

ESTIMATES OF THE ORDER OF APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES IN THE GENERALIZED LORENTZ SPACE

GABDOLLA AKISHEV

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider an anisotropic symmetric space $X(\vec{\varphi})$ of 2π -periodic functions of m variables, in particular, the generalized Lorentz space $L_{\vec{\psi}, \vec{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and the Nikol'skii–Besov class $S_{X(\vec{\varphi}), \vec{\theta}}^{\vec{r}}B$. We prove an embedding theorem for the Nikol'skii–Besov class into the generalized Lorentz space and establish an upper estimate for the best approximations of functions from the class $S_{X(\vec{\varphi}), \vec{\theta}}^{\vec{r}}B$ by trigonometric polynomials whose harmonic indices belong to the hyperbolic cross.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{R}^m$ be the m -dimensional Euclidean space of points $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with real coordinates, and let $I^m = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m; 0 \leq x_j < 1; j = 1, \dots, m\} = [0, 1]^m$ be the m -dimensional unit cube.

Two non-negative Lebesgue measurable functions f and g are called equimeasurable if

$$\mu\{\bar{x} \in I^m : f(\bar{x}) > \lambda\} = \mu\{\bar{x} \in I^m : g(\bar{x}) > \lambda\}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where μe denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set $e \subset I^m$.

For a non-negative measurable function f , its non-increasing rearrangement is defined by $f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu\{\bar{x} \in I^m : f(\bar{x}) > \lambda\} < t\}$. It is known that the functions f and f^* are equimeasurable ([13, Ch. 2, Section 2]).

Let X be a Banach space of Lebesgue measurable functions on I^m with the norm $\|f\|_X$. The space X is called symmetric if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) if $|f(\bar{x})| \leq |g(\bar{x})|$ almost everywhere on I^m and $g \in X$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$,
- 2) if $f \in X$ and the functions $|f(\bar{x})|$ and $|g(\bar{x})|$ are equimeasurable, then $g \in X$ and $\|f\|_X = \|g\|_X$ (see [13, Ch. 2, Sec. 4.1]).

The norm $\|\chi_e\|_X$ of the characteristic function $\chi_e(t)$ of a measurable set $e \subset I^m$ is called the fundamental function of the space X and is denoted by $\varphi(\mu e) = \|\chi_e\|_X$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A05, 42A10, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Lorentz space, Nikol'skii–Besov class, trigonometric polynomial, best approximation, hyperbolic cross.

It is known that the non-increasing rearrangement of the characteristic function χ_e of a measurable set $e \subset [0, 1]^m$ coincides with the function $\chi_{[0, t]}$, where $t = \mu e$. Therefore, the fundamental function of a symmetric space X is the function $\varphi(t) = \|\chi_{[0, t]}\|_X$, defined on the interval $[0, 1]$. It is a concave, non-decreasing, and continuous function on $[0, 1]$, satisfying $\varphi(0) = 0$ (see [13, Ch. 2, Sec. 4.4]). Such functions are called Φ -functions.

For a given function $\varphi(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we define

$$\alpha_\varphi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(2t)}{\varphi(t)}, \quad \beta_\varphi = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(2t)}{\varphi(t)}.$$

A symmetric space X with the fundamental function φ and norm $\|f\|_X$ is denoted by $X(\varphi)$ and its norm by $\|f\|_{X(\varphi)}$.

It is known that, for any symmetric space $X(\varphi)$, the inequalities $1 \leq \alpha_\varphi \leq \beta_\varphi \leq 2$ hold.

An example of a symmetric space is the Lebesgue space $L_q(\mathbb{T}^m)$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_q = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^m} |f(2\pi\bar{x})|^q d\bar{x} \right)^{1/q}, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty.$$

Here and throughout the paper, $\mathbb{T}^m = [0, 2\pi)^m$, and all functions f are assumed to be 2π -periodic in each variable.

Let ψ be a continuous, concave, and non-decreasing function on $[0, 1]$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $0 < \tau < \infty$. The generalized Lorentz space $L_{\psi, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is defined as the set of measurable functions $f(\bar{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ that are 2π -periodic in each variable and satisfy (see [21])

$$\|f\|_{\psi, \tau}^* = \left(\int_0^1 f^{*\tau}(t) \psi^\tau(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\tau} < \infty.$$

It is known that under the conditions $1 < \alpha_\psi, \beta_\psi < 2$, the space $L_{\psi, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is a symmetric space with the fundamental function ψ .

Note that for $\psi(t) = t^{1/q}$, the space $L_{\psi, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ coincides with the Lorentz space $L_{q, \tau}(\mathbb{T}^m)$, $1 < q, \tau < \infty$, which consists of all functions f such that (see [23, Ch. 1, Sec. 3])

$$\|f\|_{q, \tau} = \left(\frac{\tau}{q} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t f^*(y) dy \right)^\tau t^{\tau(\frac{1}{q}-1)-1} dt \right)^{1/\tau} < \infty.$$

We consider the anisotropic symmetric space $X(\bar{\varphi})$ of 2π -periodic functions of m variables, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* = \|\dots\| f^{*1, \dots, *m} \|_{X(\varphi_1)} \dots \|_{X(\varphi_m)},$$

where $f^{*1, \dots, *m}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of the function $|f(2\pi\bar{x})|$ with respect to each variable $x_j \in [0, 1]$ while the remaining variables

are fixed (see [17]), and $X(\varphi_j)$ is a symmetric space in the variable x_j with the fundamental function φ_j (see [10]).

