

SUMMATION PROCESS OF CONVOLUTION OPERATORS FOR MULTIVARIABLES

TUĞBA YURDAKADIM, EMRE TAŞ AND ÖZLEM GIRGIN ATLIHAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study Korovkin type approximation results for a sequence of positive linear convolution operators defined on $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$, the space of all continuous real valued functions on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, with the use of \mathcal{A} -summation process which includes both convergence and almost convergence. We also study rate of convergence of these operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical theorem of Korovkin [11] on approximation of continuous functions on a compact interval gives conditions in order to make a decision whether a sequence of positive linear operators converges to the identity operator. Most of the classical approximation operators tend to converge to value of function being approximated. However, at points of discontinuity, they often converge to the average of the left and right limits of the functions. There are, however, some exceptions that do not converge at points of simple discontinuity [5]. The main purpose of using summability theory has always been to make a nonconvergent sequence converge. The purpose of this paper is to study a Korovkin type approximation of a function f by means of a sequence $\{L_j(f; x, y)\}$ of positive linear convolution operators over $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$ with the use of a matrix summability method which includes both convergence and almost convergence. We also study the rate of convergence of these operators.

Approximation theory has important applications in various areas of functional analysis, in numerical solutions of differential and integral equations [2], [6], [11]. Some unification on Korovkin type results through the use of a summability method

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 41A25, 41A35, 41A36.

Key words and phrases. \mathcal{A} -summation process, positive linear operators, convolution operators, Korovkin theorem, modulus of continuity.

Copyright © 2017 by ANUBIH.

may be found in [1], [3], [8], [10], [13], [14] and [16]. Convolution type approximation theory may be found in [7], [18], [19].

We first recall some notation and basic definitions used in this paper.

As usual $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$, $B([a, b] \times [c, d])$ denote the space of all continuous real valued functions and all bounded real valued functions on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, respectively. Note that $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$\|f\| := \sup_{(x,y) \in [a,b] \times [c,d]} |f(x,y)|, \quad f \in C([a, b] \times [c, d]).$$

Let $\mathcal{A} := \{A^{(n)}\} = \{a_{kj}^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries. A sequence $\{L_j\}$ of positive linear operators of $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$ into $B([a, b] \times [c, d])$ is called an \mathcal{A} -summation process on $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$ if $\{L_j(f)\}$ is \mathcal{A} -summable to f for every $f \in C([a, b] \times [c, d])$, i.e.,

$$\lim_k \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} L_j(f) - f \right\| = 0,$$

uniformly in n , where it is assumed that the series converges for each k, n and f . Recall that a sequence of real numbers $\{x_j\}$ is said to be \mathcal{A} -summable to l if $\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} x_j = l$, uniformly in n ([4], [15]).

Recall that $\mathcal{A} := \{A^{(n)}\}$ is regular [4] if and only if

i) for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$, $\lim_k a_{kj}^{(n)} = 0$, uniformly in n .

ii) $\lim_k \sum_j a_{kj}^{(n)} = 1$, uniformly in n .

iii) for each $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$, $\sum_j |a_{kj}^{(n)}| < \infty$, and there exist an integer N and a constant M such that $\sum_j |a_{kj}^{(n)}| < M$ for $k \geq N$ and all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

If $A^{(n)} = A$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ for some matrix A , then \mathcal{A} -summability is the ordinary matrix summability by A .

Throughout this paper we assume that \mathcal{A} is regular.

Let $J := [a, b] \times [c, d]$ and L be a linear operator from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$. Then we say that L is a positive linear operator provided that $f \geq 0$ implies $Lf \geq 0$. Also we denote the value of $L(f)$ at a point $(x, y) \in J$ by $L(f; x, y)$.

We now consider the convolution operators

$$L_j(f; x, y) = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(u, v) K_j(u - x, v - y) du dv$$

where $x \in [a, b], y \in [c, d], f \in C(J)$. Throughout the paper we assume that K_j is a continuous function on $[a - b, b - a] \times [c - d, d - c]$ and also that $K_j(t, z) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $(t, z) \in [a - b, b - a] \times [c - d, d - c]$. Note that if $u, x \in [a, b]$ and $v, y \in [c, d]$ then $t := u - x \in [a - b, b - a]$ and $z := v - y \in [c - d, d - c]$. In this case our convolution operators L_j are positive and linear.

