}N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f(1)}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.9. [3] If f and g are non-constant meromorphic functions and f, g share $(1, 0)$, then $\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f)$.

Lemma 2.10. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $a = a(z) (\neq 0, \infty) \in S(f) \cap S(g)$ with finitely many zeros and poles, and c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be finite complex numbers. Let $m^* (\geq 0)$, $n (\geq 1)$, $k (> 0)$, $\mu_j (\geq 0)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be integers such that $n > k$ and $P(w)$ be same as in Theorem 1.5. If

$$\left[f^n(z) P(f)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z + c_j)^{\mu_j} \right]^{(k)} \cdot \left[g^n(z) P(g)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s g(z + c_j)^{\mu_j} \right]^{(k)} = a^2(z),$$

then $P(w)$ reduces to a non-zero monomial, namely $P(w) = a_i w^i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. Suppose $P(f)$ is not a non-zero monomial. Without loss of generality, we assume that $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0$, where $a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_1$ and $a_0 (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Since the number of poles and zeros of $a(z)$ is finite, it follows that f as well as g has finitely many zeros and poles. Therefore $f(z) = h(z)e^{\alpha(z)}$, where α is a non-zero polynomial function and $h(z)$ is a non-zero rational function. Let

$$h_i(z) = h^{n+i}(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (h(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}$$

and

$$\alpha_i(z) = (n+i)\alpha(z) + \sum_j^s (\alpha(z + c_j))^{\mu_j},$$

where $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then,

$$f^{n+i}(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z+c_j))^{\mu_j} = h_i(z) e^{\alpha_i(z)},$$

where $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. An elementary calculation shows that

$$\left[f^{n+i}(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z+c_j))^{\mu_j} \right]^{(k)} = t_i \left(\alpha'_i, \alpha''_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{(k)}, h_i, h'_i, \dots, h_i^{(k)} \right) e^{\alpha_i},$$

where $t_i \left(\alpha'_i, \alpha''_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{(k)}, h_i, h'_i, \dots, h_i^{(k)} \right)$ for $(i = 0, 1, \dots, m)$ are differential polynomials in $\alpha'_i, \alpha''_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{(k)}, h_i, h'_i, \dots, h_i^{(k)}$. Therefore we have

$$t_i \left(\alpha'_i, \alpha''_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{(k)}, h_i, h'_i, \dots, h_i^{(k)} \right) \neq 0, \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

and $[f^n(z)P(f)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z+c_j)^{\mu_j}]^{(k)} \neq 0$. Thus we have $T(r, t_i) = S(r, f)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and

$$\bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{t_m e^{m\alpha(z)} + \dots + t_0} \right) = S(r, f).$$

Therefore, using the second fundamental theorem for small functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, f) &= T(r, t_m e^{m\alpha(z)} + \dots + t_1 e^{\alpha}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{t_m e^{m\alpha(z)} + \dots + t_1 e^{\alpha}} \right) + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{t_m e^{m\alpha(z)} + \dots + t_1 e^{\alpha} + t_0} \right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{t_m e^{(m-1)\alpha(z)} + \dots + t_1} \right) + S(r, f) \leq (m-1)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $P(w)$ reduces to a non-zero monomial, namely $P(w) = a_i w^i$ for some $(i = 0, 1, \dots, m)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. *Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order, $a = a(z) (\neq 0, \infty) \in S(f) \cap S(g)$ and c_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be finite complex numbers. Let $m^* (\geq 0)$, $n (\geq 1)$, $\mu_j (\geq 0)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) be integers such that $n > 2k + m^* + \sigma + 1$ and $P(w)$ be same as in Theorem 1.5. If*

$$[f^n(z)P(f)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z+c_j)^{\mu_j}]^{(k)} \equiv [g^n(z)P(g)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s g(z+c_j)^{\mu_j}]^{(k)}, \quad (2.2)$$

then

$$f^n(z)P(f)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z+c_j)^{\mu_j} \equiv g^n(z)P(g)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s g(z+c_j)^{\mu_j}.$$

Proof. Let

$$F_1 = f^n(z)P(f)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z+c_j)^{\mu_j}$$

and

$$G_1 = g^n(z)P(g)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s g(z+c_j)^{\mu_j}.$$

Integrating (2.2) k times we get $F_1 = G_1 + R(z)$, where $R(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k-1$.