The associate space of the symmetric space $X(\bar{\varphi})$ is defined as the space of all measurable functions g such that (see [10])

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in X(\bar{\varphi}), \\ \|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \leq 1}} \int_{\mathbb{I}^m} f(2\pi\bar{x})g(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x} < \infty,$$

and is denoted by $X'(\bar{\varphi})$; its norm is denoted by $\|g\|_{X'(\bar{\varphi})}^*$, where

$$\bar{\varphi}(t) = (\tilde{\varphi}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_m(t)), \quad \tilde{\varphi}_j(t) = \frac{t}{\varphi_j(t)}$$

for $t \in (0, 1]$, with $\tilde{\varphi}_j(0) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. It is known that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{I}^m} f(2\pi\bar{x})g(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x} \right| \leq \|g\|_{X'(\bar{\varphi})}^* \|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^*, \quad f \in X(\bar{\varphi}), g \in X'(\bar{\varphi}).$$

Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{I}^m = [0, 1]^m$, and let $\psi_j(x_j)$, $x_j \in [0, 1]$ be given Φ -functions and $\tau_j \in [1, +\infty)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. We denote by $L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ the generalized Lorentz space equipped with the anisotropic norm of Lebesgue measurable functions $f(2\pi\bar{x})$ that are 2π -periodic in each variable and satisfy

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* = \left[\int_0^1 \psi_m^{\tau_m}(t_m) \left[\dots \left[\int_0^1 \psi_1^{\tau_1}(t_1) (f^{*1, \dots, *m}(t_1, \dots, t_m))^{\tau_1} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \right]^{\frac{\tau_{m-1}}{\tau_{m-2}}} \frac{dt_m}{t_m} \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}}$$

being finite. For $\psi_j(t) = t^{\frac{1}{q_j}}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, the Lorentz space $L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is denoted by $L_{\bar{q}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$, and instead of $\|\bullet\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*$ we write $\|\bullet\|_{\bar{q}, \bar{\tau}}^*$ (see [17]).

Let $\dot{L}_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ denote the set of functions $f \in L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\bar{x}) dx_j = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, m.$$

We use the following notation. Let $a_{\bar{n}}(f)$ be the Fourier coefficients of a function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^m)$ with respect to the multiple trigonometric system, and let

$$\delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{n} \in \rho(\bar{s})} a_{\bar{n}}(f) e^{i\langle \bar{n}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

where $\langle \bar{y}, \bar{x} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j x_j$, and

$$\rho(\bar{s}) = \{\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m : [2^{s_j-1}] \leq |k_j| < 2^{s_j}, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j = 1, \dots, m\}$$

where $[a]$ is the integer part of a , and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

We consider the Nikol'skii–Besov class

$$S_{X(\bar{\varphi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B = \left\{ f \in \dot{X}(\bar{\varphi}) : \|f\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* + \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j r_j} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\varphi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\theta}}} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$, $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$, $1 \leq \theta_j \leq +\infty$, $0 < r_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

In the case $X(\bar{\Phi}) = L_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$, $1 < p < \infty$, the class $S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B$ was introduced and studied in [6], [15], and [16].

For a fixed vector $\bar{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$, $\gamma_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, define

$$Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}} = \bigcup_{\langle \bar{s}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle < n} \rho(\bar{s}), \quad T(Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}}) = \left\{ t(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{k} \in Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}}} b_{\bar{k}} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\}.$$

We use the following notation. Let $E_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)_{X(\bar{\Phi})}$ denote the best approximation of a function $f \in X(\bar{\Phi})$ by trigonometric polynomials from $T(Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}})$, and let $S_n^{\bar{\gamma}}(f, \bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{k} \in Q_n^{\bar{\gamma}}} a_{\bar{k}}(f) \cdot e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle}$ denote the corresponding partial Fourier sum of f .

We denote by $C(p, q, y, \dots)$ positive constants that depend only on the parameters indicated in the parentheses; these constants are not necessarily the same in different formulas. The notation $A(y) \asymp B(y)$ means that there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1 \cdot A(y) \leq B(y) \leq C_2 \cdot A(y)$.

Exact order estimates for the best approximations of functions from various classes in the Lebesgue space $L_p(\mathbb{T}^m)$ are well known (see the survey articles [11], [20], [25], the monograph [24], and the references therein). Approximation problems in the spaces $L_{q, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ were studied in [1], [2], and [7]–[9].

The main goal of the present paper is to determine the order of the quantity

$$E_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B)_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}} := \sup_{f \in S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B} E_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present several auxiliary results. In Section 3, we formulate and prove the main results. Theorem 3.2 establishes a sufficient condition for a function $f \in X(\bar{\Phi})$ to belong to the generalized Lorentz space $L_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$. Theorem 3.4 serves as a converse to Theorem 3.2. Theorem 3.3 provides a condition for the embedding of the class $S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B$ into the space $L_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$. Finally, Theorem 3.5 establishes estimates for the best approximations of functions $f \in S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\theta}}^{\bar{r}} B$ by trigonometric polynomials whose harmonic indices belong to a step hyperbolic cross.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section, we present some well-known results and prove a number of auxiliary lemmas. The following generalization of the discrete Hardy inequality is well known:

Lemma 2.1. (see [12]). *Let $0 < \theta < +\infty$, and let a_k and b_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be positive numbers.*

$$a) \text{ If } \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \leq C \cdot a_n, \text{ then } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \right)^{\theta} \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n^{\theta}.$$

b) If $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k \leq C a_n$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n b_k \right)^{\theta} \leq C \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot b_n^{\theta}$.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 can be found in [3].

Lemma 2.2. (see [14], [22]) If $1 < \alpha_{\psi}, \beta_{\psi} < 2$ for the Φ -function $\psi(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]$, then for any $q > 0$ the following relations hold

$$\int_0^x \frac{\psi^q(t)}{t} dt = O(\psi^q(x)), x \rightarrow +0,$$

$$\int_x^1 [t\psi^q(t)]^{-1} dt = O(\psi^{-q}(x)), x \rightarrow +0.$$

Lemma 2.3. (see [14], [22]) If Φ -functions $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]$ satisfy the conditions $\alpha_{\varphi} > \beta_{\psi} > 1$, then for

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)}, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

there exists a Φ -function $g_1(x)$ such that $g(x) \asymp g_1(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]$, and $\alpha_{g_1} > 1$.