Let $\{L_j\}$ be a sequence of positive linear operators from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$ such that for each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \|L_j(1)\| < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

Furthermore, for each $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C(J)$, let

$$B_k^{(n)}(f; x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} L_j(f; x, y)$$

which is well defined by (1.1), and belongs to $B(J)$.

The Korovkin theory for multivariables has been studied in [9].

2. A KOROVKIN-TYPE APPROXIMATION THEOREM

In this section, using \mathcal{A} -summation process, we give Korovkin type approximation of a function f by means of a sequence $\{L_j(f; x, y)\}$ of positive linear convolution operators over $C([a, b] \times [c, d])$. The next result may be obtained by a slight modification of Theorem 2.1 of [7].

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries. Assume that $\{L_j\}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$ for which (1.1) holds. If*

$$\lim_k \left\| B_k^{(n)}(f_0) - f_0 \right\| = 0 \text{ with } f_0(y) = 1, \text{ uniformly in } n$$

and

$$\lim_k \left\| B_k^{(n)}(\Psi) \right\| = 0 \text{ with } \Psi(u, v) = (u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2, \text{ uniformly in } n$$

then for all $f \in C(J)$, we have

$$\lim_k \left\| B_k^{(n)}(f) - f \right\| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Let

$$\|f\|_{\delta} := \sup_{\substack{a+\delta \leq x \leq b-\delta \\ c+\delta \leq y \leq d-\delta}} |f(x, y)|, \quad f \in C(J)$$

where δ is a positive real number such that $\delta < \min \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2} \right\}$, and let $B_\eta := [a-b, b-a] \times [c-d, d-c] \setminus [-\eta, \eta] \times [-\eta, \eta]$ for any $\eta > 0$ satisfying $\eta < \min \{b-a, d-c\}$.

In order to give our results we need the following

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries and δ be a fixed positive real number such that $\delta < \min \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2} \right\}$. Assume that $\{L_j\}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$ for which (1.1) holds. If*

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \int_{-\delta-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv \right\} = 1, \text{ uniformly in } n$$

and

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{(u,v) \in B_\eta} K_j(u, v) \right\} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for any } \eta > 0$$

then we have

$$\lim_k \left\| B_k^{(n)}(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_\delta = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Proof. Fix $0 < \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2} \right\}$ and let $x \in [a+\delta, b-\delta]$, $y \in [c+\delta, d-\delta]$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} -(b-a) &\leq a-x \leq -\delta \\ -(d-c) &\leq c-y \leq -\delta \\ \delta &\leq b-x \leq b-a \\ \delta &\leq d-y \leq d-c. \end{aligned}$$

We have, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$B_k^{(n)}(f_0; x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_c^d \int_a^b K_j(u-x, v-y) dudv = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{c-ya-x}^{d-yb-x} \int_{c-ya-x}^{d-yb-x} K_j(u, v) dudv.$$

Hence we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-\delta-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv \leq B_k^{(n)}(f_0; x, y) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-(d-c)-(b-a)}^{d-c} \int_{-(d-c)-(b-a)}^{b-a} K_j(u, v) dudv.$$

These inequalities imply that

$$\left\| B_k^{(n)}(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_\delta \leq z_k^{(n)}$$

where

$$z_k^{(n)} = \max \left\{ \left| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv \right\} - 1 \right|, \left| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-(d-c)-(b-a)}^{d-c} \int_{b-a}^{b-a} K_j(u, v) dudv \right\} - 1 \right| \right\}.$$

Notice that by the hypothesis, it is clear that

$$\lim_k z_k^{(n)} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ the result follows. \square

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries and δ be a fixed positive real number such that $\delta < \min \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2} \right\}$. Assume that $\{L_j\}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$ for which (1.1) holds. If

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv \right\} = 1, \text{ uniformly in } n$$

and

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{(u,v) \in B_{\eta}} K_j(u, v) \right\} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for any } \eta > 0$$

then we have

$$\lim_k \left\| B_k^{(n)}(\Psi) \right\|_{\delta} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n$$

where $\Psi(u, v) = (u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2$.