If $R(z) \not\equiv 0$ then by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$T\left(r, \frac{F_1}{R(z)}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{F_1}{R(z)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{R(z)}{F_1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{R(z)}{G_1}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Therefore using Lemma 2.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m^*+\sigma)T(r, f) &\leq T(r, F_1) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, \frac{F_1}{R}) + (k-1)\log r + O(1) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \frac{F_1}{R(z)}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{R(z)}{F_1}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{R(z)}{G_1}) + (k-1)\log r + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (2+m^*+\sigma)T(r, f) + (1+m^*+\sigma)T(r, g) + 2(k-1)\log r + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m^*+\sigma)T(r, g) &\leq (2+m^*+\sigma)T(r, g) + (1+m^*+\sigma)T(r, f) \\ &\quad + 2(k-1)\log r + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Since $T(r, f) \geq \log r + O(1)$ and $T(r, g) \geq \log r + O(1)$, combining (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$\{(n - (2k + m^* + \sigma + 1))\} (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $R(z) \equiv 0$ and so, $F_1 = G_1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof. Let $F_1 = f^n(z)P(f)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (f(z+c_j))^{\mu_j}$ and $G_1 = g^n(z)P(g)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s (g(z+c_j))^{\mu_j}$. Then $F = \frac{F_1^{(k)}}{a(z)}$ and $G = \frac{G_1^{(k)}}{a(z)}$. Then, from the given condition, we have F and G share $(1, l)$ except at zeros and poles of a .

Case 1: $H \neq 0$. Then from (2.1) and Lemma 2.6 we have $m(r, H) = S(r, f)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{H}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq T(r, H) + S(r, f) = N(r, H) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f). \quad (3.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + S(r, f), \quad (3.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right)$ denotes the counting function of zeros of F' , which are not the zeros of $F(F-1)$ and $N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right)$ is defined similarly.

Subcase 1.1: $l \geq 1$. Then by (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) &= N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
 &\quad + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + 2\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
 &\quad + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, f). \quad (3.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 1.1.1: $l = 1$. Then by Lemma 2.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \mid F \neq 0\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, f), \quad (3.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $N\left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \mid F \neq 0\right)$ denotes the zeros of F' , which are not zeros of F .

From (3.4) and Lemma 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \\
& \leq N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + \bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + S(r, f) \\
& \leq N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + S(r, f). \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5) we get from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G}) \\
& + N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), we get from (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) & \leq \frac{5}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + 2\bar{N}(r, G) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) & \leq N_2(r, \frac{1}{F_1^{(k)}}) + S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, F_1^{(k)}) - (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{F_1}) + S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, F) - (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) + N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{F_1}) + S(r, f). \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) \leq N_2(r, \frac{1}{G_1^{(k)}}) + S(r, g) \leq N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{G_1}) + S(r, g). \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) & \leq \frac{5}{2}\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}(r, g) + N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{F_1}) + N_{k+2}(r, \frac{1}{G_1}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F_1}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

If $m \leq k + 1$, then from (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) & \leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \frac{5}{2}\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}(r, g) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \frac{5}{2}\bar{N}(r, g) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) \\ + \frac{1}{2}(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.12)$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$(n - 2k - \frac{3m^*}{2} - \frac{3\sigma}{2} - 9)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

which contradicts (1.2).

If $m > k + 1$, then from (3.10) we have

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) \leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \frac{9}{2}T(r, f) \\ + \frac{1}{2}(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly,

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \frac{9}{2}T(r, g) \\ + \frac{1}{2}(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.14)$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.14) we get

$$(n - 4k + \frac{m^*}{2} - \frac{3\sigma}{2} - 13)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which contradicts (1.2).