Lemma 2.4. If Φ -functions $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, $x \in [0, 1]$ satisfy the conditions $1 < \alpha_{\psi} \leq \beta_{\psi} < \alpha_{\varphi} \leq \beta_{\varphi} < 2$, and $0 < \theta < \infty$, then the following inequality holds

$$\sum_{s=0}^n \left(\frac{\psi(2^{-s})}{\varphi(2^{-s})} \right)^{\theta} \leq C \left(\frac{\psi(2^{-n})}{\varphi(2^{-n})} \right)^{\theta}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. We consider the function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)}, & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $1 < \alpha_{\psi} \leq \beta_{\psi} < \alpha_{\varphi}$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists a Φ -function g_1 such that $g(x) \asymp g_1(x)$ as $x \rightarrow +0$, and $\alpha_{g_1} > 1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{s=0}^n \left(\frac{\psi(2^{-s})}{\varphi(2^{-s})} \right)^{\theta} \leq C \sum_{s=0}^n g_1^{-\theta}(2^{-s}). \quad (2.1)$$

Since $g_1 \uparrow$ on $(0, 1]$, it follows that

$$\sum_{s=0}^n g_1^{-\theta}(2^{-s}) \leq \ln 2 \sum_{s=0}^n \int_{2^{-s-1}}^{2^{-s}} g_1^{-\theta}(t) \frac{dt}{t} = \ln 2 \int_{2^{-n-1}}^1 g_1^{-\theta}(t) \frac{dt}{t}. \quad (2.2)$$

Combining inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) with Lemma 2.2, we obtain the the desired conclusion. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let a Φ -function ψ satisfy the conditions $1 < \alpha_\psi \leq \beta_\psi < 2$, then the following inequality holds*

$$\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \psi^\theta(2^{-s}) \leq C \psi^\theta(2^{-n}), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since $\frac{\psi(t)}{t} \downarrow$ on $(0, 1]$, we have

$$\psi^\theta(2^{-s}) \leq C \int_{2^{-s-1}}^{2^{-s}} \psi^\theta(t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \psi^\theta(2^{-s}) \leq C \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-s-1}}^{2^{-s}} \psi^\theta(t) \frac{dt}{t} = C \int_0^{2^{-n}} \psi^\theta(t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain the assertion of the lemma. $\square$

Remark 2.1. In Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 the coefficients C do not depend on n . The integral forms of these lemmas can be found in [14].

We now establish a multidimensional version of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $b_{\bar{k}} = b_{k_1, \dots, k_m}$ be positive numbers for $\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, and let a_{k_j} , $k_j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $1 \leq \theta_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.*

a) *If*

$$\sum_{k_j=0}^{n_j} a_{k_j} \leq C a_{n_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m} &= \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_m=n_m}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\bar{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{\bar{n}}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

b) *If*

$$\sum_{k_j=n_j}^{\infty} a_{k_j} \leq C a_{n_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

then

$$\left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_m=0}^{n_m} \cdots \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1} b_{\vec{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}} \right\} \\ \leq C \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{\vec{n}}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_m}} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let us prove a). For $m = 1$, the result is known (see Lemma 2.1). Let $m = 2$. Since $1 \leq \theta_1 < \infty$, it follows from the properties of the norm that

$$\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=n_2}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\vec{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \leq \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{k_2=n_2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\vec{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1}} \right]^{\theta_2}.$$

Now, applying a) of Lemma 2.1 twice, we obtain

$$\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=n_2}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\vec{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \leq \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} a_{n_2} \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{\vec{n}}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}}.$$

Suppose that the statement is true for $m - 1$. Let us prove it for m . Since θ_j , $j = 1, \dots, m - 1$, it follows from the properties of the norm and the assumption that

$$A_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m}^{\theta_m} \leq \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{k_m=n_m}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \left(\sum_{k_{m-1}=n_{m-1}}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_1=n_1}^{\infty} b_{\vec{k}} \right)^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\theta_m} \\ \leq C \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_m} \left[\sum_{k_m=n_m}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} a_{n_{m-1}} \cdots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1} b_{n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}, k_m}^{\theta_1} \right]^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdots \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_{m-1}}} \right]^{\theta_m}.$$

Now, by applying a) of Lemma 2.1, from this we obtain the required inequality. b) can be proved similarly. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. Let $1 < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} \leq 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $E_j \subset [0, 2\pi)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, be measurable sets. Then for any trigonometric polynomial

$$T_{\vec{n}}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{k_1=-n_1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{k_m=-n_m}^{n_m} b_{\vec{k}} e^{i\langle \vec{x}, \vec{k} \rangle}$$

the following inequality holds

$$\int_{E_m} \cdots \int_{E_1} |T_{\vec{n}}(\vec{x})| dx_1 \cdots dx_m \leq C \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(n_j^{-1})} \prod_{j \in e} |E_j| \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \tilde{\varphi}_j(|E_j|) \|T_{\vec{n}}\|_{X(\Phi)}^*,$$

where $e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\bar{e}$ is the complement set of e , $|E_j|$ is the Lebesgue measure of E_j .