Proof. Fix $0 < \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2} \right\}$ and let $x \in [a + \delta, b - \delta]$, $y \in [c + \delta, d - \delta]$. Note that, for $x \in [a + \delta, b - \delta]$, since $\Psi(u, v) = (u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2$, it is obvious that $\Psi \in C(J)$.

$$\begin{aligned} B_k^{(n)}(\Psi; x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} L_j(\Psi; x, y) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_c^d \int_a^b [(u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2] K_j(u - x, v - y) dudv \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{c-ya-x}^{d-yb-x} \int_{c-ya-x}^{d-yb-x} [u^2 + v^2] K_j(u, v) dudv \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-(d-c)-(b-a)}^{d-c} \int_{b-a}^{b-a} [u^2 + v^2] K_j(u, v) dudv. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of Ψ at $(0, 0)$, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, $(0 < \sqrt{\varepsilon} < \delta)$, $\Psi(u, v) < 2\varepsilon$ whenever $|u| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, $|v| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Then we may write that

$$\begin{aligned} B_k^{(n)}(\Psi; x, y) &\leq 2\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{\varepsilon}}^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{-\sqrt{\varepsilon}}^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} K_j(u, v) dudv + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \iint_{B_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} [u^2 + v^2] K_j(u, v) dudv \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-\delta-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{(u,v) \in B_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} K_j(u, v) \right\} \int_{c-da-b}^{d-cb-a} [u^2 + v^2] dudv \\ &= 2\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-\delta-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv + M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{(u,v) \in B_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}} K_j(u, v) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \int_{c-da-b}^{d-cb-a} [u^2 + v^2] dudv$. Now taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (uniformly in n) and also using the hypotheses, the proof is completed. \square

Now we are ready to give our main result.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries and δ be a fixed positive real number such that $\delta < \min\{\frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2}\}$. Assume that $\{L_j\}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$ for which (1.1) holds. If*

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \int_{-\delta-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv \right\} = 1, \text{ uniformly in } n$$

and

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{(u,v) \in B_{\eta}} K_j(u, v) \right\} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for any } \eta > 0$$

then we have

$$\lim_k \left\| B_k^{(n)}(f) - f \right\|_{\delta} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Example 1. *We now exhibit a sequence of positive convolution operators satisfying Theorem 2. In order to see this let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^{(n)}\} = \{a_{kj}^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices defined by $a_{kj}^{(n)} = 1/k$ if $n \leq j < n+k$, and $a_{kj}^{(n)} = 0$ otherwise. In this case \mathcal{A} -summability method reduces to almost convergence introduced by Lorentz*

[12].

Now let the operators L_j from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$ be defined by

$$L_j(f; x, y) = \frac{j^2}{\pi} \int_c^d \int_a^b f(u, v) e^{-j^2(u-x)^2} e^{-j^2(v-y)^2} dudv.$$

If we choose $(d_j) = \{(-1)^j\}$, which is almost convergent to zero, but not convergent, and define

$$T_j(f; x, y) = (1 + d_j)L_j(f; x, y).$$

Then the operators T_j given above have the form of the convolution operators where

$$K_j(u, v) = \frac{(1 + d_j)j^2}{\pi} e^{-j^2u^2} e^{-j^2v^2}.$$

For every $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < \min\{\frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv = \\ & \sum_j a_{kj}^{(n)} \frac{(1 + d_j)j^2}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j^2u^2} e^{-j^2v^2} dudv - \iint_{(u,v) \in U_\delta} e^{-j^2u^2} e^{-j^2v^2} dudv \right\} \quad (2.1) \end{aligned}$$

where $U_\delta := \{(u, v) : |u| \geq \delta \text{ or } |v| \geq \delta\}$. Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j^2u^2} e^{-j^2v^2} dudv = \frac{1}{j^2} \pi < \infty,$$

it is clear that

$$\lim_k \iint_{(u,v) \in U_\delta} e^{-k^2u^2} e^{-k^2v^2} dudv = 0.$$

Also, since $\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} d_j = 0$, uniformly in n , we immediately get