Subcase 1.1.2: $l \geq 2$. In this case, we have

$$2\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \\ \leq N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.3) and using (3.15), we obtain

$$\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \\ + N_0(r, \frac{1}{F'}) + N_0(r, \frac{1}{G'}) + S(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G}) \\ + T(r, G) + N_0(r, \frac{1}{F'}) + N_0(r, \frac{1}{G'}) + S(r, f). \quad (3.16)$$

Now, using (3.16) in (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

If $m \leq k+1$, then from (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) &\leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 2\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) &\leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 2\bar{N}(r, g) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Therefore combining (3.18) and (3.19) we get

$$(n - 2k - m^* - \sigma - 7)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g) \quad (3.20)$$

which contradicts $n \geq 2k + m^* + \sigma + 7$.

If $m > k+1$, then from (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) &\leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 2\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) &\leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 2\bar{N}(r, g) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Again combining (3.21) and (3.22) we get

$$(n - 4k + m^* - \sigma - 11)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

which contradicts $n \geq 4k - m^* + \sigma + 11$.

Subcase 1.2: $l = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &= N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, f), \\ \bar{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &= \bar{N}_E^{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) &= N_E^{(1)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}_E^{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \\
&+ \bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq N_E^{(1)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \bar{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \\
&+ N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + N_0(r, \frac{1}{F'}) + N_0(r, \frac{1}{G'}) + S(r, f). \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using (3.2), (3.23) and Lemma 2.7 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, F) + 2\bar{N}(r, G) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) \\
&+ \bar{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

If $m \leq k+1$, using (3.8) and (3.9) in (3.24) and Lemma 2.8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) &\leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + 3\bar{N}(r, g) \\
&+ 2(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, f) + (m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) &\leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 2)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 4\bar{N}(r, g) + 3\bar{N}(r, f) \\
&+ 2(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, g) + (m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.25) and (3.26) gives

$$(n - 2k - 4m^* - 4\sigma - 14)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which contradicts $n \geq 2k + 4m^* + 4\sigma + 14$.

If $m > k+1$, using (3.8) and (3.9) in (3.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) &\leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + 3\bar{N}(r, g) \\
&+ 2(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, f) + (m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) &\leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + 4\bar{N}(r, g) + 3\bar{N}(r, f) \\
&+ 2(m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, g) + (m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{3.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus combining (3.27) and (3.28) we get

$$(n - 4k - 2m^* - 4\sigma - 18)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which contradicts $n \geq 4k + 2m^* + 4\sigma + 18$.

Case 2: $H \equiv 0$. Integrating (2.1) twice we get

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{C}{G-1} + D, \quad (3.29)$$

where $C \neq 0$ and D are constants. From 3.29 it is clear that F, G share the value 1 CM and hence they share $(1, l)$. Also $T(r, F) = T(r, G) + O(1)$. Here, the following subcases can arise.

Subcase 2.1: $D \neq 0$ and $C \neq D$. If $D = -1$, then from (3.29) we have $F = \frac{-C}{G-C-1}$. Therefore

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-(C+1)}\right) = \bar{N}(r, F).$$

Because of the Second Fundamental Theorem of Nevanlinna and Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-(C+1)}\right) + S(r, G) \leq \bar{N}(r, G) \\ &+ T(r, G) - (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G_1) + \bar{N}(r, G) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

If $m \leq k+1$, then from (3.30) we have

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, g) + 2\bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.31)$$

Similarly,

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) \leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 1)T(r, f) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (3.32)$$

Therefore using (3.31) and (3.32) we get

$$(n - k - 3)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

contradicting $n \geq k+3$.

If $m > k+1$, then from (3.30) we have

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) \leq (2k + \sigma + 4)T(r, g) + 2\bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.33)$$

Similarly,

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (2k + \sigma + 4)T(r, f) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (3.34)$$

Thus (3.33) and (3.34) gives

$$(n - 2k + m^* - 6)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which contradicts $n \geq 2k - m^* + 6$.