Proof. Let e be an arbitrary subset of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. Let $E_e = \prod_{j \in e} E_j$, $d^e \bar{x} = \prod_{j \in e} dx_j$. It is known that for any trigonometric polynomial $T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})$ with fixed $x_j, j \in \bar{e}$, the following formula holds

$$T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{I^{|e|}} T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}}) \cdot \prod_{j \in e} D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j) d^e \bar{y},$$

where $D_n(t)$ is the Dirichlet kernel of the trigonometric system, $\bar{y}^e$ is the vector with coordinates y_j if $j \in e$, and $\bar{x}^{\bar{e}}$ is the vector with coordinates x_j for $j \in \bar{e}$ ($\bar{e}$ is the set-theoretic complement of e), and $|e|$ is the number of elements of e . By the property of the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{E_{\bar{e}}} \left| \int_{I^{|e|}} T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}}) \cdot \prod_{j \in e} D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j) d^e \bar{y} \right| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{E_{\bar{e}}} \int_{I^{|e|}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}})| \cdot \prod_{j \in e} |D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j)| d^e \bar{y} d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \int_{I^m} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{y}^e, \bar{x}^{\bar{e}})| \cdot \prod_{j \in e} |D_{n_j}(x_j - y_j)| \cdot \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \chi_{E_j}(x_j) d^e \bar{y} d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of E . By applying Hölder's inequality to the integrals on the right-hand side of the last relation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} &\leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \left\| \prod_{j \in e} |D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)| \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \chi_{E_j} \right\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \prod_{j \in e} \|D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi}_j)}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \|\chi_{E_j}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi}_j)}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\|\chi_{E_j}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi}_j)}^* = \bar{\Phi}_j(|E_j|),$$

inequality (2.3) implies

$$\int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|e|}} \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \bar{\Phi}_j(|E_j|) \prod_{j \in e} \sup_{x_j \in [0, 2\pi]} \|D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)\|_{\bar{\Phi}_j}^*, \quad (2.4)$$

where $d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} = \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} dx_j$.

In the one-dimensional case, the following estimate is known (see, for example, [18])

$$\sup_{x_j \in [0, 2\pi]} \|D_{n_j}(x_j - \bullet)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi}_j)}^* \leq C n_j \bar{\Phi}_j(n_j^{-1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.5)$$

Inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) imply that

$$\int_{E_{\bar{e}}} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq C \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \tilde{\Phi}_j(|E_j|) \prod_{j \in e} n_j \tilde{\Phi}_j(n_j^{-1})$$

for fixed $x_j, j \in e$. By integrating with respect to the variables $x_j, j \in e$, on both sides of this inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{E_{\bar{e}}} \int_{E_e} |T_{\bar{n}}(\bar{x})| d^e \bar{x} d^{\bar{e}} \bar{x} \leq C \|T_{\bar{n}}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \prod_{j \in \bar{e}} \tilde{\Phi}_j(|E_j|) \prod_{j \in e} n_j \tilde{\Phi}_j(n_j^{-1}) \prod_{j \in e} |E_j|.$$

□

3. MAIN RESULTS

We set

$$G_e(\bar{n}) = \{\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m : s_j \leq n_j, j \in e; \quad s_j > n_j, j \notin e\},$$

where $e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$;

$$U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x}) = \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}).$$

Let

$$\bar{f}(\bar{t}) = \sup_{|E_m| \geq t_m} \frac{1}{|E_m|} \int_{E_m} \dots \sup_{|E_1| \geq t_1} \frac{1}{|E_1|} \int_{E_1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| dx_1 \dots dx_m,$$

$|E_j|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $E_j \subset [0, 2\pi)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\bar{\Phi} = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m)$, and let Φ_j satisfy the conditions $1 < \alpha_{\Phi_j} \leq \beta_{\Phi_j} < 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then, for each function $f \in X(\bar{\Phi})$, the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(\bar{t}) \leq C \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\Phi_j(t_j)} \sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \prod_{j \notin e} \frac{1}{\Phi_j(t_j)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\Phi_j(2^{-n_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in (2^{-n_1-1}, 2^{-n_1}] \times \dots \times (2^{-n_m-1}, 2^{-n_m}]$, $n_j = 1, 2, \dots$; $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let $E_j \subset [0, 2\pi)$ be a Lebesgue measurable subset. Then, by the properties of the integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| dx_1 \dots dx_m \leq \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(\bar{x}) - U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x} + \\ + \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x}. \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's integral inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(\bar{x}) - U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{|E_j|}{\varphi_j(|E_j|)} \|f - U_{\bar{n}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^*. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\forall e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then, by applying Lemma 2.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} \left| \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) \right| d\bar{x} \leq \\ & C \prod_{j \in e} |E_j| \prod_{j \notin e} \frac{|E_j|}{\varphi_j(|E_j|)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Further, taking into account that $|E_j| \geq t_j$ and the properties of the function φ_j from inequalities (3.1)–(3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^m |E_j|^{-1} \int_{E_m} \dots \int_{E_1} |f(\bar{x}) - U_{\bar{n}}(f, \bar{x})| d\bar{x} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{e \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \prod_{j \notin e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(t_j)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the assertion of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. Let $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. If $f \in X(\bar{\Phi})$, and

$$\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \in l_{\bar{\tau}},$$

then $f \in L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and the following inequality holds

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}.$$

Proof. According to Lemma 2 in [10], the following inequality holds

$$f^{*1, \dots, *m}(t_1, \dots, t_m) \leq \bar{f}(t_1, \dots, t_m) := \sup_{|E_m| \geq t_m} \int_{E_m} dx_m \dots \sup_{|E_1| \geq t_1} \int_{E_1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| dx_1.$$