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} K_j(u, v) dudv = 1, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

One can easily see that for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\sup_{(u,v) \in B_\eta} K_j(u, v) \leq \frac{(1 + d_j)j^2}{\pi} \frac{1}{e^{j^2\eta^2}}.$$

Since

$$\lim_j \frac{j^2}{e^{j^2\eta^2}} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} (1 + d_j) = 1, \text{ uniformly in } n,$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{(u,v) \in B_\eta} K_j(u, v) \right\} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

So the conditions in Theorem 2 are satisfied.

3. RATE OF CONVERGENCE

In this section, using the modulus of continuity, we study the rate of convergence in the last theorem.

For the functions of two variables, it is known that there are different types of modulus of continuity [17].

We now turn to introducing some notation and basic definitions to obtain the rate of \mathcal{A} -summation process of the operators L_n . Let $f : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and β be a positive number. Full continuity modulus of the function $f(x, y)$ is defined by

$$w(f; \beta) := \max_{\sqrt{(x_1-x_2)^2+(y_1-y_2)^2} \leq \beta} |f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)|.$$

It is also known that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} w(f; \beta) = 0 \text{ and for any } \lambda > 0, w(f; \lambda\beta) \leq ([\lambda] + 1)w(f; \beta)$$

where $[c]$ is defined to be the greatest integer less than or equal to c [17].

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries and δ be a fixed positive real number such that $\delta < \min \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2} \right\}$. Assume that $\{L_j\}$ is a sequence of positive linear operators from $C(J)$ into $C(J)$ for which (1.1) holds then for all $f \in C(J)$, we have the following inequality

$$\left\| B_k^{(n)} f - f \right\|_\delta \leq M \left\{ w(f; \alpha_k^{(n)}) + w(f; \alpha_k^{(n)}) \left\| B_k^{(n)} f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta + \left\| B_k^{(n)} f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta \right\}$$

where $\alpha := \alpha_k^{(n)} = \sqrt{\left\| B_k^{(n)}((u-x)^2 + (v-y)^2; x, y) \right\|_\delta}$.

Proof. Let $0 < \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{d-c}{2} \right\}$, $f \in C(J)$ and $x \in [a + \delta, b - \delta]$, $y \in [c + \delta, d - \delta]$.

By positivity and linearity of the operators L_n , we get for any $\alpha > 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_k^{(n)}(f; x, y) - f(x, y) \right| &= \left| B_k^{(n)}(f(u, v) - f(x, y); x, y) + f(x, y)(B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0) \right| \\ &\leq B_k^{(n)}(|f(u, v) - f(x, y)|; x, y) + |f(x, y)| \left| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right| \\ &\leq B_k^{(n)}\left(w(f; \alpha \frac{\sqrt{(u-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}}{\alpha}; x, y) + |f(x, y)| \left| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right| \right) \\ &\leq w(f; \alpha) B_k^{(n)}\left(1 + \left\lceil \frac{\sqrt{(u-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}}{\alpha} \right\rceil; x, y\right) + |f(x, y)| \left| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right| \\ &\leq w(f; \alpha) B_k^{(n)}\left(1 + \frac{(u-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}{\alpha^2}; x, y\right) + |f(x, y)| \left| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right| \\ &\leq w(f; \alpha) \left\{ B_k^{(n)}f_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} B_k^{(n)}((u-x)^2 + (v-y)^2; x, y) \right\} + |f(x, y)| \left| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| B_k^{(n)}f - f \right\|_\delta &\leq w(f; \alpha) \left\{ \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 \right\|_\delta + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left\| B_k^{(n)}((u-x)^2 + (v-y)^2; x, y) \right\|_\delta \right\} \\ &\quad + M_1 \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $M_1 := \|f\|_\delta$. Now letting $\alpha := \alpha_k^{(n)} = \sqrt{\left\| B_k^{(n)}((u-x)^2 + (v-y)^2; x, y) \right\|_\delta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| B_k^{(n)}f - f \right\|_\delta &\leq w(f; \alpha_k^{(n)}) \left\{ \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 \right\|_\delta + 1 \right\} + M_1 \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta \\ &\leq 2w(f; \alpha_k^{(n)}) + w(f; \alpha_k^{(n)}) \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta + M_1 \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M := \max \{2, M_1\}$. Then we can write, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$\left\| B_k^{(n)}f - f \right\|_\delta \leq M \left\{ w(f; \alpha_k^{(n)}) + w(f; \alpha_k^{(n)}) \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta + \left\| B_k^{(n)}f_0 - f_0 \right\|_\delta \right\}$$