Subcase 2.2: $D \neq 0$ and $C = D$.

Subcase 2.2.1: If $D \neq -1$, then from (3.29) we have $\frac{1}{F} = \frac{DG}{(1+D)G-1}$. Therefore

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - \frac{1}{D+1}}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right).$$

In a similar way as in Subcase 2.1, we get a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2.2 Suppose $D = -1$. Then

$$FG = 1. \quad (3.35)$$

Subcase 2.2.2.1 Suppose $P(w)$ is not a monomial.

Since $n > k$, by Lemma 2.10, $P(w)$ is reduced to a non-zero monomial, which is a contradiction. Therefore we must have $FG \neq 1$.

Subcase 2.2.2.2 Suppose $P(w)$ is a non-zero monomial, namely, $P(w) = a_i w^i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Then it follows from (3.35) that

$$a_i^2 f^{n+i}(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z+c_j)^{\mu_j} \cdot g^{n+i}(z) \prod_{j=1}^s g(z+c_j)^{\mu_j} = a^2.$$

Subcase 2.2.2.3 Suppose $P(w) = a_0$, a non-zero constant.

Then, proceeding in a similar manner as done in Subcase 2.2.2.2, we conclude that

$$a_0^2 f^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z+c_j)^{\mu_j} \cdot g^n(z) \prod_{j=1}^s g(z+c_j)^{\mu_j} = a^2.$$

Subcase 2.3. Suppose $D = 0$.

Then

$$F = \frac{G+C-1}{C}.$$

If $C \neq 1$, then we have

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - (1-C)}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right).$$

Because of the Second Fundamental Theorem of Nevanlinna, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, 0; G) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G - (1-C)}\right) + S(r, G) \leq \bar{N}(r, G) \\ &+ T(r, G) - (n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) + N_{k+1}(r, 0; G_1) + N_{k+1}(r, 0, F_1) + S(r, f+)S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

If $m \leq k+1$, then from (3.17) we have

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 1)\{T(r, f + T(r, g))\} + \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.36)$$

Similarly,

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) \leq (k + m^* + \sigma + 1)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (3.37)$$

Now, by 3.36 and (3.37), we get

$$(n - 2k - m^* - \sigma - 3)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \quad (3.38)$$

contradicting $n \geq 2k + m^* + \sigma + 3$.

If $m > k + 1$, then from (3.10) we have

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, f) \leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.39)$$

Similarly,

$$(n + m^* + \sigma)T(r, g) \leq (2k + \sigma + 4)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (3.40)$$

Adding (3.39) and (3.40) we get

$$(n - 4k + m^* - \sigma - 9)\{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

contradicting $n \geq 4k - m^* + \sigma + 9$.

Therefore, $C = 1$ and $F \equiv G$. In view of Lemma 2.11, we conclude that $F_1 \equiv G_1$, i.e.,

$$f^n(z)P(f)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s f(z + c_j)^{\mu_j} \equiv g^n(z)P(g)(z) \prod_{j=1}^s g(z + c_j)^{\mu_j}. \quad (3.41)$$

Suppose (3.41) holds. We have the following subcases.

Subcase 2.3.1. $P(w)$ is not a monomial. Suppose $h = \frac{f}{g}$ is a constant. By (3.41) putting $f = hg$ we get

$$a_m g^m(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma} - 1) + a_{m-1} g^{m-1}(z)(h^{n+m+\sigma-1} - 1) + \dots + a_0(h^{n+\sigma} - 1) \equiv 0,$$

which implies that $h^d = 1$, where d is the GCD of the elements of J , $J = \{k \in I : a_k \neq 0\}$ and $I = \{n + \sigma, n + \sigma + 1, \dots, n + \sigma + m\}$. Thus $f = tg$ for a constant t .