Therefore, $\|f\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \|\bar{f}\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Taking into account the relation

$$\int_{2^{-n-1}}^{2^{-n}} \psi_j(t) \frac{dt}{t} \asymp \psi_j(2^{-n}) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\Psi, \bar{\tau}}^* &\leq C \left[\left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Psi_m(2^{-n_m})}{\Phi_m(2^{-n_m})} \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\dots \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Psi_1(2^{-n_1})}{\Phi_1(2^{-n_1})} \right)^{\tau_1} \left(\sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{e \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n_m-1}}^{2^{-n_m}} \Psi_m^{\tau_m}(t_m) t_m^{-1} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n_1-1}}^{2^{-n_1}} \Psi_1^{\tau_1}(t_1) t_1^{-1} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left. \left. \left(\prod_{j \notin e} \frac{1}{\Phi_j(t_j)} \sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\Phi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right)^{\tau_1} dt_1 \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} dt_m \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}} \right] = C \left[J_1 + \sum_{e \in \{1, \dots, m\}} J_e \right]. \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$
$$a_{s_j} = \frac{\Psi(2^{-s_j})}{\Phi(2^{-s_j})}$$

Let $e = \{1, \dots, i\}, i \leq m$. Then, using relation (3.5) and successively applying the triangle inequality and assertions *a*) and *b*) of Lemma 2.6, we have

$$J_e \leq \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Psi_m(2^{-n_m})}{\Phi_m(2^{-n_m})} \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\dots \right. \right. \\ \left. \dots \left[\sum_{n_{i+1}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Psi_{i+1}(2^{-n_{i+1}})}{\Phi_{i+1}(2^{-n_{i+1}})} \right)^{\tau_{i+1}} \left[\sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \Psi_i^{\tau_i}(2^{-n_i}) \right] \dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \Psi_1^{\tau_1}(2^{-n_1}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \left(\sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_{i+1}=n_{i+1}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_i=1}^{n_i} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{n_1} \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{\Phi_j(2^{-s_j})} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \left[\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \right] \dots \left[\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_{i-1}} \right] \left[\frac{\tau_{i+1}}{\tau_i} \right] \dots \left[\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}} \right] \left. \right] \frac{1}{\tau_m} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_m(2^{-n_m})}{\phi_m(2^{-n_m})} \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\sum_{n_{m-1}=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_{m-1}(2^{-n_{m-1}})}{\phi_{m-1}(2^{-n_{m-1}})} \right)^{\tau_{m-1}} \dots \right. \right. \\ \left. \dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_1(2^{-n_1})}{\phi_1(2^{-n_1})} \right)^{\tau_1} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let $e \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $e \neq \emptyset$, and $j_0 = \min e$, $k_0 = \max e$. If $\{j_0, j_0 + 1, \dots, k_0\} \cap \bar{e} = \emptyset$, where $\bar{e}$ is the complement of e , then

$$\sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\phi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* = \\ \sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_{k_0+1}=n_{k_0+1}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_{k_0}=1}^{n_{k_0}} \dots \sum_{s_{j_0}=1}^{n_{j_0}} \sum_{s_{j_0-1}=n_{j_0-1}+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\phi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^*.$$

If $\{j_0, j_0 + 1, \dots, k_0\} \cap \bar{e} = \{l_0, \dots, l_1\}$, then

$$\sum_{\bar{s} \in G_e(\bar{n})} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\phi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* = \\ \sum_{s_m=n_m+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_{k_0+1}=n_{k_0+1}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_{k_0}=1}^{n_{k_0}} \dots \sum_{s_{l_1-1}=0}^{n_{l_1-1}} \sum_{s_{l_1}=n_{l_1}+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_{l_0}=n_{l_0}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_{l_0+1}=1}^{n_{l_0+1}} \dots \sum_{s_{j_0}=1}^{n_{j_0}} \\ \sum_{s_{j_0-1}=n_{j_0-1}+1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{s_1=n_1+1}^{\infty} \prod_{j \in e} \frac{1}{\phi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^*.$$

Now, using these equalities and successively applying the assertions *a*) and *b*) of Lemma 2.6, we obtain that inequality (3.6) holds for an arbitrary non-empty subset of e . Further, applying assertion *a*) of Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$J_1 \leq C \left\{ \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_m(2^{-n_m})}{\phi_m(2^{-n_m})} \right)^{\tau_m} \right. \\ \left. \times \left[\dots \left[\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_1(2^{-n_1})}{\phi_1(2^{-n_1})} \right)^{\tau_1} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Now, the assertion of the theorem follows from inequalities (3.5)–(3.7). $\square$

Remark 3.1. In the case $X(\bar{\Phi}) = L_{\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$, Theorem 3.2 was announced in [4] without proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let $1 \leq \theta_j \leq +\infty$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and suppose functions φ_j and ψ_j satisfy the conditions $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. If

$$\left[\sum_{s_j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} 2^{-s_j \tau_j} \right)^{\varepsilon_j} \right]^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j}} < +\infty, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $\beta'_j = \frac{\beta_j}{\beta_j - 1}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, if $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_j}{\tau_j} > 1$ and $\varepsilon_j = +\infty$, if $\theta_j \leq \tau_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then $S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\Theta}}^{\bar{r}} B \subset L_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \|f\|_{S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\Theta}}^{\bar{r}} B}.$$

Proof. If $\tau_j < \theta_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then applying Hölder's inequality with $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_j}{\tau_j}$, $\frac{1}{\beta_j} + \frac{1}{\beta'_j} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\bar{\tau}, \bar{\Theta}, \bar{\Phi}}(f) &= \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} 2^{-s_j r_j} \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\varepsilon}}} \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j r_j} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\Theta}}}, \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$, $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

If $\theta_j \leq \tau_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then by Jensen's inequality (see [16, Lemma 3.3.3]), we have

$$\sigma_{\bar{\tau}, \bar{\Theta}, \bar{\Phi}}(f) \leq \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\Theta}}} \prod_{j=1}^m \sup_{s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\varphi_j(2^{-s_j})} 2^{-s_j r_j}. \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.8) and Theorem 3.2, inequalities (3.9) and (3.10) imply the assertion of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. Let ψ_j satisfy the conditions $1 < 2^{1/\lambda_j} < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < 2$, $1 < \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. If $f \in L_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and

$$f(\bar{x}) \sim \sum_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} b_{\bar{s}} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \mathbf{p}(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

then the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* &\geq C \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\infty} 2^{s_m \frac{\tau_m}{\lambda_m}} \psi_m^{\tau_m}(2^{-s_m}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\infty} 2^{s_1 \frac{\tau_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1^{\tau_1}(2^{-s_1}) \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \left. \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{\bar{s}}$ are real numbers.