from which the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou and O. Duman, *Fractional Korovkin Theory Based on Statistical Convergence*, *Serdica Math. J. Approximation Theory* Serdica Math. J. 35 (2009), 381-396.
- [2] F. Altomare and M. Campiti, *Korovkin-Type Approximation Theory and Its Applications*, Walter de Gruyter Publ., Berlin, 1994.
- [3] O. G. Athhan and C. Orhan, *Summation process of positive linear operators*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 56(2008), 1188-1195.
- [4] H. T. Bell, *Order summability and almost convergence*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 38(1973), 548-552.
- [5] R. Bojanic and F. Cheng, *Estimates for the rate of approximation of functions of bounded variation by Hermite-Fejer polynomials*, *Proc. Conf. Can. Math. Soc.* 3(1983), 5-17.
- [6] R. A. Devore, *The approximation of Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Vol. 293, Berlin, 1972.

- [7] O. Duman, *A-statistical convergence of sequences of convolution operators*, Taiwanese J. Math. 12(2008), 523-536.
- [8] O. Duman and C. Orhan, *Statistical approximation by positive linear operators*, Studia. Math. 161(2004), 187-197.
- [9] E. Erkuş and O. Duman, *A Korovkin type approximation theorem in statistical sense*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar. 43(2006), 285-294.
- [10] A. D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan, *Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 32(2002), 129-138.
- [11] P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Theory of Approximation*, Hindustan Publ. Co. Delhi, 1960.
- [12] G. G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the divergent sequences*, Acta. Math. 80(1948), 167-190.
- [13] T. Nishishiraho, *Convergence of positive linear approximation processes*, Tôhoku Math. J. 35(1983), 441-458.
- [14] İ. Sakaoğlu, C.Orhan, *Strong summation process in L_p spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis 86(2013), 89-94.
- [15] M. Stieglitz, *Eine verallgemeinerung des begriffs festkonvergenz*, Math Japonica 18(1973), 53-70.
- [16] J. J. Swetits, *On summability and positive linear operators*, J. Approx. Theory 25(1979), 186-188.
- [17] F. Taşdelen, A. Olgun and G. B. Tunca, *Approximation of functions of two variables by certain linear positive operators*, Proc. Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 117(2007), 387-399.
- [18] T. Yurdakadim, E. Taş and İ. Sakaoğlu, *Approximation of functions by the sequence of integral operators*, App Math. Comput 219(2012), 3863-3871.
- [19] T. Yurdakadim, E. Taş and O. G. Atlihan, *Statistical approximation properties of convolution operators for multivariables*, AIP Conference Proceedings 1558, 1156(2013), doi: 10.1063/1.4825713.

(Received: February 11, 2016)

(Revised: April 05, 2017)

Emre Taş
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science and Arts
 Ahi Evran Universty, 40100, Kırşehir
 Turkey
 emretas86@hotmail.com

Tuğba Yurdakadim
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science and Arts
 Hitit University,19100, Corum
 Turkey
 tugbayurdakadim@hotmail.com

Özlem Girgin Atlihan
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science and Arts
 Pamukkale Universty, Kınıklı 20070, Denizli
 Turkey
 oatlihan@pau.edu.tr