If h is non-constant, then f and g satisfy the algebraic difference equation $R(f, g) = 0$ where $R(f, g)$ is given by

$$R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^n P(w_1) \prod_{j=1}^s w_1(z + c_j)^{\mu_j} - w_2^n P(w_2) \prod_{j=1}^s w_2(z + c_j)^{\mu_j}.$$

Subcase 2.3.2. Suppose $P(w)$ is a non-zero monomial, say $P(w) = a_i w^i$, for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Then, by the same argument as in Subcase 2.3.1, we conclude that if h is constant, then $f = tg$ where t satisfies $t^{n+\sigma+i} = 1$, or else f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(w_1, w_2) = 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = w_1^{n+i} \prod_{j=1}^s (w_1(z + c_j))^{\mu_j} - w_2^{n+i} \prod_{j=1}^s (w_2(z + c_j))^{\mu_j}$.

Subcase 2.3.3. $P(w) = a_0 (\neq 0)$, a constant. Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. Then from (3.41) we have

$$h^n(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^s (h(z+c_j))^{\mu_j}}.$$

Therefore by Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} nT(r, h) &= T(r, h^n) + S(r, h) = T\left(r, \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^s (h(z+c_j))^{\mu_j}}\right) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq \sigma T(r, h) + S(r, h), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction since $n > \sigma$. Hence h must be constant, then $f = tg$ where t satisfies $t^{n+\sigma} = 1$. $\square$

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referees and the editor for their corrections and suggestions, which have greatly improved the readability of the paper.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Banerjee, *Meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Int. J. Math. Sci., 22 (2005), 3587–3598.
- [2] A. Banerjee and S. Majumder, *On the uniqueness of a power of a meromorphic function sharing a small function with the power of its derivative*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 51(4) (2010), 565–576.
- [3] A. Banerjee and B. Chakraborty, *Further investigations on a questions of Zhang and Lu*, Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math., 14 (2015), 105–119.
- [4] M. R. Chen and Z. X. Chen, *Properties of difference polynomials of entire functions with finite order*, Chinese Ann. Math., 33A (2012), 359–374.
- [5] Y. M. Chiang and S. J. Feng, *On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z+\eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane*, Ramanujan J., 16 (2008), no. 1, 105–129.
- [6] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic function*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [7] I. Lahiri, *Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 46 (2001), 241–253.
- [8] I. Lahiri, *Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Nagoya Math. J., 161(2001), 193–206.
- [9] I. Laine and C. C. Yang, *Value distribution of difference polynomials*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, 83 (2007), 148–151.
- [10] K. Liu and L. Z. Yang, *Value distribution of the difference operator*, Arch. Math., 92 (2009), 270–278.
- [11] F. H. Liu and H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning the difference polynomials*, Kyungpook Math. J., 55 (2015), 411–427.
- [12] X. Luo and W. C. Lin, *Value sharing results for shifts of meromorphic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 377 (2011), 441–449.

- [13] H. P. Waghamore, *Generalization of value distribution and uniqueness of certain types of difference polynomials*, Tbilisi Mathematical Journal, 11(1) (2018), 1–13.
- [14] L. Yang, *Value distributions theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [15] H. X. Yi and C. C. Yang, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions (in Chinese)*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [16] K. Zhang and H. X. Yi, *The value distribution and uniqueness of one certain type of differential-difference polynomials*, Acta Mathematica Scientia Series Manuscript, 34 (2014), no. 3, 719–728.
- [17] J. L. Zhang and L. Z. Yang, *Some results related to a conjecture of R. Bruck*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 8 (2007), Art. 18.
- [18] J. L. Zhang, *Value distribution and shared sets of differences of meromorphic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 367 (2010), 401–408.

(Received: January 24, 2024)

(Revised: August 13, 2025)

(Accepted: June 08, 2026)

* Corresponding author

Jayanta Roy*
 Centre for Distance and Online Education
 University of North Bengal
 Raja Rammohunpur, Darjeeling-734013
 West Bengal, India
 e-mail: jayanta2017math@nbu.ac.in

and

Dilip Chandra Pramanik
 Department of Mathematics
 University of North Bengal
 Raja Rammohunpur, Darjeeling-734013
 West Bengal, India
 e-mail: dcpramanik.nbu2012@gmail.com