Proof. Let $S_{2^v, \dots, 2^v}(f, \bar{x})$ be the rectangular partial sum of the Fourier series of a function $f \in L_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(I^m)$. It is known that (see [10])

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\theta}}^* \asymp \sup_{\substack{g \in L_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}'}^* \\ \|g\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}'}^* \leq 1}} \int_{I^m} f(2\pi\bar{x})g(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\bar{\tau}' = (\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_m)$, $\frac{1}{\tau_j} + \frac{1}{\tau'_j} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\bar{\tilde{\Psi}}(t) = (\tilde{\Psi}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_m(t))$, $\tilde{\Psi}_j(t) = \frac{t}{\Psi_j(t)}$, for $t \in (0, 1]$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_j(0) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. We introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j} &= \left\{ \sum_{s_j=1}^{v-1} 2^{\frac{s_j \tau_j}{\lambda_j}} \Psi_j^{\tau_j}(2^{-s_j}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} 2^{\frac{s_1 \tau_1}{\lambda_1}} \Psi_1^{\tau_1}(2^{-s_1}) \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{\tau_j}{\tau_{j-1}}} \left. \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the trigonometric polynomial

$$g_v(\bar{x}) = \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} b_{\bar{s}, v} \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\bar{s}, v} &= \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j \tau_j}{\lambda_j}} \Psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=1}^{\bar{v}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m} m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m (2^{s_j} \Psi_j(2^{-s_j}))^{\tau_j} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=2}^m 2^{s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})(\tau_1-\tau_j)} |b_{\bar{s}}(f)|^{\tau_1-1} \text{sign}(b_{\bar{s}}(f)), \end{aligned}$$

and $\bar{\tau}_j = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j)$. Then, taking into account the orthogonality of the trigonometric system, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I^m} f(2\pi\bar{x})g(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x} &= \int_{I^m} S_{2^v, \dots, 2^v}(f, 2\pi\bar{x})g_v(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x} \\ &= \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, 2\pi\bar{x})g_v(2\pi\bar{x})d\bar{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We prove that $\|g_v\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}'}^* \leq C_0$, where C_0 is a positive constant independent of v . Taking into account the relation (see (2.5))

$$\left\| \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \asymp \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \Psi_j(2^{-s_j}), \quad (3.13)$$

for $1 < \tau_j < +\infty$, $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < 2$, it is easy to verify the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(g_{\mathbf{v}})\|_{\bar{\lambda}', \bar{\tau}'}^* \right)^{\tau'_1} &= \left(|b_{s, \mathbf{v}}| \left\| \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right\|_{\bar{\lambda}', \bar{\tau}'}^* \right)^{\tau'_1} \\ &\leq C \prod_{j=2}^m \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j \tau'_1} \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{(\tau_1 + \tau'_1)} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^m (\sigma_{\mathbf{v}}(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1} - \tau_j} \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau'_m}} \right)^{\tau'_1}, \quad (3.14) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{v}, \dots, \mathbf{v})$. Further, by using inequality (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(g_{\mathbf{v}}) &:= \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\mathbf{v}-1} (2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \tilde{\psi}_m(2^{-s_m}))^{\tau'_m} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\mathbf{v}-1} (2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \tilde{\psi}_1(2^{-s_1}))^{\tau'_1} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}', \bar{\tau}'}^* \right)^{\tau'_1} \right]^{\frac{\tau'_m}{\tau'_1}} \dots \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau'_m}} \Big\} \\ &\leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau'_m}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{\mathbf{v}-1} (2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \tilde{\psi}_m(2^{-s_m}))^{\tau'_m} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{\mathbf{v}-1} (2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \tilde{\psi}_1(2^{-s_1}))^{\tau'_1} \prod_{j=2}^m (2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}))^{\tau_j \tau'_1} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times (2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}))^{(\tau_1 + \tau'_1)} (\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^*)^{\tau_1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_{\mathbf{v}}(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1} - \tau_j} \right)^{\tau'_1} \left. \right]^{\frac{\tau'_m}{\tau'_1}} \dots \left. \right]^{\frac{1}{\tau'_m}} \Big\}. \quad (3.15) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{\lambda_j} = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$, $\tau_j \tau'_j = \tau_1 + \tau'_1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_j(t) = \frac{t}{\psi_j(t)}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \tilde{\psi}_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau'_j} \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j \tau'_j} &= \left(\frac{2^{-\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}}}{\psi_j(2^{-s_j})} \right)^{\tau'_j} \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j + \tau'_j} \\ &= \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Now, considering this equality and the definition of the numbers $\sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j}$, inequality (3.15) continues

$$\begin{aligned}
J(g_v) &\leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \psi_m(2^{-s_m}) \right)^{\tau_m} \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{\tau_1} (\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^*)^{\tau_1} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \right)^{\tau_1} \left. \left. \left. \right] \right] \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}} = \left\{ \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_m}{\lambda_m}} \psi_m(2^{-s_m}) \right)^{\tau_m} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\dots \left[\sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \left(2^{\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1}} \psi_1(2^{-s_1}) \right)^{\tau_1} (\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^*)^{\tau_1} \right] \left. \dots \right] \right]^{\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_{m-1}}} \left\}^{\frac{1}{\tau_m}} \\
&\quad \times C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}} \leq C. \quad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 < 2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_j}} < \alpha_{\psi_j}$, we have $\beta_{\bar{\psi}_j} < 2^{\frac{1}{\lambda_j}}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.2 and inequality (3.16), we obtain

$$\|g_v\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C J(g_v) \leq C_0.$$

Hence, $\phi_v = \frac{1}{C_0} g_v \in L_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$, and $\|\phi_v\|_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq 1$. Further, according to the orthogonality property, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g_v(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} &= \sum_{l_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{l_1=1}^{v-1} b_{\bar{s}}(f) b_{\bar{l}, v} \\
&\quad \times \int_{I^m} \left| \sum_{\bar{k} \in \rho(\bar{s})} e^{i\langle \bar{k}, \bar{x} \rangle} \right|^2 d\bar{x} = (2\pi)^d \cdot b_{\bar{s}}(f) b_{\bar{s}, v} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j-1}. \quad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the numbers $b_{\bar{s}, v}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} b_{\bar{s}}(f) b_{\bar{s}, v} &= \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j \theta_j} \psi_j^{\tau_j}(2^{-s_j}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j} \right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{j=2}^m 2^{s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})(\tau_1-\tau_j)} |b_{\bar{s}}(f)|^{\tau_1} = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \\
& \times \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{v}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}} \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j \tau_j} \psi_j^{\tau_j}(2^{-s_j}) \\
& \times \prod_{j=2}^m 2^{-s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})\tau_j} 2^{-s_1(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_1})\tau_1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m 2^{s_j(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_j})} |b_{\bar{s}}(f)| \right)^{\tau_1} \geq \\
& \geq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{v}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\tau_m}{\tau_m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\tau}_j})^{\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j} \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^m \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\tau_j} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1}. \quad (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, taking into account (3.17) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{g \in L_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \\ \|g\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq 1}} \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \geq \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) \phi_v(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \\
& = C \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \geq \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \int_{I^m} \delta_{\bar{s}}(f, \bar{x}) g_v(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} \\
& \geq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{v}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}^{-\frac{\theta_m}{\theta_m}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_v(f)_{\bar{\theta}_j})^{\theta_{j+1}-\theta_j} \\
& \times \sum_{s_m=1}^{v-1} \dots \sum_{s_1=1}^{v-1} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \right)^{\theta_j} \left(\|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right)^{\tau_1} \\
& = C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s}=\bar{1}}^{\bar{v}} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}. \quad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from inequalities (3.12) and (3.19) that

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \geq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{\frac{s_j}{\lambda_j}} \psi_j(2^{-s_j}) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\tau}}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}.$$

□

Remark 3.2. In the case $\psi_j(t) = t^{\frac{1}{q_j}}$, $\phi_j(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p_j}}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 were proved in [2].

Further, for brevity, we set $\mu_j(s) = \frac{\psi_j(2^{-s})}{\phi_j(2^{-s})}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $1 \leq \theta_j < +\infty$, $1 \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and let φ_j, ψ_j satisfy the conditions $1 < \alpha_{\psi_j} \leq \beta_{\psi_j} < \alpha_{\varphi_j} \leq \beta_{\varphi_j} < 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and (3.8).*

1) *If $1 \leq \tau_j < \theta_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then*

$$E_n^{\bar{\gamma}}(S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\Theta}}^{\bar{r}} B)_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}} \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \mu_j(s_j) \right\}_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \right\|_{\bar{\varepsilon}},$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$, $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $\frac{1}{\beta_j} + \frac{1}{\beta'_j} = 1$, $\beta_j = \frac{\theta_j}{\tau_j}$.

2) *If $1 \leq \theta_j \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then*

$$E_n^{\bar{\gamma}}(S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\Theta}}^{\bar{r}} B)_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}} \leq C \sup \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m 2^{-s_j r_j} \mu_j(s_j) : \bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m, \langle \bar{s}, \bar{\gamma} \rangle \geq n \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\Theta}}^{\bar{r}} B$. Then by Theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$\|f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f))\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Since $\delta_{\bar{s}}(f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)) = 0$, $\bar{s} \notin Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)$ and $\delta_{\bar{s}}(f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)) = \delta_{\bar{s}}(f)$, $\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)$, it follows from (3.20) that

$$\|f - S_n^{(\bar{\gamma})}(f)\|_{\bar{\Psi}, \bar{\tau}}^* \leq C \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}}. \quad (3.21)$$

We set

$$b_{\bar{s}}(n) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\Psi_j(2^{-s_j})}{\Phi_j(2^{-s_j})}, \text{ if } \bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)$$

and $b_{\bar{s}}(n) = 0$ if $\bar{s} \notin Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)$.

We prove 1). Since $1 \leq \tau_j < \theta_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we obtain, by applying Hölder's inequality with $\beta_j = \frac{\tau_j}{\theta_j}$, $\frac{1}{\beta_j} + \frac{1}{\beta'_j} = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, that

$$\sigma_1(f, n) = \left\| \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) \|\delta_{\bar{s}}(f)\|_{X(\bar{\Phi})}^* \right\}_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\tau}}} \leq C \left\| \left\{ b_{\bar{s}}(n) \right\}_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \right\|_{l_{\bar{\varepsilon}}}, \quad (3.22)$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$, $\varepsilon_j = \tau_j \beta'_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Let us prove 2). If $\theta_j \leq \tau_j < +\infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then according to Jensen's inequality (see [16, Ch. 3, Sec. 3]), we obtain

$$\sigma_1(f, n) \leq \|f\|_{S_{X(\bar{\Phi}), \bar{\Theta}}^{\bar{r}} B} \sup_{\bar{s} \in Y^m(\bar{\gamma}, n)} \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j(s_j) 2^{-s_j r_j}. \quad (3.23)$$

Inequalities (3.21)–(3.23) imply the statements 1) and 2) of Theorem 3.5. $\square$

Remark 3.3. In the case $\varphi_j(t) = t^{1/p}$, $p_j = \tau_j^{(1)} = p$, and $\psi_j(t) = t^{1/q}$, $q_j = \tau_j^{(2)} = q$, $1 \leq \theta_j = \theta \leq \infty$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, Theorem 3.5 implies the previously known results of Ya.S. Bugrov, E.M. Galeev, V.N. Temlyakov, and A.S. Romanyuk (see, for example, the bibliography in [11], [19], [24]).

Further, from Theorem 3.5 with $\varphi_j(t) = t^{1/p_j}$, $\psi_j(t) = t^{1/q_j}$, $1 < p_j, q_j < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, v$, and $\gamma'_j < \gamma_j$ for $j = v+1, \dots, m$, Theorem 2 in [1] follows (see also [2]), and for $1 \leq \gamma'_j \leq \gamma_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, Theorem 1 in [7] follows (see also [9]).

Remark 3.4. In the case $X(\bar{\varphi}) = L_{\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\tau}}^*(\mathbb{T}^m)$, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 were proved in [4]. The results of this article were previously published by the author in [5].

Acknowledgement. This research is funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP08855579).

The author thanks the reviewers for their useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Akishev, *Approximation of function classes in spaces with mixed norms*, Mat. Sb., **197**, no. 8 (2006), 17–40.
- [2] G. Akishev, *On approximation of function classes in Lorentz spaces with anisotropic norm*, Anal. Theory and Appl., **29**, no. 3 (2013), 358–372.
- [3] G. Akishev, L. E. Persson, and A. Seger, *Some Fourier inequalities for orthogonal systems in Lorentz–Zygmund spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **171** (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2117-4>.
- [4] G. Akishev, *Estimating the order of approximation Besov classes by trigonometric polynomials*, Bulletin Karaganda University. Ser. Matem., **3** (2004), 9–16.
- [5] G. Akishev, *Estimates of the order of approximation of function several variables in the generalized Lorentz space*, Preprint, ArXiv: 2105.14810v1 [math.CA], May 31, 2021, 18 pp.
- [6] T. I. Amanov, *Spaces of differentiable functions with dominant mixed derivative*, Nauka–Ata, 1976.
- [7] K. A. Bekmaganbetov, *On orders of approximation of the Besov class in the metric of anisotropic Lorentz spaces*, Ufim. Math. Jour., **1**, no. 2 (2009), 9–16.
- [8] K. A. Bekmaganbetov and E.D. Nursultanov, *The method of multi-parameter interpolation and embedding theorems of Besov spaces $B_{p,\vec{p}}^\alpha$* , Anal. Math., **24** (1998), 241–263.
- [9] K. A. Bekmaganbetov and E. T. Orazgaliev, *Bernstein–Nikol’skii inequalities and estimates of best approximation in anisotropic Lorentz spaces*, Matem. Zhur., **15**, no. 2 (2015), 32–41.
- [10] A. P. Blozinski, *Multivariate rearrangements and Banach function spaces with mixed norms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **263**, no. 1 (1981), 146–167.
- [11] Dinh Dung, V. N. Temlyakov, and T. Ullrich, *Hyperbolic cross approximation*, Preprint. ArXiv: 1601. 03978v1[math.NA], January 15, 2016.
- [12] H. Johansson, *Embedding of H_p^0 in some Lorentz spaces*, Research Report University Umea, **6** (1975), 3–38.

- [13] S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
- [14] S. V. Lapin, *Some embedding theorems for products of functions*, Manuscript N 1036-80Dep, deposited at VINITI (1980) (Russian), 31 pp.
- [15] P. I. Lizorkin and S. M. Nikol'skii, *Spaces of functions of mixed smoothness from the decomposition point of view*, Proc. Stekov Inst. Math., **187** (1989), 143–161.
- [16] S. M. Nikol'skii, *Approximation of classes of functions of several variables and embedding theorems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977.
- [17] E. D. Nursultanov, *Interpolation theorems for anisotropic function spaces and their applications*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk, **394**, no. 1 (2004), 22–25.
- [18] V. A. Rodin, *The Hardy–Littlewood theorem for the cosine series in a symmetric space*, Math. Notes, **20**, no. 2 (1976), 693–696.
- [19] A. S. Romanyuk, *Approximation of the Besov classes of periodic functions of several variables in the space L_q* , Ukrain. Mat. Zh., **43**, no. 10 (1991), 1297–1306.
- [20] H. J. Schmeisser, *Recent developments in the theory of function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*, Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, Proceedings of the Spring School held in Prague, May 30–June 6, 2006, **8**. Czech Academy of Sciences, Mathematical Institute, Praha, (2007), 145–204.
- [21] R. Sharpley, *Space $\Lambda_\alpha(X)$ and interpolation*, J. Func. Anal., **11**, no. 4 (1972), 479–513.
- [22] L. A. Sherstneva, *On the properties of best Lorentz approximations and certain embedding theorems*, Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matem., no. 10, (1987), 48–58.
- [23] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton, 1971.
- [24] V. Temlyakov, *Multivariate approximation*, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2018.
- [25] V. M. Tikhomirov, *Approximation theory*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki: Sovrem. Probl. Math.: Fud. Naprav. VINITI, Moscow, **14** (1987), 103–270.

(Received: March 20, 2023)

(Revised: March 08, 2026)

(Accepted: June 08, 2026)

Gabdolla Akishev
 Kazakhstan Branch
 Lomonosov Moscow State University,
 Department of Fundamental
 and Applied Mathematics
 Astana, Republic Kazakhstan
 e-mail: akishev_g@mail.ru